From:Gerald BresnihanTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL]Date:Wednesday, November 05, 2014 11:20:14 AMAttachments:harbor deepening.doc

Gerald J. Bresnihan, LCB Director Clearance Services C.H. Powell Company 478 Wando Park Blvd. Mount Pleasant, SC 29464 Direct Line: 843-856-2489 www.chpowell.com

Logo_Email_Signature



CH POWELL COMPANY 478 WANDO PARK BLVD. MT. PLEASANT, SC 29464

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Gerald J Bresnihan CH Powell Company Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464 843-856-2489 gjbresnihan@chpowell.com Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project.

Our company, A&R Bulk-Pak, is engaged in international shipping and logistics. We are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. A channel depth of 52 feet is essential to accomplish this goal.

This deepening project is absolutely necessary for South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers needing to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to our company. In fact, it is Charleston's 52-foot dock draft which is a compelling reason A&R Bulk-Pak is looking to expand our operations there in the next 12-16 months.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you grant your approval as well.

Sincerely,

Jason Blinkoff

Executive Vice President

A&R Bulk-Pak, Inc.

Jason Blinkoff

Executive Vice President

A&R Bulk-Pak, Inc.

Tel: 732-381-7900 (office)

Tel: 215-776-2550 (cell)

www.arbulkpak.com <<u>http://www.arbulkpak.com/</u>>

From:James HillTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Charleston Harbor DeepeningDate:Wednesday, November 05, 2014 10:45:17 AMAttachments:Harbor Deepening Support Ltr.pdf

Please see the attached.

--

James H. Hill Vice President, Commercial & Industrial Development, Land Sales 843-851-4708 (T) 843-209-4270 (M) 843-875-7185 (F)

<<u>http://mwv.com/images/logo</u>> Community Development and Land Management

201 Sigma Drive Suite 400 Summerville, SC 29483 mwv.com

This electronic message contains information from MeadWestvaco Corporation (mwv.com) or subsidiary companies, which may be confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. The information is intended to be used solely by the recipient(s) named. If you are not an intended recipient, be aware that any review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this transmission or its contents is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify MeadWestvaco immediately at postmaster@mwv.com.



MeadWestvaco Corporation Community Development and Land Management Group 201 Sigma Drive, Suite 400 Summerville, SC 29483

+1 843.871.5000 T +1 843.875.7185 F mwv.com

November 5, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential for our business and to South Carolina's economic future. The Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the Project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. It is my opinion that Charleston is the best location to conduct a deepening project in the southeastern United States from both an economic and environmental perspective.

Simply put, this Project must go forward for the well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, and A. Ale

James H. Hill Vice President Commercial and Industrial Development

From:	Scott Banks
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Charleston Harbor Deepening
Date:	Wednesday, November 05, 2014 3:11:28 PM
Attachments:	26b64d.png Charleston Harbor Project.pdf

Comments included on the Charleston Harbor deepening project.

Regards

Scott Banks President

sbanks@wkep.com 9525 Monroe Road STE 100 T 704-544-5582 Charlotte NC 28270 F 704-910-8060

[Notice: This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is proprietary, confidential or privileged. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, print, retain, distribute or copy this message in whole or in part. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this message by mistake and delete this entire message from your system. Transmission by e-mail cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message or for events arising from its transmission by sender or its receipt by you.]



9525 Monroe Road Suite 100 Charlotte, NC 28270 704.542.6876

November 5, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future but in addition I believe can positively impact the greater region. My company, based in North Carolina, on a weekly bass bypasses the Charleston harbor and trucks goods to Florida to be shipped to Central America. This additional land transfer serves to increase product cost, increase highway traffic and could even be contributing to additional pollution.

We need a better option and one that is closer to home. It is my understanding that deepening the Charleston harbor to 52 feet can be accomplished in both an economically and environmentally responsible manner. This improvement could allow us to ship directly from Charleston and also allow goods entering from Asia to port here as well.

Simply put, the harbor improvement project will have regional impact and should move forward. Companies in the North Carolina and South Carolina corridor understand that the efficient management of our shipping can make the difference in growing our companies. If we grow, jobs are created so I request that you approve and move forward with this project.

Regards,

E. Scott Banks President

White Knight Engineereed Products Inc. Tel. 704.542.6876 888.743.4700 Fax 704.910.8065 www.wkep.com e-mail info@wkep.com

From:	Scott Cromer
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] CHARLESTON HARBOR DEEPENING
Date:	Wednesday, November 05, 2014 10:24:29 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

Mark, I'm glad to see the Army Corps of Engineers is continuing to move forward with plans to deepen our Charleston harbor. The value of this project has a huge effect on our state effecting many South Carolinian, and companies here like ours. I appreciate your work in helping our port to get this much needed upgrade. I look forward to hearing more updates of progress moving forward. I would ask that you stay focused on helping our state reach this objective as it will have a big effect on generations to come not only in South Carolina but I feel neighboring states will also so reap benefit from this project. Have a great day, and thanks again.

Scott Cromer

Southeast Regional Manager

Direct: 800-323-2286

Cell: 864-313-1237

Fax: 320-258-2542

E-mail: scottcr@ats-inc.com

WEB: WWW.ATSINC.COM

cid:image001.png@01CFBC4A.86C4F410

integrity . responsibility . excellence . innovation . determination

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From:	joanna.foust@daimler.com
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Charleston Harbor Post 45 Studycomment submission
Date:	Friday, November 07, 2014 10:31:19 AM

On behalf of our business unit Daimler Vans Manufacturing, please accept these comments in support of the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers project to deepen the Charleston Harbor.

As a customer of the Port of Charleston, Daimler Vans Manufacturing fully supports deepening the Charleston Harbor. Daimler Vans strongly urges the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to move forward on its Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement for the Charleston Harbor deepening project, also called Post 45.

For over a decade Daimler Vans has manufactured Sprinter vehicles in South Carolina, most recently under the Mercedes-Benz and Freightliner brands. Daimler Vans is located in Ladson, South Carolina. The U.S. is now the Sprinter's second-largest sales market after Germany. About 23,000 Sprinter vans were delivered to U.S. customers in 2013. Given the growth of Sprinter sales, additional investment and jobs at the Ladson facility is reasonably expected. The success of Sprinter has also brought new investment to the North Charleston area from businesses that upfit vans into customized commercial vehicles. Daimler Vans uses the Port of Charleston for delivery of Sprinter parts for re-assembly as well as Sprinter vans exported from Germany.

Deepening Charleston harbor -52 will provide new, better transportation logistics options to East Coastbased companies, particularly those based in South Carolina like Daimler Vans. A deeper harbor means global companies will look to the Port of Charleston to lower their logistics costs and move goods more efficiently. Bigger ships means more containers per vessel and more competition—key drivers for stable, lower container rates. A -52 harbor will ensure the Port of Charleston's long-term viability as a prime destination for all vessel-lines. There can be little doubt this project will make South Carolina a more attractive place to invest for companies, from large multinational corporations to small, local retail businesses.

Daimler Vans strongly urges the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers to move forward and implement Post 45.

Joanna Foust General Manager--Federal Affairs DAIMLER External Affairs-Americas 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 825 Washington, DC 20006 ph: 202-649-4506 cell: 202-281-9109

If you are not the addressee, please inform us immediately that you have received this e-mail by mistake, and delete it. We thank you for your support.

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith,

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Alex Irwin Brokerage Associate Newmark Grubb Wilson Kibler T 843.637.5013 airwin@wilsonkibler.com <<u>mailto:airwin@wilsonkibler.com</u>> Independently Owned and Operated
 From:
 Scott Woods

 To:
 Chas-Post45-Comments

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] Comment on Harbor 52 Feet

 Date:
 Monday, November 03, 2014 4:01:25 PM

 Attachments:
 52 Feet Woods.pdf

Please see the attached. Thank you, Scott Woods

Scott Woods, CPA President/Chief Executive Officer South Carolina Federal Credit Union P 843.569.4466 F 843.569.6389 www.scfederal.org

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY. This email may contain confidential and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact the sender and delete all copies.



R. Scott Woods, CPA President / CEO

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Nov. 1, 2014

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I am contacting you in strong support of the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

As President and CEO of South Carolina Federal Credit Union, founded as Charleston Naval Shipyard Credit Union, I have a keen understanding and appreciation for the role of our ports to the local economy and state growth.

At present, Charleston's ports contribute more than \$44 billion in annual economic impact to our state. The proposed deepening, combined with our local investment into the industry, will help to ensure that our ports are positioned to accommodate the post-Panamax ships and compete globally well into the future.

As a lifelong James Island resident, I appreciate the impact of the ports on our people. One of every 11 jobs statewide is tied to the port system, making them key to our economic growth. They are also a piece of South Carolina's unique landscape and offer both a paycheck and passion for many South Carolina families.

Sustainability of our environmental resources is also vital to our region's long-term success. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement. Both findings determine the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

I would like to join the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders in demonstrating support for harbor deepening. I appreciate your consideration and swiftness in approving this project and making it a reality.

Sincerely

R. Scott Woods, CPA, CIA, MBA President & CEO South Carolina Federal Credit Union

From:Bo BrownTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening CommentDate:Thursday, November 06, 2014 12:29:22 PMAttachments:CHS HARBOR DEEPENING LETTER.PDF

Thank you!

Don H. Brown, Jr.

This email from Bo_Brown@rogers-brown.com and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been evaluated for the presence of computer viruses.

The email system is to be used for business purposes only.



2 Cumberland Street, P.O. Box 20160 Charleston, SC 29413-0160 Phone: 843.577.3630 Fax: 843.720.8911 www.rogers-brown.com

November 6, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

he H Boun, JZ Don H. Brown, J

President

From:	Warren, Carl
То:	Messersmith, Mark J SAC
Cc:	<u>Dillard, John W</u>
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening Project
Date:	Tuesday, November 04, 2014 2:41:34 PM
Attachments:	CSX Charleston Deepening.pdf

Mark:

Please see attached letter of support from CSX Transportation for the harbor deepening project. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Carl Warren

Director Ports & Industrial Development

CSX Transportation

This email transmission and any accompanying attachments may contain CSX privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the intended addressee. Any dissemination, distribution, copying or action taken in reliance on the contents of this email by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please immediately delete it and notify sender at the above CSX email address. Sender and CSX accept no liability for any damage caused directly or indirectly by receipt of this email.



November 4, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. CSX is a Fortune 250 company operating more than 21,000 route miles in the United States and Canada. In South Carolina, we operate and maintain more than 1,800 miles of track and employ 1,000 people. The Port of Charleston is an important resource for our customers, providing global connectivity which supports manufacturing, exporting, investment and job creation.

This project is essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

South Carolina's port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would undermine the State's role as a key link in the global supply chain and impact local efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for South Carolina's citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Carl Warren Director Ports & Industrial Development

Mr. Messersmith,

Streit USA Armoring supports the Charleston Harbor Deeping project. We are confident that this project can be accomplished in an environmentally responsible manner.

The Charleston Region depends on this project to remain viable in our growth and ability to attract new industry.

Please support this project.

Sincerely,

Paul

cid:image001.jpg@01CB87C1.837434E0 <<u>http://www.armored-cars.com/</u>>

Nolan P. Welborn | V/P Corporate & Government Relations

Streit USA Armoring LLC | Armored Vehicle Manufacturer

8449 Palmetto Commerce Parkway, Ladson, South Carolina USA Direct: +1 843 566 9884 Office: +1 843 797 2856

Cell: +1 843 224 7076 Fax: +1 843 566 7844 or +1 843 797 2857

Email: paul.welborn@streitusa.com < <u>mailto:paul.welborn@streitusa.com</u>> | Website: www.streitusa.com < <u>http://www.streitusa.com</u>>

cid:image003.png@01CD06AD.E9DB3AA0 <<u>http://www.gsaelibrary.gsa.gov/ElibMain/contractorInfo.do?</u> <u>contractNumber=GS-07F-</u> <u>0216Y&contractorName=STREIT+USA+ARMORING%2C+LLC&executeQuery=YES</u>> 9001 2008 black TM (2)

This e-mail is confidential and may contain copyright material of Streit USA. If you are not the intended recipient please delete all copies of this message and notify us immediately. Copying or use of this

correspondence or the information in it is prohibited and may not be unlawfully used. The content of this correspondence cannot form the basis of any form of contract. The staff of Streit USA are not authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of Streit USA in this manner. Streit USA does not accept any legal liability for the contents of this correspondence.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 08, 2014 9:54:28 AM

Name: Kay L Hughes

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Kay L Hughes

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 07, 2014 6:06:34 PM

Name: James P. Petit, III Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I am writing to express my support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening project. Being that the Port of Charleston is the main economic driver for South Carolina, this initiative is critically important to the economic well-being of our state. Please move forward with this as quickly as possible. Thank you.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	jlummus@upstatealliance.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 07, 2014 3:10:56 PM

Name: John Lummus

Email: jlummus@upstatealliance.com < <u>mailto:jlummus%40upstatealliance.com</u>> Address: 124 Verdae Blvd. Suite 202, Greenville SC 29607 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Please accept this as a statement of support for the harbor deepening project which will increase the depth of the shipping channel in the Charleston Harbor from 45 to 52 feet. I am the President of the Upstate SC Alliance, which is the regional economic development organization for the Upstate of South Carolina. In this position, I realize the vital importance of the Port of Charleston to the future economic success of the State. Up to 65% of the port traffic comes from the Upstate each year, and industries such as BMW, Michelin, and Bosch benefit greatly from the port. Having a competitive port is key to the future economic development of South Carolina.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Wednesday, November 05, 2014 5:02:01 PM

Name: Crayton Walters Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Please add my support to the deepening project for Charleston Harbor. This project is essential to the economic engine that runs this state. I support this project. Thank you

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	charles@crescentagency.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Wednesday, November 05, 2014 10:35:04 AM

Name: Charles Cole

Email: charles@crescentagency.com < mailto:charles%40crescentagency.com > Address: 635 East Bay Street, ste D, Charleston, SC 29403 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future which has depended on the port since the earliest days of our existence. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. It seems clear to me that Charleston will be the most cost efficient and fastest option to become the port to handle the bigger ships. The harbor is already deep, and the distance away from the coast needing to be dredged is also less than our sister city to the South. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. My office overlooks the Columbus Street Port, and I see firsthand the number of these vehicles we are exporting. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. We all look forward to getting this completed. Thank you. Charles Cole Principal – Crescent Insurance Agency

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	david@popowskilaw.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Wednesday, November 05, 2014 10:26:11 AM

Name: David Popowski

Email: david@popowskilaw.com < mailto: david%40popowskilaw.com >

Address: Suite 110, 171 Church Street, Charleston, SC 29401

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I would like to express my support for the deepening of the Charleston Harbor. As I a private attorney, I have worked in the maritime industry in Charleston for 33 years. Through the cases that I have handled, I have seen first hand its value to not only the Southeast but to the nation and the world. Users of the Port know that their shipments will be processed promptly and efficiently. I urge your favorable consideration of this request.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	r.kokel@blankophor.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 03, 2014 9:24:50 AM

Name: Richard Kokel Email: r.kokel@blankophor.com < <u>mailto:r.kokel%40blankophor.com</u>> Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: I am employed by a German chemical company (with US headquarters in

Graham NC) that imports large quantities of our products into the port of Charleston SC for distribution to our US customers. It is vital to the continued success of our company in the USA that we maintain competitive sea freight rates to POE Charleston. This can only happen if we can ship via the new generation of container vessels which require the deeper channel to the port. Therefore, I strongly support the project to deepen the channel into the port of Charleston.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	ted@thestoneycompany.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 08, 2014 2:30:05 PM

Name: Theodore D. Stoney, Jr. Email: ted@thestoneycompany.com < <u>mailto:ted%40thestoneycompany.com</u>> Address: Draft EP/EIS Comment: Lam in favor of deepening the Charleston Harbor cha

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I am in favor of deepening the Charleston Harbor channel to 52 feet. As a former employee of a steamship agency I know first hand how vital the port of Charleston is to the Charleston region, the State of South Carolina, and the entire country. The new steamships TEU capacity and draft requirements require a 52 foot channel depth and Charleston's natural harbor and close proximity to the ocean makes it by far the most cost effective port for the increased depth. The sooner this is accomplished the better for our economy.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collectivestatewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Peter N Hughes, CPA

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

South Carolina Ports Authority

Sent from my iPad The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Messersmith

Please see my attached letter in support of the Post 45 dredging project to 52'.

Thanks

T. Ravenel

Project Manager

C-843-277-5582

0-843-329-4288

travenel@palmettorail.com

www.palmettorailways.com

PRW logo smaller



November 3, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

I have reviewed the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Just as important to me, it outlines the economic benefits that the project will have on the state and the region.

As an employee of Palmetto Railways I work with the SCPA on a regular basis and am managing the design, permitting and construction effort for the Navy Base Intermodal Facility. Our facility will assist in shipping containers to their hinterland destinations by providing a more efficient and cost effective solution for rail transport in the local network and create capacity for the future volumes.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. The completion of this project would make the Port of Charleston the deepest port on the East Coast and secure its place at the top of a very competitive market.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely.

T Ravenel Project Manager

Hello,

I left a message on your phone as well, but thought I'd shoot you an email just in case. I'm a reporter with McClatchy, and was hoping to talk to you about the environmental impact/feasibility study for the Charleston harbor deepening project. Would you be available? Feel free to give me a call at the number below.

Thanks,

Samantha Ehlinger sehlinger@mcclatchydc.com 202-383-6035 - office Please see attached. Thank you.

Description: image001

Katie King Schanz

Legislative Counsel

Public Policy & Communications e-mail: Katie.Schanz@scchamber.net direct: 803.255.2630 <tel:803.255.2630>

cell: 803.429.4398 <tel:803.429.4398> 1301 Gervais Street, Suite 1100 Columbia, SC 29201 www.scchamber.net <<u>http://www.scchamber.net/</u>>

Description: \\dell2850\data3\users\COMMON\Communications\Social Media\Images\ChamberImages\facebook.jpg <<u>http://www.facebook.com/pages/SOUTH-CAROLINA-</u> <u>CHAMBER-OF-COMMERCE/95832769262?v=wall</u>> Description: \\dell2850\data3\users\COMMON\Communications\Social Media\Images\ChamberImages\twitter.jpg <<u>http://twitter.com/scchamber</u>> Description: \\dell2850\data3\users\COMMON\Communications\Social Media\Images\ChamberImages\youtube.jpg <<u>http://www.youtube.com/user/sccoc</u>>

The South Carolina Chamber of Commerce, the nation's first state chamber Accredited with Distinction by the U.S. Chamber, is the state's largest statewide broad-based business and industry trade association representing more than 18,000 businesses and more than one million employees.

image001

This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not



November 3, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith US Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District Post 45 Comments 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

As members of the South Carolina Tire Manufacturers Council, we are writing to endorse the recommendation by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project at the Port of Charleston.

We cannot overstate how critical this deepening project is to the tire manufacturing industry in the state. In just the last two years, our fast-growing industry has invested \$3 billion in South Carolina and employs more than 12,000 people. It has become one of the state's largest industrial sectors.

If we are to continue to grow, we need a vibrant, around-the-clock, modernized port that can handle bigger, post-Panamax ships currently calling U.S. ports with even more expected next year. The South Carolina General Assembly recognized this urgency when they overwhelmingly voted in favor of setting aside the majority of the state's share of funding needed for the project – making South Carolina the only state in the nation to do so.

Our industry's future is riding on this project, as is South Carolina's economic prosperity. Simply put, we cannot afford to fail. If we don't deepen the Port of Charleston to 52 feet, ships and businesses will go elsewhere.

Thank you for looking favorably upon this proposal. We, as members of the South Carolina Tire Manufacturers Council, are looking forward to your swift approval of this proposal, which will help keep our industry strong and growing in the state.

Sincerely,

Chris Gullot Bridgestone Americas

Ian Musselman Continental Tire the Americas

Thenhey A. mare

Steve Evered Michelin North America

BRIDGESTORE





accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission.

From:	Sean Smith
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	"owenen@dhec.sc.gov"
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District
Date:	Friday, November 07, 2014 5:27:38 PM
Attachments:	20141107172202020.pdf

Dear Mr. Messersmith,

Please accept this attached letter in support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers project to deepen the Charleston Port to 52 feet.

Sincerely, Sean Smith Canusa Corporation 1532 Thames St Baltimore, MD 21231 Tel: 410-319-0769 Fax: 410-522-1695 Mobile: 410-935-4827


U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

Canusa Corporation and Canusa Hershman Recycling support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping and the 8th largest exporter of containers in the U.S., we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely. NOV 7, 2014

Sean Smith – Vice President Logistics Canusa Corporation

From:Sims, VicTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL]Date:Monday, November 10, 2014 1:59:40 PMAttachments:Deepening Project.docx

Regards,

Vic

Victor B. Sims

ops COORDINATOR/YARD PLANNER

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7007

mobile (843) 200-8359

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Victor Sims

From:	Holland, Rusty
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL]
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 10:15:24 AM
Attachments:	DOC003.pdf

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Sonnie B. Hollid

Regards,

Melissa

Melissa W. Smith

maintenance stores specialist

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8677

mobile (843) 377-3461

scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Michina Whath

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Regards,

Willie

Willis K Mylin

MH Mechanic

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

mobile (843) 607-6712

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michael Elmore Terminal Manager SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 527-4476 mobile (843) 344-0198 scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>> cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

I have been in the Low country for over 40 years. My three children were born here and love Charleston and know how important the Port is to our livelihood. The harbor deepening project is so very important for our entire community and the state.

My family and extended family thank you and your staff for all of your hard work!

Sincerely,

Gregory

Gregory A. Burkhardt

Software Developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8157

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:Hall, EdwinaTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] 52 FeetDate:Wednesday, November 12, 2014 10:14:10 AMAttachments:52 Feet.docx

Regards,

Edwina

Edwina Hall

Yard Planner

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 745-6534

mobile (843) 514-4910

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Edwina Hall

This would be a huge game changer for the state of South Carolina.

Regards,

Michael

Michael P. Doniphan

OPERATIONS COORDINATOR/YARD PLANNER

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 745-6529

MOBILE (843) 514-3547

scspa.com

cid:image003.jpg@01CF9453.4BE97060

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely.

Michael Doniphon

Please accept this letter in support of Harbor Deepening to 52ft for the Charleston Port.

Regards

Marty

Marcella (Marty) Holmes

sr accountant/accounts payable supervisor

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8170

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Marcella B Holmes

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Regards,

Gabriel

Gabriel C Kotlinski

Material handling mechanic

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

mobile (843) 370-2740

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Clifford Murray

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Best Regards,

John

John H. Albers

Lead Cargo handler

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 724-4045

mobile (843) 514-2918

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Rich Struth

DATABASE ADMINISTRATOR

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8741

scspa.com < https://mail.scspa.com/owa/redir.aspx? C=2cf4319594da420c8fd966ad975d9ee3&URL=http%3a%2f%2fwww.scspa.com%2f>

image001

Dear Mark,

Please find my letter of support for this extremely important project that will set the stage for years to come for all South Carolinians. I urge you and the Corps to approve this project.

Regards,

Peter Lehman

Peter O. Lehman, Esq., PPM

vice president, cruise business and real estate

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8601

mobile (843) 514-4347

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Peter O. Lehman, Esq., PPM Vice President, Cruise Business and Real Estate



November 10, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 176 Concord Street P.O.Box 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 USA (843) 577-8601

scspa.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

eter O. Lehman

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Michelle

Michelle Dye

SoFtware Developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8797

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Scott Mains

Facilities Maintenance

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

mobile (843) 296-2780

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Scott Mains

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely, essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Margie Hardwick

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Email from OOCL is confidential and may be legally privileged. If it is not intended for you, please delete it immediately unread. The internet cannot guarantee that this communication is free of viruses, interception or interference and anyone who communicates with us by email is taken to accept the risks in doing so. Without limitation, OOCL and its affiliates accept no liability whatsoever and howsoever arising in connection with the use of this email. Under no circumstances shall this email constitute a binding agreement to carry or for provision of carriage services by OOCL, which is subject to the availability of carrier's equipment and vessels and the terms and conditions of OOCL's standard bill of lading which is also available at <u>http://www.oocl.com</u>.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

David Shaw

David M. Shaw

Systems Administrator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8748

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.
Sincerely,

Regards,

Sampson

Sampson Cubit

Lead Mechanic, Material Handling Maintenance

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 745-6512

mobile (843) 302-4565

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:Powell, BruceTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] 52" Harbor DeepeningDate:Monday, November 10, 2014 11:09:48 AMAttachments:Letter to USACE.docx

-Bruce

Robert B. Powell

Ops coordinator / yard planner

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7102

mobile (843) 514-4911

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

R.B.Powell

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Dennis Kight Master Electrician Master Heating and A/C Facilities Maintenance SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 745-6536 Cell (843) 514-4500 Fax: (843) 745-6555 E-Mail: DKight@SCSPA.com scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Max.Metcalf@bmwmc.com
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] BMW Letter of Support #2
Date:	Friday, November 14, 2014 4:22:32 PM
Attachments:	20141113 PortofCharleston.pdf

Dear Mr. Messersmith -- – Please find a letter of support for the Harbor Deepening project being reviewed by the US Army Corps of Engineers. This letter is from Mr. Manfred Erlacher, President and CEO of BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC., located in South Carolina. Your consideration of the project is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

Max Metcalf

BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC Max Metcalf Manager, Government and Community Relations PO Box 11000 Spartanburg, SC 29304 w)864-802-5333 (Note new number) fax) 864-801-5333 max.metcalf@bmwmc.com www.bmwusfactory.com

BMW Plant Spartanburg



Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

13 November 2014

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

Over the last several years, BMW Manufacturing has exported 70% of all vehicles produced in South Carolina, reaching over 140 global markets. In 2013, this amounted to over 200,000 vehicles being handled through the Port of Charleston. Earlier this year, we announced an additional \$1 Billion investment in our Spartanburg, SC plant and a plan to increase volume to 450,000 in the next two years. Another major component of our operations -which is greatly dependent on the Port-, is the container traffic coming to the plant. BMW currently imports on average 1,100 containers (2200 TEU's - 20' equivalent units) per month which include parts not produced in South Carolina for our models. You can easily understand that, if our volume is increasing, so will our logistics demand for inbound TEU's and exports. The addition of the South Carolina Inland Port has been very beneficial for business operations in our region for the state. A deepened harbor channel is the best compliment possible to a world-class logistics system in South Carolina.

It is critical that the harbor depth be deepened to accommodate the larger vessels, which in turn can lend security to the 30,000 jobs in South Carolina tied directly to our operations. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Your favorable consideration for the project is greatly appreciated.

Company BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC BMW Group Company

> Mailing address PO Box 11000 Spartanburg, SC 29304-4100

Office address 1400 Highway 101 South President & CEO Greer, SC 29651

> Telephone 864-989-6000

Internet www.bmwusfactory.com

Sincerely, al plent Manfred Erlacher

 From:
 Pierce. Dave

 To:
 Chas-Post45-Comments

 Cc:
 Rinaldi, Lindy

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] CHANNEL DEEPENING - A MUST

 Date:
 Wednesday, November 12, 2014 8:41:20 AM

 Attachments:
 USACE Ltr -channel.PDF

Good Morning –

My letter is attached -

VR/

Dave Pierce

Facility security officer (FSO), CARGO TERMINALS

Training and Compliance OFFICER

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY POLICE DEPT

OFFICE (843) 577-8116

mobile (843) 514-7688

dpierce@scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

Description: cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

10 NOV 14

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

From:Chihocky, JohnTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Charleston Deepening ProjectDate:Monday, November 10, 2014 10:30:11 AMAttachments:Deepening Project.docx

Regards,

John

John D. Chihocky

Yard Planner/Coordinator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 745-6535

MOBILE (843) 514-3542

scspa.com

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, John D. Chihocky Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Thanks,

Matty Blum

Facilities Maintenance

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

mobile (843) 296-2769

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Kaiser, Suzanne
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Kaiser, Suzanne
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] CHARLESTON HARBOR DEEPENING - LETTER TO USACE
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 10:32:50 AM
Attachments:	CHARLESTON HARBOR DEEPENING - LETTER TO USACE.docx

Please see attached letter in support of the Harbor Deepening at Charleston, SC.

Thank you. Suzanne Kaiser

November 10, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Kaiser

E-mail: skaiser@scspa.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me and their families who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is also important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

BEST REGARDS,

ART

Arthur J. Pruett VICE PRESIDENT, CARGO SALES SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 577-8620<tel:(843)%20577-8620> MOBILE (843) 697-7132<tel:(843)%20697-7132> scspa.com<<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a Mediterranean Ship Company employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the over 300 MSC employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is also important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Charleston's productivity is one of the best in the nation, but for it to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panama ships without tidal restriction.

South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly and leaders across its state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

It is clear to see the USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Best Regards,

Hannah Pruett

Export VIP Accounts, MSC Charleston

Ph: 843-971-4100 ext:32193

Dir: 843-654-6063

Fax: 843-971-1155

Email: hpruett@msc.us < mailto:hpruett@msc.us >

Please visit our website at https://link.msc.net < https://link.msc.net/>

Please take a moment to let us know how we have served you. Please click here <<u>mailto:customerservicefeedback@msc.us?subject=Customer%20Service%20Feedback</u>> or email customerservicefeedback@msc.us <<u>mailto:customerservicefeedback@msc.us</u>>

The Merchant shall use seals which are compliant with the latest ISO regulations or with equivalent security requirements. The Merchant shall indemnify Carrier against any loss, damage, liability or expenses whatsoever and howsoever arising, caused by the Merchant's use of a seal which does not comply with this provision. In addition, MSC reserves the right to apply penalty fee of \$ 500 in case seal is not compliant to the above

This message and any associated files (together the "Contents") are intended solely for the addressee(s). The Contents are confidential and may contain private information or information that is subject to copyright or is a trade secret or which is privileged. Views or opinions expressed herein do not necessarily represent views or opinions of MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company, its agents or their affiliated companies and may only be the view or opinion of the author. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must not use, print, copy, store, forward, or disclose it or act in reliance of the Contents. Please destroy all copies of the message and any associated files and notify the sender immediately that you have received it in error. Thank you for your cooperation. (MSCDSM2012:01)

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Shirley Smith

Systems administrator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8183

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Beth Monica on behalf of David Adam
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	James I. Newsome III (jnewsome@scspa.com); Mac Forehand (info@scitc.org); George W. Adams Jr. (badams-
	<u>scsa@msn.com); David Adam; Paul De Maria</u>
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Charleston Harbor Post-45 Project
Date:	Wednesday, November 12, 2014 12:24:51 PM

David F. Adam

Chairman & CEO

US Maritime Alliance, Ltd. (USMX)

485C US Highway 1 South, Suite 100

Iselin, NJ 08830

714-887-7175 dadam@usmx.com

From:Poole, AllenTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Charleston HarborDate:Monday, November 10, 2014 10:50:55 AMAttachments:image001.png
Charleston Harbor deeping project.docx

Regards,

Allen

ALLEN D. POOLE

YARD PLANNER/ OPS COORDINATOR

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 740-3272

MOBILE (843) 297-3100

SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, Allen D. Poole

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Joshua C. Fletcher

Intermodal coordinator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 724-4044

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Please see attached letter from Mr. Jose Ayala.

Carol M. Ford

Engineering Admin Assistant

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7048

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sinderely, C Gut Jose Avala

Regards,

Susan Knight

SR. Treasury operations technician

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8141

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:image003.jpg@01CF9453.4BE97060

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Susan Knight

Good afternoon,

Please see the attached comment letter about the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project.

Best Regards,

Karen Pfau

Export Manager | John S. James Co. | Charleston SC USA | TEL: 843.554.6400 x 106 | FAX: 843.554.4270

Description: <u>http://www.johnsjames.com/newsletters/jsj_sig.gif</u> Exporters are now eligible <<u>http://www.cbp.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Exporter%20Eligiblity%20and%20Minimum%20Security%20Criteria.pdf</u> > for C-TPAT benefits. Contact us today for assistance! Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincere

Kan 1 Man

From:Sease, BeverlyTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Comments on Charleston PortDate:Friday, November 14, 2014 10:51:11 AMAttachments:Letter11142014.pdf

Please see attached letter from David Winkles, President, SC Farm Bureau Federation.

Beverly S. Sease

Government Relations

SC Farm Bureau Federation

www.scfb.org

(803) 936-4215

FB Proud



South Carolina Farm Bureau Federation PO Box 754 • Columbia, SC • 29202.0754 803.796.6700 • Fax 803.936.4496 www.scfb.org

November 14, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

The South Carolina Farm Bureau (SCFB) submits this letter in support of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. SCFB is a grassroots, non-profit organization celebrating and supporting family farmers, locally grown food, and our rural lands through legislative advocacy, education, and community outreach.

South Carolina is home to 25,000 farms covering nearly 5 million acres. The agriculture industry is the largest within the state, adding \$34 billion to South Carolina's economy. Agribusiness relies heavily on exports of agricultural commodities, and our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide.

The Port of Charleston is vital to South Carolina's agriculture industry. Agricultural products comprise more than 30 percent of Charleston's container volume. A 2008 study shows that the Port helps support 2,600 agriculture and forestry jobs within the state.

The harbor-deepening project is absolutely essential to keeping up with the growing demand of South Carolina agricultural exports delivered overseas. Agricultural exports are heavier products, and shipbuilders are building larger ships to increase efficiency. The combined effects of these factors create a need for infrastructure-expansion, cutting-edge technology, and deeper harbors.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate larger vessels around the clock would hinder the collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

Accordingly, SCFB strongly supports the Port of Charleston's harbor-deepening project. We respectfully request that advance the project.

Sincerely,

ind Wulle

David Winkles President

Regards,

Robert

Robert L. Singleton

Lead Mechanic, MATERIAL HANDLING MAINTENANCE

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7036

FaX (843)856-7082

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, Robert L. Singleton
From:Gourdine, RobertTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] DEEPEN HARBORDate:Thursday, November 13, 2014 11:47:54 AMAttachments:Deepen Harbor.docx

Regards,

Robert

Robert G Gourdine

mechanic, MATERIAL HANDLING MAINTENANCE

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

cell (843) 371-6314

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, ROBERT GOURDINE

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Carrie

Carrie R. Kay

Software developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8614

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Wes Smith@jbhunt.com
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	owenen@dhec.sc.gov
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Deepening Charleston Harbor
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 1:56:27 PM
Attachments:	<u>ATT00001.png</u>
	Deepening Charleston Harbor.pdf

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

J. Wes Smith

Wes Smith | Branch Manager - ICS | Charleston, SC 843-789-1902 (O) 479.372.2445 (C)
J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. | What's your nextmove?[™]
Single Source | Intermodal | Dedicated | Final Mile | Truckload | LTL | Refrigerated | Flatbed

By booking the loads referenced herein, customer accepts and agrees to the following terms and

conditions: With regard to loads moved pursuant to J.B. Hunt's motor carrier authority (and except as stated below), J.B. Hunt's liability for cargo loss, damage, or injury shall be for the shipper's actual cost of the goods lost, damaged, or destroyed, and shall not, under any circumstance or under any recovery method including subrogation by customer's insurers, exceed the amount of \$100,000 per full truckload. Shipments having an actual cost value in excess of \$100,000 per shipment will be considered as being of "extraordinary value" and will be subject to this limitation of cargo liability unless customer declares the value prior to tender and the parties agree to a different released value and rate in writing signed by an authorized officer of J.B. Hunt.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

M. Beth Sturkie

finance department customer service and account specialist SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 577-8149 scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:image003.jpg@01CF9453.4BE97060

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As the spouse of a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Rodney A. Softy



NOTE:

The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to this message and deleting it from your computer.

Thank you.

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Rebecca

Rebecca Harmon

Treasury operations technician

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8621

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:image003.jpg@01CF9453.4BE97060

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Thanks,

Corey

David C. Frierson

MH Mechanic

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8668

mobile (843) 514-9590

cfrierson@scspa.com < mailto:cfrierson@scspa.com >

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me, and my husband, who works for MWV and who company also depends on the port and also contributes to our families livelihood, this project is important to all 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

The Sassard Family

Best regards,

Jacky Sassard and Family

From:	<u>Tracy Hemby</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	owenen@dhec.sc.gov
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Deepening our harbor to 52 feet
Date:	Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:37:46 AM
Attachments:	DOC030.PDF

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

SLACY & Hemby SCSPA Badge # 9380

Mr. Messersmith,

Please see the attached letter regarding Harbor Deeping in Charleston.

Regards,

Michael

Michael D. Hoffman

SC Inland Port—terminal manager

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (864) 968-7902

mobile (864) 593-8969

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michael Hoffman

Terminal Manager SC Inland Port Terminal Greer, SC 29651

From:	MBirtel@dupuycharleston.com
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	owenen@dhec.sc.gov
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Emailing: Charleston Harbor
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 9:24:40 AM
Attachments:	Charleston Harbor.pdf

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments: Charleston Harbor

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

Michael Birtel | Warehouse Manager Office 843-767-6880 | Cell 843-214-3243 | Fax 843-767-6881 mbirtel@dupuycharleston.com

Dupuy Storage Charleston 7555-B Palmetto Commerce Parkway North Charleston, SC 29420

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michael Birtel Michael Birtel Warchouse Manager Dupuy Storage Charleston, LLC

From:	Anthony, Leonton
То:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Final Template letter for employees.docx
Date:	Thursday, November 13, 2014 2:12:03 PM
Attachments:	Final Template letter for employees.docx

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Leonton Anthony

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Julie

Julie A. Cordova-Owens OPS Coordinator/yard planner

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7093

mobile (843) 514-4915

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Julie

Julie A. Cordova-Owens OPS Coordinator/yard planner SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 856-7093 mobile (843) 514-4915 scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Virginia

K9 Virginia Atkinson

K9 Explosive detcetion handler

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY police dept.

OFFICE (843) 577-8706

mobile (843) 514-7689

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sara

Sara Hughes

K9 Handler

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY Police dept.

OFFICE (843) 577-8706

mobile (843) 514-8810

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

See below.

Thanks, Ed Smith Charleston Service Center Director Averitt Express Charleston SC

----- Forwarded by Ed Smith/SALES/CHR/AverittExpress on 11/10/2014 03:22 PM -----

From:CHRLP1@averittexpress.comTo:edsmith@averittexpress.com,Date:11/10/2014 03:20 PMSubject:The attached file is from Averitt Express CHRLP1

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

EKward Mith AVERITT EX PRESS

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Timothy B. Porter ops coordinator/yard planner SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 856-7098 mobile (843) 296-1301 scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Tim
From:	Blum, Matthew
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] habor project
Date:	Wednesday, November 12, 2014 1:45:14 PM
Attachments:	DOC002.pdf

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Matthew, Blum

Ms. Erin Owen Water Quality Certification and Wetland Section SCDHEC – Bureau of Water 2600 Bull Street Columbia, SC 29201

Dear Ms. Erin Owen:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Matthew Blum

MatthARh

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Regards,

Mark

Mark E. Mazurek

Wando WELCH Terminal operations COORDINATOR

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7029

mobile (843) 296-7019

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Clair

Clair Davey

Manager, systems administration

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8125

Mobile (843) 296-1946

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Crowther, Billy
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening Letter
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 10:02:04 AM
Attachments:	Harbor Deepening Letter.docx

William Crowther

November 10, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

William Crowther Wando Welch Terminal Manager Good Morning.

Please see the attached support letter for the harbor deepening project.

Aubrey Coward

Export Customer Service | John S. James Co. | Charleston SC USA | TEL: 843.554.6400 x. 110 | FAX: 843.554.4270

<u>http://www.johnsjames.com/newsletters/jsj_sig.gif</u> Exporters are now eligible <<u>http://www.cbp.gov/sites/default/files/documents/Exporter%20Eligiblity%20and%20Minimum%20Security%20Criteria.pdf</u>

> for C-TPAT benefits. Contact us today for assistance!

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Please find a letter attached.

Best Regards,

Mary Beth

Mary Beth Richardson

General manager, planning and business analysis

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-1316

mobile (843) 814-3198

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I support the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is critical to the State of South Carolina and the Southeast region. I am one of many directly impacted by the Port of Charleston and its future growth. I have lived in Charleston for 20 years and worked for the Port for over 10 years. Without the port, I daresay that I would not have been able remain in Charleston as the job market here for many years was extremely uncompetitive. The port industry has been the backbone of this city since its inception and remains to have the most direct economic impact on our region and state.

Our harbor has many natural benefits that make it a clear choice for deepening. I believe this project is critical for South Carolina's future growth and without it our port will not be able to handle the large ships that are right around the corner. Please let this project go forward for my generation and future generations to come.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Richardson

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Gloria Purcell

--

Gloria Purcell, CPC Owner/Staffing Specialist A-Plus Staffing,LLC 843-556-1800 gloria@aplusstaffing.net Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Regards,

Caroline

Caroline T. Owens

Terminal Clerk

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7030

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

Description: cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:Macaulay, BethTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] harbor deepening projectDate:Friday, November 14, 2014 7:57:04 AMAttachments:harbor deepening project.docx

Beth Regards,

Beth

Beth Macaulay

software developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8122

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Beth Macaulay

See attachment letter to move forward with the harbor deepening.

Regards,

Rodger

Rodger Cishek

Captain, InvesTigations/Port Operations

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8716

mobile (843) 297-6952

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

Description: cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

R. j.

From:Stone, MarshallTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening Support LetterDate:Wednesday, November 12, 2014 8:43:27 AMAttachments:Support Letter for 52 Feet.docx

Please see the attached.

Regards,

Marshall

Marshall Stone

Safety Manager

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8131

mobile (843) 514-1320

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Marshall Stone Safety Manager, Port of Charleston POB 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 Office: 843.577.8131 Hi Mark,

I have attached my signature for support of the proposed 52 foot harbor deepening project. I have been a port employee for over 26 years and understand how important this project is to the continued economic prosperity of South Carolina and our nation.

Regards,

Lindy

Lorinda M. Rinaldi

Chief of police

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8665

Dispatch (843) 577-8706

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

Description: cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, Lounds M. Linald.

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Donald

Donald L D'Amaral

Ops Coordinator/Yard Planner

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 856-7092

mobile (843) 514-6589

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:Jones, MelodyTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor deepeningDate:Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:08:26 AMAttachments:DOC006.PDF

Regards,

Melody

Melody W. Jones

Terminal Clerk

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8773

Fax (843) 577-8662

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Melody Jones

From:Simon, BrentTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor DeepeningDate:Wednesday, November 12, 2014 6:32:03 AMAttachments:army.pdf

Regards,

Brent

Brent L. Simon

Sergeant-Trainig Division

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8706

fax (843) 514-0538

scspa.com

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

56T 3 Simon

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Mark Barna

As the spouse of a SC State Ports Authority employee (Stephen Rauch), I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is important to me and my family as well as the 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

SCPA's terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but for the port to continue to be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Melanie L. Rauch

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Stephen B. Rauch

Regards

Marty

Marcella (Marty) Holmes

sr accountant/accounts payable supervisor

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8170

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Rebecca

Rebecca Q. Blanton

Financial Systems analyst

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8163

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy,
forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Regards,

Sam

Samuel J. Moore

MANAGER, MATERIAL HANDLING MAINTENANCE

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8702

scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:image003.jpg@01CF9453.4BE97060

From:Matthews, KevinTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor DeepeningDate:Monday, November 10, 2014 10:35:01 AMAttachments:DOC002.PDF

Regards,

Kevin

Kevin Matthews

Heavy lift mechanic

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8697

Mobile (843) 302-4061

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

George K Matthews

Mark, please see attached letter. Thanks for your review and support of this matter.

Regards,

Jimmy

JAMES W. GIBSON

MANAGER, INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-1312

mobile (843) 452-3896

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

James Gibson

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Donald H. Beck

software developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8633

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

From:Jones, MelodyTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor deepeningDate:Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:20:04 AMAttachments:DOC007.PDF

Regards,

Melody

Melody W. Jones

Terminal Clerk

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8773

Fax (843) 577-8662

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, the K fires

Baldwin, Anne
Chas-Post45-Comments
[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening
Thursday, November 13, 2014 2:27:53 PM
DOC000.pdf

Please see attached letter.

November 13, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely.

me Baldwi

Anne Baldwin Accounting Department

Good morning, Mr. Messersmith. Please consider the attached letter as my declaration of support for the Harbor Deepening project.

Regards,

Cathy

Cathy Chihocky

Manager, CUSTOMER SUPPORT

South Carolina Ports Authority

office (843) 577-8142

Mobile (843) 514-2234

scspa.com

Description: cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Cathy Chihocky, SCSPA Manager, Customer Support Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith,

As a S.C. State Ports employee, I'm asking the US Army Corps of Engineers to please move forward with the deepening project. I'm one of many people across this state, region, and country that depend on this port. Our terminals have been in use supplying this country with jobs and goods for over 300 years. It is also one of the best natural ports on the east coast, one of the closest to open water for shipping, and is now one of the deepest.

The South Carolina Ports are known for their efficiency, they are 60% more productive than the West Coast Ports. That in itself should speak great volumes. Protecting and enhancing our Port should be a no brainer. See for yourself and look at the numbers, at our overall container volume, BMW units moved through Charleston, Continental Tires, break bulk, roll on roll off, and heavy lift. So you can see why the Harbor Deeping Project should move A.S.A.P.

Regards,

Chris

Chris C. Collins

Lead Mechanic

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8668

mobile (843) 514-8389

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	Edens, William
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	"owenen@dhec.sc.gov"
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Have a Blessed Day
Date:	Thursday, November 13, 2014 8:07:16 AM
Attachments:	DOC000.PDF
Attachments:	

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

William L Sol 9183

To Whom it may concern,

International Forest Products LLC (IFP - <u>http://ifpcorp.com/</u> < <u>http://ifpcorp.com/</u>>) strongly endorses the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project.

IFP is one of the largest containerized exporters in the US and, like many US exporters, relies on efficient freight solutions in order to remain competitive in the global economy. This project will allow IFP's suppliers in South Carolina and surround states to take advantage of better shipping services and larger vessels that can gain economies of scale. IFP's volumes through Charleston will realize strong growth with implementation of this proposed project.

Respectfully submitted.

Rob Shepard Vice President of Transportation & Logistics International Forest Products

One Patriot Place Foxborough, MA 02035 T: 508 698 4651 E-Mail: RobS@ifpcorp.com <<u>mailto:RobS@ifpcorp.com</u>> <u>http://www.ifpcorp.com/</u> <<u>http://www.ifpcorp.com/</u>> <u>http://www.thekraftgroup.com/</u> <<u>http://www.thekraftgroup.com/</u>>

NOTICE: This message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or duplication of this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by reply email and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments. Email transmission may not be secure and could contain errors. We accept no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email. Please do not send to us by email any information containing personally identifiable information without appropriate encryption. Thank you.

Please see my attached letter in support of the Charleston Harbor deepening project.

Kenneth J. Kromer

Claims Manager

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8135

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Ken Kromer

 From:
 Nell, Keith

 To:
 Chas-Post45-Comments

 Subject:
 [EXTERNAL] Letter in support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening

 Date:
 Monday, November 10, 2014 8:27:16 AM

 Attachments:
 Letter to USACE.docx

Thanks for your efforts.

Keith

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee for the past 22 years, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Keith Nell Manager of Vessel Operations

From:	Read, Marsha
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Letter of Endorsement
Date:	Friday, November 14, 2014 10:21:36 AM
Attachments:	Endorsement Letter to Mark Messersmith.pdf

From:	Newsome, Jim
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Letter of Endorsement for the US Army Corps of Engineers and SC Ports Authority"s Proposed 52- Feet Harbor Deepening
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 1:57:42 PM
Attachments:	Letter to Mark Messersmith - USACE.pdf

Please find attached letter of endorsement.

From:	<u>Read, Marsha</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Letter of Endorsement
Date:	Friday, November 14, 2014 10:27:24 AM
Attachments:	Ron Brinson endorsement letter to Mark Messersmith.pdf

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

John Baldwin, CCIM

Broker

jbaldwin@naiearlefurman.com < mailto:jbaldwin@naiearlefurman.com >

NAI Earle Furman

101 E Washington Street, Suite 400 Greenville, SC 29601

naiearlefurman.com <<u>http://www.naiearlefurman.com/</u>>

Direct 864 678 5982 Mobile 864 238 6336 Main 864 232 9040 Fax 864 235 4300

LinkedIn <<u>http://www.linkedin.com/company/118402?trk=prof-exp-company-name</u>> | Twitter <<u>https://twitter.com/naiearlefurman</u>> | Facebook <<u>http://www.facebook.com/naiearlefurman</u>>

Description: Description: NAI_EarleFurman - email signature < http://www.naiglobal.com/>

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Alex Campbell

Broker

acampbell@naiearlefurman.com < mailto:acampbell@naiearlefurman.com >

NAI Earle Furman

101 E Washington Street, Suite 400 Greenville, SC 29601

naiearlefurman.com < http://www.naiearlefurman.com/>

Direct 864 678 5984 Mobile 864 901 3869 Main 864 232 9040 Fax 864 235 4300

LinkedIn <<u>http://www.linkedin.com/company/118402?trk=prof-exp-company-name</u>> | Twitter <<u>https://twitter.com/naiearlefurman</u>> | Facebook <<u>http://www.facebook.com/naiearlefurman</u>>

Description: Description: NAI_EarleFurman - email signature < http://www.naiglobal.com/>

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations, as well as the customers I look after around the country.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Regards,

Micah

Micah Mallace

Regional Sales Executive

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-1311

mobile (860) 961-5511

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-

foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger

ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is

critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel

depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of

U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on

modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and

my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other

stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in

concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of

funding for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina,

long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to

support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows:

this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report

and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an

environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States

container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South

Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Billy Dodd

Kontane Logistics

c- (843) 480-3025

Description: Logo for email <<u>http://www.kontanelogistics.com/</u>>
Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

LT.Olin E. Davis

lieUTENANT: Special Operations / K9 Explosive Supervisor / Passenger Terminal FSO

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY Police DEpt.

OFFICE (843) 577-1345

mobile (843) 514-9277

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, Evan C. Cramer

UCW Logistics

¹⁰¹ North Main Street, Suite 801 Greenville, SC 29601 T: (864) 516-1980 F: (888) 509-7120 ecramer@ucwlogistics.com <applewebdata://CA923065-8984-45EB-B252-E775E995F55E/jwilliamson@ucwlogistics.com>

From:	<u>KAY</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] PORT OF CHARLESTON NEED TO BE DEEPER
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 10:13:25 AM

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As the husband of a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Charles W Kay

Max.Metcalf@bmwmc.com
Chas-Post45-Comments
[EXTERNAL] Port of Charleston Support
Friday, November 14, 2014 4:19:34 PM
BMW-2014-11-10.pdf

Dear Mr. Messersmith – Please find a letter of support for the Harbor Deepening project being reviewed by the US Army Corps of Engineers. This letter is from Mr. Harald Krüger, Member of the BMW Board of Management. Your consideration of the project is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,

BMW Manufacturing Co., LLC Max Metcalf Manager, Government and Community Relations PO Box 11000 Spartanburg, SC 29304 w)864-802-5333 (Note new number) fax) 864-801-5333 max.metcalf@bmwmc.com www.bmwusfactory.com



Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 USA

November 10, 2014

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. The Port of Charleston is an essential part of BMW's strategic target to reach global markets with our models produced in South Carolina.

BMW currently exports 70% of South Carolina produced X models to approximately 140 markets in the world. Likewise, in order to produce vehicles in the US we also must import over one thousand containers holding key parts for our company and our suppliers in the region. The deepening of the harbor in Charleston will enable companies such as ours to meet growing demand in the global marketplace, help maintain the competiveness of small, medium and large port users and secure jobs such as the 30,000 in South Carolina that are directly tied to our operations.

Your favorable consideration of the request is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

135





Address Petuering 130, 80788 München Phone +49 89 382-46500 Telefax +49 89 382-42500 Dear Mr. Messersmith,

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to the citizens across South Carolina, nearly 300,000 whose jobs exist because of port operations.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across the state have shown their support for this effort. This project is important to Charleston, the State of South Carolina and the Southeastern region. South Carolina is home to some of the best companies in the world, and continues to attract new and expanding companies involved in manufacturing and distribution on a global scale. These companies need access to the global market that the Port of Charleston provides. And, while we are the most efficient port in the nation, to truly be competitive in our region we must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restrictions.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

The USACE and the SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely, Jack W. Ellenberg SENIOR vICE pRESIDENT, eCONOMIC dEVELOPMENT AND PROJECTS SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (803) 726-4572 mobile (803) 413-6766 scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Regards,

David

David J. Hoffman

North Charleston Terminal Manager

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 745-6533

mobile (843) 297-2023

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

David Hoffman North Charleston Terminal Manager

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	loukennedy@nephronpharm.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 13, 2014 10:03:32 AM

Name: Lou Kennedy Email: loukennedy@nephronpharm.com < <u>mailto:loukennedy%40nephronpharm.com</u>> Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support the deepening of the Charleston Port. We have received over 100

million dollars of equipment for our new SC manufacturing facility through the port, and we believe that improvements to the port will benefit the receipt of shipments.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 7:54:04 PM

Name: Terra Kinder Email: Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Please deepen the harbor of Charleston. Thank you.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	brandon.miller@jas.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 7:43:46 PM

Name: Brandon Miller

Email: brandon.miller@jas.com < mailto:brandon.miller%40jas.com > Address: 4249 A- Crosspoint Drive, Ladson SC 29456 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Andrew.Tipling@jas.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 7:38:56 PM

Name: Andrew Tipling

Email: Andrew.Tipling@jas.com < mailto:Andrew.Tipling%40jas.com > Address: 4249-A Crosspoint Drive, Ladson SC 29456 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Andrew.Tipling@jas.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 7:37:40 PM

Name: Andrew Tipling

Email: Andrew.Tipling@jas.com < mailto:Andrew.Tipling%40jas.com > Address: 4249-A Crosspoint Drive, Ladson SC 29456 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 6:08:03 PM

Name: Charlie Hill

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith: On behalf of the board members and community supported by the South Carolina International Trade Conference, I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. The importance of this project for the tri-county region, South Carolina entirely, and Southeast region cannot be overstated. The maritime community and those directly and indirectly employed by maritime industries, depend on the success of our state port. The mission of our organization is to support all those affiliated with maritime industries. We have done so for over 41 years. Our support, along with other organizations and individuals that support the SC maritime community, resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to have done so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, came together to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. No one appreciates more the protection of the waterfront environment here than those who work in it and around it daily. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Charlie Hill

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Alison.Wilson@interstatehotels.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 1:45:25 PM

Name: Alison Wilson

Email: Alison.Wilson@interstatehotels.com < <u>mailto:Alison.Wilson%40interstatehotels.com</u> > Address: 125 Calhoun Street, Charleston SC 29455 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Deepening Charleston's port will help facilitate economic development in the

region and state of South Carolina. From a hotel standpoint, having a more competitive port positively impacts our growing tourism industry and increases demand for accommodations and meeting needs with visitors, contractors and partners associated with the deepening project. With Charleston's recent accolades being voted one of the top cities to visit in the world by world renowned Travel Magazines, it only makes sense for the port to be more competitive- it's a win- win for our global economy.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 12:24:27 PM

Name: Ron Sassard Email:

Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: The harbor deepening is critical to the viability of the Charleston port in support of numerous US businesses that rely on the port to be competitive. This needs to happen as quickly as possible to allow the use of larger, more efficient ships. Ultimately, this port deepening is needed to support US businesses as they compete internationally.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 12:09:15 PM

Name: Darby Brass Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I am writing to let you know I am for deepening the Charleston Harbor. The State Ports Authority is an integral part of Charleston's economy, and livelihood of many residents. We need any and all advantages we can have to increase our Port's work load of receiving and exporting products through our harbor. Please listen and allow Charleston to grow and keep up with the ever changing business world. Thank you, Darby A. Brass

noreply@dma.mil
Chas-Post45-Comments
asorbara@scspa.com
[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Monday, November 10, 2014 11:33:43 AM

Name: Alexis Sorbara Email: asorbara@scspa.com <<u>mailto:asorbara%40scspa.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This process to deepen the harbor is not only crucial to the job security of the 500 SCPA employees like me, yet to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations. It is imperative for our economy's livelihood and competitive edge of Charleston's ports to go forth with this proposed project. The bottom line is that ships are getting larger and they will be called to Charleston. To maintain our title of having the nation's best terminal productivity, we must align our infrastructure and harbor depth to have the capability of hosting larger, post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction, to maximize productivity. Without a deeper harbor, ships will be going elsewhere. With that being said, the proposed 52 foot depth is a key player to ensure our state's future security and success. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This effort is statewide, serving South Carolina as a prosperous whole. It is important for the immediate future to continue to grow and stay relevant in the world of ports. On a daily basis, companies, households and every person of every county of South Carolina depend on our port to allow access to goods and imports. After years of investigating and planning, I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner, with appropriate mitigation and restorative planning. If Charleston and other counties would like to see businesses prosper and grow as they have been, this is necessary for South Carolina as a whole. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Alexis Sorbara

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 9:56:05 AM

Name: William Crowther Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I am in favor of the harbor deepening project for Charleston Harbor.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Blempesis@scspa.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Wednesday, November 12, 2014 4:42:59 PM

Name: William Lempesis

Email: Blempesis@scspa.com < <u>mailto:Blempesis%40scspa.com</u> > Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I would like to strongly support the SCPA and the US Army CORP of Engineers in its efforts to deepen (Post 45 Foot Draft) Charleston SC. Not only is it critical to the continued economic development for the lowcountry and the State of SC, it also is the most efficient means for the US government to support the countries commercial infrastructure. Charleston has a long history as a commercial seaport, this project is absolutely necessary for Charleston to remain a viable seaport. Thank You

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	tpuckhaber@scspa.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 8:53:44 AM

Name: Tommy Puckhaber Email: tpuckhaber@scspa.com <<u>mailto:tpuckhaber%40scspa.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Messersmith: As a SC Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers to move forward with the proposed 52 feet harbor deepening project. In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations. Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restrictions. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers around the globe. I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integration Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Tommy Puckhaber

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	hlee@scspa.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 8:51:47 AM

Name: Hampton Lee Email: hlee@scspa.com <<u>mailto:hlee%40scspa.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations. Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe. I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Hampton Lee

nent
1

Name: Allen Cullum

Email: acullum@culluminterests.com < mailto:acullum%40culluminterests.com > Address: 3949 Maple Av., #410, Dallas, TX 75219-3254 Draft FR/EIS Comment: As the developer of Velocity Park, a major industrial park in Greer, SC, two miles from the Greer Inland Port, I support the deepening of the Charleston harbor to 52 feet as a worthy and economically important project for the entire Southeastern United States. Bringing larger ships into the Charleston harbor will have positive effects on the environment and the economy by greatly lessening cross-country and intrastate truck shipments. Utilizing the Norfolk Southern line and lower cost rail service will be win-win for all parties. Please proceed with the study and, eventually, the

deeping. Thank you. Allen Cullum

Name: Eric Bakker

Email: eric.bakker@djpowers.com < mailto:eric.bakker%40djpowers.com > Address: 5900 Core Ave, st203 N Charleston SC 29406 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: On behalf of the board members and community supported by the South Carolina International Trade Conference, I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. The importance of this project for the tri-county region, South Carolina entirely, and Southeast region cannot be overstated. The maritime community and those directly and indirectly employed by maritime industries, depend on the success of our state port. The mission of our organization is to support all those affiliated with maritime industries. We have done so for over 41 years. Our support, along with other organizations and individuals that support the SC maritime community, resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to have done so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, came together to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentallyresponsible manner. No one appreciates more the protection of the waterfront environment here than those who work in it and around it daily. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Eric M Bakker

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Bbullock@sefl.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 2:37:20 PM

Name: Bob Bullock

Email: Bbullock@sefl.com < mailto: Bbullock%40sefl.com >

Southeastern Freight Lines, Inc., 420 Davega Drive, Lexington, SC 29073 Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: On behalf of the board members and community supported by the South Carolina International Trade Conference, I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. The importance of this project for the tri-county region, South Carolina entirely, and Southeast region cannot be overstated. The maritime community and those directly and indirectly employed by maritime industries, depend on the success of our state port. The mission of our organization is to support all those affiliated with maritime industries. We have done so for over 41 years. Our support, along with other organizations and individuals that support the SC maritime community, resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to have done so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, came together to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentallyresponsible manner. No one appreciates more the protection of the waterfront environment here than those who work in it and around it daily. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Bob Bullock Bob Bullock Vice President, International Southeastern Freight Lines, inc. 420 Davega Drive Lexington, SC 29073

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	jimmieg@atsinc.net
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 11:31:37 AM

Name: Jimmie Gianoukos

Email: jimmieg@atsinc.net <<u>mailto:jimmieg%40atsinc.net</u>>

Address: 4033 W. Montague Ave. N. Charleston, SC 29418

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: On behalf of the board members and community supported by the South Carolina International Trade Conference, I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. The importance of this project for the tri-county region, South Carolina entirely, and Southeast region cannot be overstated. The maritime community and those directly and indirectly employed by maritime industries, depend on the success of our state port. The mission of our organization is to support all those affiliated with maritime industries. We have done so for over 41 years. Our support, along with other organizations and individuals that support the SC maritime community, resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to have done so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, came together to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentallyresponsible manner. No one appreciates more the protection of the waterfront environment here than those who work in it and around it daily. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Jimmie Gianoukos, President & CEO ATS Logistics, Inc.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 9:04:42 AM

Name: SUSAN MURPHY Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I fully agree with the deepening project

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	<u>rick.jones@johnsjames.com</u>
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 9:01:02 AM

Name: Richard Jones Email: rick.jones@johnsjames.com < mailto:rick.jones%40johnsjames.com > Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Messersmith: I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52- foot harbor deepening project. As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company. In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port. The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Richard Jones

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Tuesday, November 11, 2014 9:00:04 AM

Name: Summer Massey Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support the harbor deepening project.

From: r	noreply@dma.mil
То: (Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	oukennedy@nephronpharm.com
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 13, 2014 10:03:41 AM

Name: Lou Kennedy

Email: loukennedy@nephronpharm.com < mailto:loukennedy%40nephronpharm.com > Address: 4500 12th Street Extension, West Columbia, SC 29172 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support the deepening of the Charleston Port. We have received over 100 million dollars of equipment for our new SC manufacturing facility through the port, and we believe that improvements to the port will benefit the receipt of shipments. From:Sherman, TimTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Post 45 dredging commentDate:Wednesday, November 12, 2014 4:52:46 PMAttachments:DOC001.pdf

Regards,

Tm

Tim Sherman

Facilities manager

South Carolina Ports Authority

office (843) 856-7055

scspa.com

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Tim Sherman Facilities Manager

From:		
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Harbor Deepening	
Date:	Wednesday, November 12, 2014 7:30:05 AM	
Attachments:	Final Template letter for employees.docx.docx	
	ATTOOOO2 txt	

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,
Regards,

Steve

Stevenson E. Kemp, Jr., P.E.

Vice President, Terminal Operations

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8756

mobile (843) 514-8292

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Stevenson E. Kemp, Jr., P. E. Vice President, Terminal Operations



11 November 2014

176 Concord Street P.O.Box 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 USA (843) 577-8756

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

scspa.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee with more than 24 years of service, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely

Stevenson E. Kemp, Jr., P.E. Vice President, Terminal Operations

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Brian Holstein Database Administrator SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY OFFICE (843) 577-8657 scspa.com <<u>http://www.scspa.com/</u>> cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Darryl Spriggs HVAC Tech South Carolina Ports Authority 843-514-8514

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Good morning

Please see the attachment pertaining to the Harbor Deepening Project.

Regards,

Michael

Michael J. Wilson

CMMS Administrator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8604

mobile (843) 514-4746

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michael Wilson

From:Oubre, EdTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Request support for 52 feetDate:Friday, November 14, 2014 8:58:50 AMAttachments:request.pdf

Regards,

Ed

Ed G. Oubre

mechanical technical specialist

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8639

mobile (843) 514-6963

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Blub

Please see the attached letter in support of the harbor deepening.

Thank you,

Wendy Pyles Ando

Payroll Administrator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8171

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

From:	DiPaolo, Victor J.
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	DiPaolo, Victor J.
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] SCPA proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project comment.
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 10:05:35 AM
Attachments:	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers support letterx.pdf
Importance:	High

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Victor J. DiPaolo

South Carolina Ports Authority

PS: I have also attached a PDF of the above for your files.

Regards,

Victor

Victor J. DiPaolo

Manager, International Carrier sales

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

MOBILE (908) 403-8468

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I respectfully request the Army Core of Engineers support and approve the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. 500 SCPA employees like me depend on the port for our livelihood. To be competitive in our region we must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort.

This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe. 260,800 people across South Carolina have jobs that were created because of our port operations. I have every confidence that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Sincerely,

Cathy Grafmann

Software developer

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8713

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this

e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

I appreciate the hard work the USACE has done and continues to do with the Harbor Deepening Project. The South Carolina Ports Authority is a world-class port that needs the harbor deepening project to move forward to continue to be competitive and to bring new businesses to our state.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Orlando A. Jacinto

Network Administrator

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8714

mobile (843) 514-9974

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Barry Sturmer

Good Day Mr. Messersmith:

Please see the attached letter. I would like to thank you in advance for your support of the port and for our quest for the 52-feet harbor deepening project.

Kindest regards,

Verna L. Green

Manager, Communications and Admin

South Carolina Ports Authority

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

U. Amen

100

From:	<u>Wilson, Alison</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Support Needed for Charleston Harbor Post-45 Project
Date:	Monday, November 10, 2014 1:57:25 PM

Deepening Charleston's port will help facilitate economic development in the region and state of South Carolina. From a hotel standpoint, having a more competitive port positively impacts our growing tourism industry and increases demand for accommodations and meeting needs with visitors, contractors and partners associated with the deepening project. With Charleston's recent accolades being voted one of the top cities to visit in the world by world renowned Travel Magazines, it only makes sense for the port to be more competitive- it's a win- win for our global economy.

Alison Wilson| Sales Manager | Courtyard by Marriott Charleston Historic District

125 Calhoun Street, Charleston SC 29401| Direct: 843-414-4260 |Fax: 843-414-4261|General 843-805-7900

Please note my new email address Alison.Wilson@interstatehotels.com < <u>mailto:Alison.Wilson@interstatehotels.com</u>>

Visit our new E-BROCHURE! <u>http://v-Brochure.hvmassetlibrary.com/MAR/SC/CYM29401CSCHD</u> < <u>http://v-brochure.hvmassetlibrary.com/MAR/SC/CYM29401CSCHD</u>>

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for addressee. The information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail or phone and delete this message and its attachments, if any.

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast. For more information please visit <u>http://www.mimecast.com</u>

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely

Stephen A. Krupczak

Operations Manager

Maxwood Furniture

578 East Bay Street, Suite C

Charleston, SC 29403

203-683-4895 x100

843-974-4372 FAX

Trident CEO Council

SOUTH CAROLINA

BERKELEY

CHARLESTON

DORCHESTER

John Darby Chairman

Thompson E. Penney Vice Chairman

Wayne Hall Secretary/Treasurer

Marc Fetten Assistant Secretary

Richard Elliott Assistant Secretary

Reid Banks

Frank Bullard

Lonnie Carter

Richard A. "Rick " Day

David L. Dunlap

Robert D. Fairey

Richard R. Garcia

Jerry L. Good

Leonard Hutchison, III

J. Rhett Reidenbach

Neil C. Robinson, Jr.

Michael Rousseau

Kenneth T. Seeger

O.L. Thompson

Debra A. Turner

Robert C. Whitten

William Youngblood

Anita Zucker

Tom Leonard Director November 6, 2014

Lt. Col. John T. Litz Commander and District Engineer, Charleston District 69 Hagood Ave Charleston, SC 29403

Re: <u>STAND FOR HARBOR DEEPENING</u>

Dear Colonel Litz,

The purpose of this letter is to introduce, to you, the Trident CEO Council, a group of executives from the Tri-County Region employing in excess of 10,000 associates in the local community, advocating a culture to Stand for Progress in our Region.

The Council fully supports your harbor deepening recommendation to 52 feet. Our region enjoys a USA Top Ten Port and recognizes that the South Carolina Port will need to invest nearly \$2 billion dollars into our Port Infrastructure over the next ten years. This investment will lead to job creation and multi-billion dollar economic growth throughout South Carolina. To accomplish this goal, we strongly support deepening the harbor to 52 feet to enable our Port to handle larger ships coming to our City in the future.

In conclusion, The Trident CEO Council supports your recommendation for the deepening of the harbor and stands ready to assist in any way possible.

Respectfully and Supportively,

Tom Leonard Executive Director

/dab

cc Trident CEO Council

www.tridentceocouncil.com

Mr. Messersmith,

Please see attached letter in support of deepening Charleston Harbor.

Sincerely,

Paul Morin

Director US Transportation

adidas Group

November 24, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I support the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project.

As a company engaged in international shipping, we are well aware of the investments in bigger ships to more efficiently move cargo around the globe. To realize the benefits of big ships, it is critical that they can move at a draft of 48 feet without tidal restrictions. That requires a channel depth of 52 feet.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, as well as the future of U.S. distribution and manufacturing. While it is essential for ocean carriers, who need to call on modern ports capable of handling larger ships with deeper drafts, it is also important to me and my company.

In addition, South Carolina's Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated broad support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of funding for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that South Carolina, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. They understand what the international shipping community knows: this project is critical to moving cargo around the world.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds that the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward so that Charleston remains a top 10 United States container port.

The Corps and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Paul R. Morin Director US Transportation adidas Group

From:	Jill Neece
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] FW: Charleston Harbor Deepening - Public Comment Period.
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 5:39:33 PM

Dear Army Corps of Engineers,

Please allow this e-mail to serve as an expression of Springs Creative Products Group, LLC support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project. The Charleston Port is a great competitive advantage for our business by allowing us to keep our drayage costs down and receive containers within 24 hours of vessel arrival! We also provide 3PL services for other companies. We are always recruiting for more import and export business. Over the years we have done business with many different ports and the Charleston port is, by far, one of the most efficient with solid customer service and quick container turns.

Since the Charleston Port is a harbor port, we would imagine that the environmental impact to deepen, is less disruptive and more environmentally friendly versus ports with river access, etc. The Charleston port is a valued partner in the growth of SC Commerce and our surrounding states as well.

It is inevitable that most of the ocean carriers will transition to larger vessels over the next several years. The larger vessels allow the carriers to move more containers in an improved fuel efficient and environmentally friendly manner. In order for the Charleston Port to remain competitive, it must be able to accommodate the larger vessels. This is a vital project for the state of SC and will have great impact in the state's ability to locate more commerce here.

Springs Creative Products Group, LLC is excited for the future growth of our home state port in this vast global economy.

DSCSignatureCroppedRed75

Derick S. Close

CEO, Springs Creative Products

TEL: 803.324.6566

The Cotton Factory

300 Chatham Ave., Suite 102

Rock Hill, SC 29730

November 25, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Sharon

Sharon P. Jorgensen

COMPUTER FIELD TECHNICIAN 3

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8124

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

SC ports = jobs

From:Claus BuschTo:Chas-Post45-CommentsSubject:[EXTERNAL] Harbor DeepeningDate:Monday, November 24, 2014 1:15:14 PMAttachments:141124130811 0001.pdf

Dear Mr. Messersmoth,

Please find attached my letter of support for the deepening of the Charleston harbor to 52 feet. The Port of Charleston is vital to the economy of the State of South Carolina the surrounding region. In the future I think there will be greater economic savings with the low cost of maintaining the Charleston harbor verses other ports.

Thank you for your time.

Regards,

Claus Busch

Claus Busch

AZ Charleston

3191 Ashley Phosphate Road, Suite 200

Charleston, SC 29418

Ph: 843-760-0001

Fax: 843-760-0005

Email: cbusch@azcfs.com



November 24, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely. Ce Brand

Claus Busch

From:	Harrison, Bradley
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Dhand, Erin
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Harbor dredging letter
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 6:02:34 AM
Attachments:	Harbor dredging letter.pdf

Thank you for accepting comments on the harbor dredging. Attached I have written a short letter in support of the project.

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith

In anticipation of the completed expansion of the Panama Canal in January of 2016, it is my sincere hope that the United States Army Corps of Engineers along with cooperation from the South Carolina Dept. of Health and Environmental Control will proceed with plans for the 52 ft. deepening of Charleston Harbor.

Ever-increasing container/cargo ship size was a decisive factor in my sending you this letter. To stay competitive with a global reach the Port of Charleston has to be able to service these larger vessels around the clock without tidal restriction. These new ships, although larger, are also more advanced using a multitude of eco-friendly innovative and technological improvements which allow them to be more energy efficient, power to weight, than many of the smaller container ships we see today.

The economic importance to the state goes without saying. It is without doubt that every South Carolinian will benefit from this proposed project in some form. The deepening will also have farreaching implications that extend well beyond our state lines. With the addition of the SC Inland Port the SC State Ports Authority has seen an increase in customers from North Carolina, Georgia and Tennessee. Export and import volume will increase significantly with the harbor dredging as new and existing customers alike from this state and surrounding states are able to take advantage of new markets from around the world.

With the bulk of funding already in place, this project will insure economic viability and sustainability for our state with minimal environmental impact; a win-win for South Carolina.

Sincerely,

Brad Harrison

On behalf of Mr. Tommy Baker.

Best,

Kathryn

Kathryn P. Wall

office of Tommy B. Baker

Baker Motor Company, Baker Buick GMC Cadillac, Baker Motor Company of Mt. Pleasant

843-852-4000 * 843-852-4017 (fax)

kpfaehler@bakermotorcompany.com < mailto:kpfaehler@bakermotorcompany.com >

This e-mail message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain legally privileged and confidential information. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have either received this message in error or through interception, and that any review, use, distribution; copying or disclosure of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited and is subject to criminal and civil penalties. All personal messages express solely the sender's views and not those of Baker Motor Company, Inc. If you received this message in error, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Like us on Facebook! www.facebook.com/BakerMotorCompany <<u>http://www.facebook.com/BakerMotorCompany</u>>



Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a business owner in Charleston, SC, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

Not only to me & my business but this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project, can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely Tommy Bake President & CEO

BAKER MOTOR COMPANY 1511-1515 SAVANNAH HIGHWAY CHARLESTON, SC 29407 PHONE: 843-852-4000 FAX 843-852-4038 www.bakermotorcompany.com


















From:	<u>MEGuardia</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments; owenen@dhec.sc.gov
Cc:	OBazan; MDengo; AMChong; JCastillo; JNewsome@SCSPA.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Note from Mr. Jorge L. Quijano, Panama Canal Authority Administrator
Date:	Tuesday, November 25, 2014 9:27:36 AM
Attachments:	image002.png image003.png
	<u>Note from the Panama Canal Authority Administrato to the US Corps of Engineers.pdf</u>

Dear Sirs:

Attached please find a note from Mr. Jorge L. Quijano, Panama Canal Authority Administrator, regarding the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project.

Kind regards,

cid:image001.png@01CEBB5E.638F03C0

Marta E. Guardia Corporate Affairs Specialist

Panama Canal Authority Tel. (507)272-1166

E-mail: meguardia@pancanal.com < mailto:meguardia@pancanal.com >

cid:image004.png@01CEBB5E.6BF6CF20



November 24, 2014

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Charleston District Charleston District Headquarters 69A Hagood Ave Charleston, SC 29403 United States of America

Dear Sirs:

On behalf of the Panama Canal Authority, I would like to congratulate the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for releasing the draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Charleston Harbor Deeping Project. In this regard, Mr. James I. Newsome, III, President and Chief Executive Officer of the South Carolina State Ports Authority, provided us with insightful information regarding the harbor deepening project and its implications, not only for the state, but also, for the South Atlantic region.

As you are aware, the Panama Canal Expansion Project is well underway and scheduled to commence operations in early 2016. I must emphasize that major infrastructure projects such as the deepening of Charleston Harbor and the expansion of the waterway, will not only impact the global logistics and transportation chain, but also strengthen our economies.

Once again, congratulations to the Charleston District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for supporting this project and for its professionalism in moving forward the Charleston harbor and other navigational projects along the South Carolina coast.

Sincerely,

orae L . Quijano Administrator/CEC



From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 4:07:41 PM

Name: Chuck Black Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I agree with the conclusions of the DRAFT FR/EIS. As the chair of the American Society of Civil Engineers Member Communities Committee, I support this project as it exemplifies two of our ASCE Society Initiatives - Improving America's Infrastructure and Sustainable Growth.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Edhand@scspa.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 3:48:19 PM

Name: Erin Dhand Email: Edhand@scspa.com <<u>mailto:Edhand%40scspa.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: As one of the 260,000 people in South Carolina whose livelihood depends on the competitiveness of our port system, I write in support of Charleston harbor deepening to 52 feet. This project is incredibly significant to our economy and can be completed in an environmentally-responsible manner. There is no question it will have a lasting impact on our state, region and nation. Harbor deepening to 52 feet secures the strength and competitiveness of the Port of Charleston for years to come. Regards, Erin Dhand

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	district5@andersoncountysc.org
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 3:10:06 PM

Name: Tommy Dunn Email: district5@andersoncountysc.org <<u>mailto:district5%40andersoncountysc.org</u>> Address: 101 S Main Street, Anderson, SC 29624 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	phowell@ypsconst.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 11:07:28 AM

Name: Mr. Peyton Howell

Email: phowell@ypsconst.com < mailto:phowell%40ypsconst.com > 121 Edinburgh CourtGreenville, SC 29607 Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Peyton Howell VP of Project Development Yeargin Potter Shackelford Construction

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	rburns@andeersoncountysc.org
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 10:41:08 AM

Name: Rusty Burns Email: rburns@andeersoncountysc.org <<u>mailto:rburns%40andeersoncountysc.org</u>> Address: 101 S Main Street, Anderson, SC 29624 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support it.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	mmoss@laurenscounty.org
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 10:24:10 AM

Name: Marvin Moss

Email: mmoss@laurenscounty.org < <u>mailto:mmoss%40laurenscounty.org</u>> Address: 291 Professional Park Road, Clinton, SC 29325 Draft FR/EIS Comment: The Laurens County Development Corporation fully supports the deepening of the Port of Charleston. This will enhance improved economic development for the State of South Carolina.

noreply@dma.mil
Chas-Post45-Comments
<u>dh@rbcgc.com</u>
[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Monday, November 24, 2014 9:35:12 AM

Name: David Harrelson Email: dh@rbcgc.com < <u>mailto:dh%40rbcgc.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, David Harrelson

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	rblackwell@oconeesc.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 8:43:07 AM

Name: richard blackwell

Email: rblackwell@oconeesc.com < mailto:rblackwell%40oconeesc.com > 528 Bypass 123, Suite G Seneca, SC 29678 Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Richard Blackwell Oconee Economic Alliance

<u>noreply@dma.mil</u>
Chas-Post45-Comments
mwright@scbiznews.com
[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Monday, November 24, 2014 8:13:48 AM

Name: Mark Wright Email: mwright@scbiznews.com < <u>mailto:mwright%40scbiznews.com</u>> Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Deepen the Port----it is our economic engine for the state---we need stay competitive after the Panama Canal construction is finished.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 4:39:38 PM

Name: Robert C Schuler

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: I would like to convey my total and strong support for the Harbor Deepening in Charleston to 52 feet. I have been a resident and steamship manager in Charleston for about 25 years. I have seen Charleston grow to one of the finest ports in the United States due to the forward thinking under the leadership of Don Welch and have seen the decline of the Port as well for many reasons. Recently the Port is on track to become one of the top ports and the Harbor Deepening is essential to it continued path. Why is this so vital, the South Carolina economy will falter without it and prosper with the Deepening. All the studies are out there to confirm this. This Project must go on. A tremendous thanks is deserved by the Army Corps of Engineers, Jim Newsome, the Maritime Association the numerous entities who have moved it this far. Best Regards, Bob Schuler

From:	Brown, Kent
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Support for harbor deepening
Date:	Monday, November 24, 2014 2:50:19 PM
Attachments:	image001.png

I just wanted to drop a line and add my name to chorus of support for the harbor deepening.

While I may have a direct interest in this outcome as I am an employee of the Port of Charleston, I still recognize the importance to the state as a whole. Please keep up the great work the corps does and help us help South Carolina.

I consider myself an environmentalist and an avid sportsman. I have been very proud of the work and stewardship that the Port has provided for the state and its customers. From the Clean Truck Program to helping refurbish oyster beds around the harbor on The Day of Caring, the Port does a great job involving the community and employees. I know as we move forward with the Post 45, the Port will continue that great tradition.

Again, many thanks for your help and support for a Post 45 Charleston Harbor.

Thanks,

Kent

A.Kent Brown

Help desk/Computer Field technician 3

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8124

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then

delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark messersmith,

As an employee of the SC State Ports Authority, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward the proposed 52-feet deepening project for the Charleston Harbor.

As an employee of the SC State Ports Authority, who depends on the port for my livelihood this project is important. This project is also important to the State of South Carolina.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to be truly competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly, and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our Port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Brad A Klohn

Sergeant, SC State Ports Authority Police Departent

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was

addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith,

Please accept my attached comments in support of this very important project.

Regards,

Mike

Michael N. Stresemann

Vice President, crane and equipment maintenance

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8747

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michael Stresemann

Mr. Messersmith,

I am writing in support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening. As a lifetime SC resident, I recognize the economic importance of the Port of Charleston throughout our history and this deepening will allow us to continue serving the Southeast and the United States in our global economy. As an environmentalist, I recognize the environmental impact of a Charleston deepening is significantly less than other East Coast port operations. As such, I support the harbor deepening in Charleston to 52 feet.

Thank you for your consideration. Kristy T. Ellenberg

From:	Seacrist, David
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Emailing: Support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 10:42:30 AM
Attachments:	Support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening.pdf

Mr. Mark Messersmith, please accept my comments in support of the Charleston Harbor deepening project

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Support of the Charleston Harbor Deepening

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

In addition to the 500 SCPA employees like me who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations.

Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely David Seacrist

From:	Tracey Hare
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Tracey Hare; Angela Leidinger
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Endorsement from Dr. James P. Clements, Clemson University
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 5:54:14 PM
Attachments:	Mark Messersmith 11.24.14.pdf

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find attached a letter from Dr. James P. Clements, President of Clemson University, regarding the endorsement of the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you should have any questions or need additional information.

Best regards,

Tracey Hare

Tracey Hare

Office of the President

Administrative Coordinator

Clemson University

201 Sikes Hall

Clemson, SC 29634

0 864-656-5616

M 864-650-1071

Email: thare@clemson.edu <<u>mailto:thare@clemson.edu</u>>



November 24, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, South Carolina 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to provide my endorsement of the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. I have every confidence in the recently released US Army Corps of Engineer's Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement, which has found that this project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

The Charleston Harbor is a key economic driver for the entire state of South Carolina and the harbor deepening project is vital to the state's economic future. This deepening project will allow the Port of Charleston to remain competitive in the post-Panamax vessel environment and well into the future.

Statewide support for this project can been seen at the very highest levels of our state's leadership and this project has been endorsed by the Governor, the Congressional Delegation, the General Assembly, and numerous business leaders throughout South Carolina. Governmental support for this project resulted in the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, appropriating the full estimated state share of the funding needed for this project and South Carolina was the only state to do so. This action alone demonstrates the importance of this project and the far reaching impact the Port of Charleston has across South Carolina.

Clemson University's main campus is located in the upstate of South Carolina which has a tremendous concentration of industries that utilize the Port of Charleston. Additionally, Clemson's footprint expands statewide and in almost every instance the industries we work with rely on the Port to be their partner in an ever-changing and competitive business climate. To allow South Carolina's port to be more competitive positively impacts the competitive advantage for these industries. This competitive advantage is the key to the creation of new jobs in the state and keeping South Carolina's best and brightest students in the state after graduation.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

James P. Clements, Ph.D. 201 Sikes Hall Clemson, South Carolina 29634-5002

P 864-656-3413 F 864-656-4676 November 24, 2014 Mr. Mark Messersmith Page 2

In summary, to advance this project is very important to the economic well-being of our state for future generations. Failure to move forward with the deepening project would impact statewide efforts to maintain the competitiveness of our existing industries and to recruit new industries to this state, both of which are vital to the quality of life for all of our citizens.

I respectfully request that you give every consideration to the approval of this project.

Sincerely,

James P. Clemente

James P. Clements, Ph.D. President

JPC/trh

cc: Angie Leidinger

From:	<u>PMJ</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Harbor Deepening Project
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 8:52:28 AM

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a family member of a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. In addition to the 500 SCPA employees and their families like ours who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations. Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe. I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Paul McClintock Jr.

Mr. Mark Messersmith

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District

69 A Hagood Avenue

Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As a family member of a SC State Ports Authority employee, I urge the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to move forward with the proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. In addition to the 500 SCPA employees and their families like ours who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to 260,800 people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations. Our terminal productivity is the best in the nation, but to truly be competitive in our region we also must have the ability to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe. I have confidence in the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Joyce McClintock

From:	Mikell Thaxton
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	owenen@dhec.sc.gov
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] In Support of Harbor Deepening
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:51:58 PM

Dear Mr. Messersmith and Ms. Owen,

I write to support the proposed 52-foot deepening project of the Charleston Harbor as recommended by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in their Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement.

In the increasingly competitive global trade environment in which we operate today, moving forward with this project (and on a timely basis) is absolutely critical, to not only South Carolina's economic future, but the entire region and nation as well. Nearly every long-term forecast of the U.S. economy projects the Southeastern region of the country to be the fastest growing area for decades to come. As a result, it is essential that The Port of Charleston have the ability to handle fully loaded post-Panamax vessels 24 hours a day, without tidal restrictions.

In an unprecedented display of bipartisan support of this project, every layer of government in South Carolina, from the local level to our Congressional delegation, has embraced this project. Nothing demonstrates this commitment more than the actions of the General Assembly, when they set aside the state's share of the construction cost.

However, perhaps the most compelling argument to proceed with deepening Charleston Harbor to 52 feet comes from two findings of the exhaustive abovementioned study of the US Army Corps itself: When compared with deepening two other major Southeastern Ports, deepening the Port of Charleston will save an average of \$150 million, while at the same time gain an extra five feet of depth, with the least amount of impact to the environment.

I would respectfully submit that is the very definition of a "no-brainer" and request that you approve this project.

Sincerely yours,

Mikell

Mikell C. Thaxton President Port City Warehouse Company 2155 Durant Avenue North Charleston, SC 29405

Direct: (843) 747-4302 Main: (843) 747-4838 mikell.thaxton@portcitywarehouse.com Please see the attached letter from College of Charleston President Glenn F. McConnell.

A hardcopy of the letter has been sent to Mr. Mark Messersmith.

Thanks.

Sincerely,

Michael

Michael Adeyanju

Interim Director of Executive Communications Office of the President College of Charleston 66 George St. Charleston, SC 29424 p: (843)953-3688 f: (843)953-5121 <tel:%28843%29953-5121>



Glenn F. McConnell President 66 George St. Charleston, SC 29424 843.953.5500 mcconnellgf@cofc.edu

November 20, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project — the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and the SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Glen McCarell

Glenn F. McConnell '69

cc: Mr. James I. Newsome, III, President and CEO, South Carolina Ports Authority

From:	Coon, Michele
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Farrell, Joe
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Comments
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 2:16:43 PM
Attachments:	2014-11-20 Messersmith - JHF.pdf

Sent on behalf of Joseph H. Farrell, III.

Mr. Mark Messersmith,

Attached, please find my comments to the United States Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

Michele L. Coon, J.D.

Legal assistant

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8136

fax (843) 577-8138

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Joseph H. Farrell, III Assistant Legal Counsel



November 20, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 VIA USPS and Email at: <u>Chas-Post45-comments@usace.army.mil</u> 176 Concord Street P.O.Box 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 USA (843) 577-8765

scspa.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As an employee of the South Carolina State Ports Authority ("Ports Authority"), I support the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE") Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

In addition to the 500 Ports Authority employees who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to thousands of people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations, as reflected by studies in the record.

Maritime commerce of our Nation, our State, and the Southeast region depends heavily on the ability of the Charleston Harbor to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The deepening of the harbor channels, the ocean highways to the terminals of South Carolina, is crucial to that service, to water-borne commerce, and to the navigational safety of modern vessels calling the terminals in Charleston Harbor.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across South Carolina have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies throughout South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

As the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement shows, the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Joseph H Danell I

Joseph H. Farrell, III

From:	Coon, Michele
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Lawrence, Philip
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Comments
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 2:16:39 PM
Attachments:	2014-11-20 Messersmith PLL.pdf

Sent on behalf of Philip L. Lawrence.

Mr. Mark Messersmith,

Attached, please find my comments to the United States Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

Michele L. Coon, J.D.

Legal assistant

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8136

fax (843) 577-8138

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Philip L. Lawrence General Counsel



November 20, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 VIA USPS and Email at: <u>Chas-Post45-comments@usace.army.mil</u> 176 Concord Street P.O.Box 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 USA (843) 577-8777

scspa.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As General Counsel to the SC State Ports Authority, I support the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

In addition to the 500 Ports Authority employees who depend on the port for our livelihood, this project is important to thousands of people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations, and the associated economic impact_reflected by studies in the record.

Maritime commerce of the nation, our State, and the Southeast region depends heavily on the ability of our harbor to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The deepening of the harbor channels, the ocean highways to the terminals of the State, are crucial to that service, to water-borne commerce, and to the navigational safety of modern vessels calling the terminals in Charleston Harbor.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across our state have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies in every county of South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

As the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement shows, the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Philip L. Lawrence

PLL/mlc

Mr. Mark Messersmith,

Attached, please find my comments to the United States Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

Regards,

Michele

Michele L. Coon, J.D.

Legal assistant

SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS AUTHORITY

OFFICE (843) 577-8136

fax (843) 577-8138

scspa.com < http://www.scspa.com/>

cid:3d406695-702b-4dd6-9bef-7a7e5982467e@SCSPA.com

The contents of this e-mail are confidential to the ordinary user of the e-mail address to which it was addressed and may also be privileged. If you are not the addressee of this e-mail you should not copy, forward, disclose or otherwise use it or any part of it in any form whatsoever. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail the sender by replying to this message, and then delete this e-mail and other copies of it from your computer system. We reserve the right to monitor all e-mail communications through our network.

Michele L. Coon Legal Assistant



November 20, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 VIA USPS and Email at: <u>Chas-Post45-comments@usace.army.mil</u> 176 Concord Street P.O.Box 22287 Charleston, SC 29413 USA (843)577-8136 fax 843) 577-8138 mcoon@scspa.com

port-of-charleston.com

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

As an employee of the South Carolina State Ports Authority ("Ports Authority"), I support the United States Army Corps of Engineers ("USACE") Civil Works Project for the 52-feet harbor deepening project in Charleston Harbor.

In addition to the Ports Authority employees who depend on the port for their livelihood, this project is important to thousands of people across South Carolina whose jobs are created because of port operations, and the associated economic impact, as reflected by studies in the record.

Maritime commerce of our Nation, our State, and the Southeast region depends heavily on the ability of the Charleston Harbor to serve post-Panamax ships without tidal restriction. The deepening of the harbor channels, the ocean highways to the terminals of South Carolina, is crucial to that service, to water-borne commerce, and to the navigational safety of modern vessels calling the terminals in Charleston Harbor.

The Governor, General Assembly and leaders across South Carolina have shown their support for this effort. This project is not just important to Charleston. Companies throughout South Carolina depend on our port to import materials and goods for their business and export their finished products to customers across the globe.

As the USACE Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement shows, the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

USACE and South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Michele L. Coon
From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 22, 2014 9:09:36 AM

Name: Charles Saylors Email:

Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: The work to deepen and expand the Port of Charleston is, to me and many in the Upstate, vital to economic growth in our state; and beyond. In order to provide a quality learning environment schools must invest, in the case I believe the federal and state governments MUST work together to make this project a reality and I support its funding.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	ginny.thaxton@portcitywarehouse.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 5:09:54 PM

Name: Ginny Thaxton

Email: ginny.thaxton@portcitywarehouse.com < mailto:ginny.thaxton%40portcitywarehouse.com > 2155 Durant Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405 Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Respectfully yours, Ginny Thaxton Vice President, Port City Warehouse Co.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	mikell.thaxton@portcitywarehouse.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:53:07 PM

Name: Mikell Thaxton

Email: mikell.thaxton@portcitywarehouse.com < mailto:mikell.thaxton%40portcitywarehouse.com > Address: 2155 Durant Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I write to support the proposed 52-foot deepening project of the Charleston Harbor as recommended by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in their Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environmental Impact Statement. In the increasingly competitive global trade environment in which we operate today, moving forward with this project (and on a timely basis) is absolutely critical, to not only South Carolina's economic future, but the entire region and nation as well. Nearly every long-term forecast of the U.S. economy projects the Southeastern region of the country to be the fastest growing area for decades to come. As a result, it is essential that The Port of Charleston have the ability to handle fully loaded post-Panamax vessels 24 hours a day, without tidal restrictions. In an unprecedented display of bipartisan support of this project, every layer of government in South Carolina, from the local level to our Congressional delegation, has embraced this project. Nothing demonstrates this commitment more than the actions of the General Assembly, when they set aside the state's share of the construction cost. However, perhaps the most compelling argument to proceed with deepening Charleston Harbor to 52 feet comes from two findings of the exhaustive abovementioned study of the US Army Corps itself: When compared with deepening two other major Southeastern Ports. deepening the Port of Charleston will save an average of \$150 million, while at the same time gain an extra five feet of depth, with the least amount of impact to the environment. I would respectfully submit that is the very definition of a "no-brainer" and request that you approve this project.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	dmiller@evansgc.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:47:57 PM

Name: Don E Miller

Email: dmiller@evansgc.com < <u>mailto:dmiller%40evansgc.com</u> > Address: 124 Verdae Blvd., Suite 105 Greenville, SC 29607

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Don E Miller

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	lcampbell@andersoncountysc.org
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:33:56 PM

Name: Lawrence Campbell

Email: lcampbell@andersoncountysc.org < <u>mailto:lcampbell%40andersoncountysc.org</u>> Address: 126 N McDuffie St , Anderson SC 29621 Draft FR/EIS Comment: The Port is vital for Business and Companies . Exports and Imports all make up the Economic Development engine for the State of South Carolina. It is especially important to deepen the Port because that is what these new ships and future business demand. Charleston and S C need to be the Leader nationally and Globally for that. Deepen the best Port in America.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	acdb@abbevillecountysc.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:31:32 PM

Name: Steve Bowles

Email: acdb@abbevillecountysc.com < <u>mailto:acdb%40abbevillecountysc.com</u> > Address: 901 W. Greenwood St Abbeville,SC 29620 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Messersmith; As an economic development professional in South Carolina I want to strongly support the critical deependent of the Charleston barbar. Even in the Unstate region

want to strongly support the critical deepening of the Charleston harbor. Even in the Upstate region, where Abbeville County is located, we understand the need for supersized container cargo vessel availability at our port. Our import as well as our export markets demand it. With all of the supprot it as garnered in a bipartisan way I have to believe that this is a slam dunk decision. South Carolina needs it, the S.E U.S. needs it and it will be a financial advantage for the whole of the US economy. Please support this effort with all of your influence. Thank you, Steve Bowles Development Services Director Abbeville County, SC

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	gmonts@gregorypestsolutions.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:25:15 PM

Name: Gary L Monts

Email: gmonts@gregorypestsolutions.com < <u>mailto:gmonts%40gregorypestsolutions.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Gary L Monts

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	keith.miller@gvltec.edu
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:14:02 PM

Name: Keith Miller

Email: keith.miller@gvltec.edu <<u>mailto:keith.miller%40gvltec.edu</u>> Greenville Technical College, Greenville, SC Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Keith Miller President Greenville Technical College

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 4:09:12 PM

Name: Francis M Crowder Sr

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Francis M Crowder Sr.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	john.montgomery@pacoletmilliken.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:57:52 PM

Name: John Montgomery

Email: john.montgomery@pacoletmilliken.com < <u>mailto:john.montgomery%40pacoletmilliken.com</u> > Address: 105 Corporate Drive, Suite A

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, John D. Montgomery

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	elbooth@tctc.edu
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:55:20 PM

Name: Ronnie L Booth Email: elbooth@tctc.edu <<u>mailto:elbooth%40tctc.edu</u>> Address: Tri-County Technical College, PO Box 587, Pendleton, SC 29670 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I strongly support the deepening of the Charleston. On a daily basis, I discuss economic development issues with our local businesses and one of the key concerns is the continued ability to import and export as necessary to keep their businesses strong. I also serve as chair of he Upstate Alliance, a 10 county economic development organization made up of public and private investors. I can think of few issues of greater concern to this group and the entire upstate business community than the continued viability of the port. I encourage the continued work to deepen the harbor, and on an expedited basis. Too many jobs and too much economic development depends on the port to delay this project any longer. Thanks for your consideration of my thoughts.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 22, 2014 8:55:26 AM

Name: Paul Corbeil

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Gentlemen: Please proceed as quickly as possible with the Charleston Harbor Port deepening project. This has been studied too long, passed every reasonable test and needs to move forward on a fast track in order to protect SC's and it's industries' competitiveness. Working with global private sector partners, we have built a very strong and growing manufacturing base. The Port and Upstate Inland Port are essential, and must be allowed to keep pace with today's advances in shipping and logistics. With the Panama Canal Project opening in 2015-16, we are already behind the eight ball. Respectfully, Paul Corbeil, Vice Chairman Oconee County (SC) Council

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	frank.hammond@colliers.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:45:07 PM

Name: Frank Hammond Email: frank.hammond@colliers.com < <u>mailto:frank.hammond%40colliers.com</u> > Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Deepen our port!

From:	<u>noreply@dma.mil</u>
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	john.verreault@td.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:40:56 PM

Name: John Verreault Email: john.verreault@td.com <<u>mailto:john.verreault%40td.com</u>> Address: 104 South Main Street Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support the harbor deepening.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	kip.miller@easternfirst.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:34:52 PM

Name: Kip Miller Email: kip.miller@easternfirst.com <<u>mailto:kip.miller%40easternfirst.com</u>> Address: 11 Caledon Ct. Suite A, Greenville Sc 29615 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Let's get the Charleston port deeping project done.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	kip.miller@easternfirst.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:34:46 PM

Name: Kip Miller Email: kip.miller@easternfirst.com <<u>mailto:kip.miller%40easternfirst.com</u>> Address: 11 Caledon Ct. Suite A, Greenville Sc 29615 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Let's get the Charleston port deeping project done.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	steve@fgp.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:17:39 PM

Name: Steve Hall Email: steve@fgp.com <<u>mailto:steve%40fgp.com</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely,

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:09:50 PM

Name: Kelli McCormick

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Kelli **McCormick**

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Craig@carolinanational.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 11:09:11 AM

Name: Craig Hollifield Email: Craig@carolinanational.com < <u>mailto:Craig%40carolinanational.com</u> > Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Craig Hollifield

From:	noreply@dma.mil
То:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	locdisp@bulldoghiway.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 7:23:00 PM

Name: Donald T. Finkey Email: locdisp@bulldoghiway.com < <u>mailto:locdisp%40bulldoghiway.com</u> > Address: 3390 Buffalo ave. Chas, SC 29418 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I support the deepening of the harbour to allow bigger ships so as to further the growth of our customers in the southeast region.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Keith@handjtrucking.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 4:31:24 PM

Name: Keith Johnson

Email: Keith@handjtrucking.com < mailto:Keith%40handjtrucking.com >

Address: 4278 Wilbur St., Charleston, SC 29405

Draft FR/EIS Comment: The harbor needs to be deepened to 52'. It is of vital interest to our national security and national economy. The Corps of Engineers has studied it exhaustively and found it to be an economically and environmentally sound project for Charleston, the state of South Carolina, and the United States. We need to proceed with this project as expeditiously as possible.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	kent@aohoccmed.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 4:13:52 PM

Name: Kent Kolanko Email: kent@aohoccmed.com < <u>mailto:kent%40aohoccmed.com</u> > Address: 3870 Leeds Ave., Suite 114, N Charleston, SC 29405 Draft FR/EIS Comment: I urge you to proceed with the deepening of the harbor. This will allow Charleston to remain competitive with the rest of the Eastern seaboard ports. Thank you.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 22, 2014 8:55:24 AM

Name: Paul Corbeil

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Gentlemen: Please proceed as quickly as possible with the Charleston Harbor Port deepening project. This has been studied too long, passed every reasonable test and needs to move forward on a fast track in order to protect SC's and it's industries' competitiveness. Working with global private sector partners, we have built a very strong and growing manufacturing base. The Port and Upstate Inland Port are essential, and must be allowed to keep pace with today's advances in shipping and logistics. With the Panama Canal Project opening in 2015-16, we are already behind the eight ball. Respectfully, Paul Corbeil, Vice Chairman Oconee County (SC) Council

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	LBRABHAM@WANDOTRUCKING.COM
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 4:12:40 PM

Name: Elizabeth F. Brabham Email: LBRABHAM@WANDOTRUCKING.COM < <u>mailto:LBRABHAM%40WANDOTRUCKING.COM</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: As a long time employee of the Charleston Waterfront I feel that we need to deepen our harbor to be able to continue as first class port. The deepening of the harbor will give us jobs today as well in the future for the entire state of SC and surrounding states. Not only companies but individuals will benefit from this project. We have wonderful courses at our colleges to provide educations to keep up with all the tech needed to compete in the global field.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	LBRABHAM@WANDOTRUCKING.COM
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 4:12:37 PM

Name: Elizabeth F. Brabham Email: LBRABHAM@WANDOTRUCKING.COM < <u>mailto:LBRABHAM%40WANDOTRUCKING.COM</u>> Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: As a long time employee of the Charleston Waterfront I feel that we need to deepen our harbor to be able to continue as first class port. The deepening of the harbor will give us jobs today as well in the future for the entire state of SC and surrounding states. Not only companies but individuals will benefit from this project. We have wonderful courses at our colleges to provide educations to keep up with all the tech needed to compete in the global field.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	tony@rtrinc.net
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 4:00:27 PM

Name: Tony Redondo Email: tony@rtrinc.net <<u>mailto:tony%40rtrinc.net</u>> Address: 7109 Cross County Rd. Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Tony Redondo Terminal Manager RTR Incorporated

noreply@dma.mil
Chas-Post45-Comments
CMOSELEY@BULLDOGHIWAY.COM
[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Thursday, November 20, 2014 3:56:50 PM

US . Not just the jobs locally, but the others that are dependent on the port for import and export cargo

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 3:55:32 PM

Name: Jim Dunne

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Jim Dunne

ent
(

Name: Trevor Floyd

Email: tfloyd@fleetsourcesc.com < mailto:tfloyd%40fleetsourcesc.com > 935 Commerce Circle. Charleston, SC Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Trevor Floyd

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 2:33:47 PM

Name: Pamela P. Lackey

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: This letter is to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and Governor Haley, the General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. I am immediate past Chair of the S.C. Chamber of Commerce and we heartily have endorsed this project. the South Carolina General Assembly took concrete action when, in a bipartisan vote, they set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project. S. C. is the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Pamela Lackey S. C. Ports Authority board member

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 10:25:51 AM

Name: Robert New

Email: Address:

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear sirs: I am fully supportive of the harbor deepening proposal to increase the channel depth to 52 feet. It is imperative that our port remain a competitive force in the decades to come. The movement of commerce through the port is clearly in the national interest and our rich maritime history as a major seafaring port should be preserved. In the new global economy it will be critical for the new larger vessels to access deep water ports, and the ideal port to accommodate these ships is Charleston. The open harbor and easy transit times to the different docks enhances the attractiveness of the port for shippers and steamship lines. Please approve this critically needed deepening project. Thank you.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	cthomas@abbevillecountysc.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 9:13:10 PM

Name: Claude Thomas Email: cthomas@abbevillecountysc.com < <u>mailto:cthomas%40abbevillecountysc.com</u> > Address: 901 West Greenwood St. Abbeville, SC 29620 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Sir, I support the deeping of the Charleston Harbor. Claude Thomas, Chairman, Abbeville County Council

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	John@LobbySC.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 7:42:39 PM

Name: John DeWorken Email: John@LobbySC.com <<u>mailto:John%40LobbySC.com</u>> Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Port deepening will secure thousands of jobs for generations to come in South Carolina.

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	jvaughn@smeinc.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 5:57:14 PM

Name: Jason Vaughn

Email: jvaughn@smeinc.com < mailto:jvaughn%40smeinc.com >

Address: 281 Fairforest Way Greenville, SC 29607

Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Jason

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	dedwards@gspairport.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 5:52:02 PM
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment

Name: David Edwards

Email: dedwards@gspairport.com < mailto:dedwards%40gspairport.com > Address: 2000 GSP Drive, Suite 1, Greer, SC 29651 Draft FR/EIS Comment: The deepening of the Port is critical to the future positive economic development of the State of South Carolina. The deepening can be accomplished in an environmentally sensitive way, and I know the US Army Corps of Engineers will ensure that this occurs. I fully support the deepening project to the proposed 52 feet. This project needs to move ahead without further delay so South Carolina secures its position as a preferred Port of Entry and Exportation on the East Coast. David Edwards President/CEO GSP Airport District

noreply@dma.mil
Chas-Post45-Comments
bnelson@andersoncountysc.org
[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Friday, November 21, 2014 5:48:30 PM

Name: Burriss Nelson

Email: bnelson@andersoncountysc.org < mailto:bnelson%40andersoncountysc.org > Address: 126 N. McDuffie St., Anderson SC 29621 Draft FR/EIS Comment: The Anderson County Office of Economic Development is working to bring quality jobs and capital investment to our county and South Carolina. Many citizens are unemployed and are becoming more desperate for work each day. We work closely with the Department of Commerce to recruit business and industry to South Carolina. In these recruitment efforts we describe all of the State's assets as well as the County's. We provide information about our public education system, our health care providers, our excellent labor force and our infrastructure assets of which the Port is a dynamic part. I'm sure you are aware of our recent First Quality Tissue announcement. First Quality Tissue is a \$1 billion capital investment creating 1,000 jobs. Some of the most critical components of their site location infrastructure needs were abundant, inexpensive water, rail service, interstate access and port access. Rail service that provided access to the finest port on the planet held much weight in First Quality's decision to locate in Anderson. All of that decision was made with the knowledge the Port would be deepened to accommodate larger ships. Since January 1, 2010 through today, Anderson County has announced 3,195 new jobs and \$2.65 Billion in capital investment. Part of those jobs and investment came through Tier 1 & 2 suppliers to BMW. Even though BMW is not in Anderson County it is critically import to our economy. Those suppliers employ approximately 1,100 people with an annual payroll of \$36,000,000.00, a huge positive impact on this county. Anderson County's success is dependent on BMW's success; the Port of Charleston is vital to the success of BMW selling and exporting more cars. Deepening the Port of Charleston is vitally important to the success of First Quality Tissue, BMW, Anderson County and South Carolina. To succeed and thrive Anderson County and South Carolina must have a port that is functional today and 50 years from today. Do not delay, deepen the Port!

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	louis.drum@portcitywarehouse.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 5:12:56 PM

Name: Louis Drum

Email: louis.drum@portcitywarehouse.com < mailto:louis.drum%40portcitywarehouse.com > 2155 Durant Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405 Address: Draft FR/EIS Comment: Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Louis Drum Operations Manager, Port City Warehouse Co

From:	noreply@dma.mil
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	GGreenawalt@CPGreenville.com
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Post 45 Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment
Date:	Saturday, November 22, 2014 9:32:23 AM

Name: Greg Greenawalt

Email: GGreenawalt@CPGreenville.com < mailto:GGreenawalt%40CPGreenville.com > Address: 851 Congaree Rd Greenville SC 29607 Draft FR/EIS Comment: Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403 Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith: I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project. This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening. This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project-the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening. I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner. Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens. USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so. Sincerely, Greg Greenawalt

To USACE:

Please find a support letter for the Charleston Post 45 Harbor Deepening project from Patrick McCrory of Palmetto Railways.

Brgds,

Patrick

Patrick McCrory

Vice President & COO

Palmetto Railways

540 East Bay St.

Charleston, SC 29403

pmccrory@palmettorail.com

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

I write to endorse the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

I have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. I respectfully request that you do so.

Sincerely,

Patrick McCrory Vice President & COO Palmetto Railways 540 East Bay St. Charleston, SC 29403

From:	Keely F. Yates
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] SCEDA Support for the Ports Deepening Project
Date:	Friday, November 21, 2014 3:30:49 PM
Attachments:	SCEDA Post 45 Comments11.21.14.pdf

Please find attached a letter of support for the Ports Deepening project from the Board of Directors of the SC Economic Developers Association.

Thank you for accepting our comments,

Keely

Keely F. Yates Association Executive SC Economic Developers' Association PO Box 1763 Columbia, SC 29202 P 803-929-0305 F 803-252-0589 www.sceda.org <<u>http://www.sceda.org/</u>>

SCEDA - South Carolina's Voice for Economic Development



November 21, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Mark Messersmith:

The South Carolina Economic Developers' Association (SCEDA) strongly supports the US Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-feet harbor deepening project.

This project is absolutely essential to South Carolina's economic future, and the Governor, General Assembly, business groups and other stakeholders have demonstrated statewide support for harbor deepening.

This support resulted in concrete action when the General Assembly, in a bipartisan vote, set aside the state's share of the estimated funds needed for the project—the only state to do so. This action demonstrates that our state, long known for its robust political climate, has come together in a unique and tangible way to support harbor deepening.

We have confidence in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) Draft Integrated Feasibility Report and Environment Impact Statement, which finds the project can be accomplished in an environmentally-responsible manner.

Simply put, this project must go forward for the economic well-being of our state for generations to come. Our port system is tied to one of every 11 jobs statewide. It is the key reason for our tremendous economic growth since recruiting BMW in the early 1990s. Failure to deepen the harbor to accommodate post-Panamax vessels around the clock would impact our collective statewide efforts to create more jobs and improve the quality of life for our citizens.

USACE and SC Department of Health and Environmental Control have the support of South Carolina to approve this project. The SCEDA Board of Directors respectfully requests that you do so.

Sincerely. Jeff Ruble, CEcD President, SCEDA

From:	Julie C Alsup
To:	Chas-Post45-Comments
Cc:	Marlon Jones; Kenneth Lin
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Support letter from IP Port of Charleston Harbor Deepening Project
Date:	Thursday, November 20, 2014 3:41:10 PM
Attachments:	FinalInternationalPaperCharlestonHarborSupportLetterNovember2014.pdf
	NorthCarolina InternationalPaper.pdf
	SouthCarolina InternationalPaper.pdf
Importance:	High

To whom it may concern at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers:

Please see International Paper's attached letter of support for the Charleston Harbor deepening project. Our state maps with facilities and employment for North Carolina and South Carolina are also attached. Please confirm your receipt of this email if possible.

Thank you,

Julie

Julie Alsup | Government Relations Manager | International Paper

1101 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 200 | Washington, DC 20004 | 202-628-7252 | ipgovernmentrelations.com

Inline image 1



November 20, 2014

Mr. Mark Messersmith U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District 69A Hagood Avenue Charleston, SC 29403

Dear Mr. Messersmith,

On behalf of International Paper's 38,000 U.S. employees, including nearly 2,000 in South Carolina and 1,500 in North Carolina, we strongly endorse the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and South Carolina Ports Authority's proposed 52-foot harbor deepening project at the Port of Charleston. International Paper is a global leader in packaging and paper with manufacturing operations in North America, Europe, Latin America, Russia, Asia and North Africa. Our global headquarters are located in Memphis, Tenn., and our businesses include industrial and consumer packaging and uncoated papers.

In 2013, International Paper exported more than 22 percent of our products made in the U.S. to customers around the world. The Port of Charleston successfully managed nearly one-third of those total exports. As such, the Port of Charleston is clearly a strategic part of International Paper's successful supply chain operations. After completion of the Charleston Harbor deepening project, International Paper can leverage the larger capacity of the Post-Panamax ships, which would help our company remain competitive with players from global markets that can already use these vessels. This would mean a win for International Paper and for all U.S. manufacturers that rely on a level playing field.

From the Port of Charleston, International Paper exports containerboard, coated paperboard and pulp to our valued global customers. These products are manufactured by employees at our mills in Georgetown and Eastover, S.C. and at our mill in Riegelwood, N.C. The competitiveness of these three IP mills relies heavily on the ability to serve our customers efficiently while also reaching new global markets. Approval of the Charleston Harbor deepening project will play a vital role in helping us meet the needs of our valued customers into the future.

International Paper strongly encourages the completion of the Charleston Harbor deepening project. Please contact Julie Alsup at 202.628.7252 if we can be of additional assistance.

Sincerely,

Jeannino Siembida

Jeannine Siembida Mill Manager International Paper Georgetown, SC

- Judit to Toul

Fred Towler Vice President, Supply Chain Operations International Paper Memphis, TN

Enclosures: International Paper North Carolina and South Carolina Facility Overviews