APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	<u>CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION</u> REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 24, 2015
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAC-RD-NE; SAC# 2008-01341-3B South Bay - Tract 2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Horry City: Garden City Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.61822° N, Long79.00045° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Dogwood Lake Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Atlantic Ocean Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 3040208-03 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): October 7, 2014
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

a.	Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):		
		TNWs, including territorial seas	
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	
		Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
	\boxtimes	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters	
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: **0.03** acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., Pick List, Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: A ditch was assessed while onsite and determined to be non-jurisdictional. The ditch was excavated entirely out of uplands and drains only uplands.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Atlantic Ocean

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Subject to the ebb and flood of the tide.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody ⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 175,584 acres;

Drainage area: 1,378 acres
Average annual rainfall: 45.72 inches
Average annual snowfall: 1.8 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 1 tributaries before entering TNW. Tributary flows into Dogwood Lake which outfalls

into the Atlantic Ocean (TNW).

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The onsite wetland flows offsite to the south into a series of stormwater ponds within the Southbridge Subdivision. The stormwater ponds outfall at the southern corner of the subdivision into a man-

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	outfalls directly into the Atlantic Ocean (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: 1.
, ,	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The tributary is a man-altered perennial RPW that has ically straightened and maintained.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 5-6 feet Average depth: 5-6 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
maintenance a	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributary is very stable due to activities that occur along the banks. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Portions of tributary are straight and portions are meandering. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): <1 %
,	Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: The tributary is being recharged via a series of stormwater ponds located in the subdivision. The tributary was determined to be perennial with continuous flow at least 90% of the year. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Ophysical markings/characteristics Other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Ophysical markings; Output Ophysical m
(iii) Che	emical Characteristics:

altered perennial RPW (depicted as blue line on topo maps). The RPW flows directly into Dogwood Lake which

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: The tributary was last observed in the field on March 31, 2009. At the time of the site visit the water within the tributary was slightly discolored (brown). General watershed characteristics include: 57.5% water, 18% urban land, 7.6% forested wetland, 6.6% forested land, 4.1% agricultural land, 3.6% scrub/shrub land, 1.4% nonforested wetland, and 1.2% barren land.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: None known. Potential pollutants include run-off such as oil, gas, insecticides, etc. from the adjacent impervious surfaces associated with the surrounding developments.

			•	•
dep	-	ands,	fore	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The riparian corridor consists of a mixture of forested sted wetlands, and residential and commercial development. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Palustrine forested. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The tributary supports breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic such as fish and amphibians.
	2.	Cha	racte	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
			Phy	sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 0.03 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine Forested. Wetland quality. Explain: Fully functional. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
			(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: Wetland outfalls into a series of stormwater ponds which outfall into a perennial RPW. Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
			(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting: Wetland directly abuts a stormwater pond. □ Not directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
			(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
		ch pi	Cha Iden	mical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The water observed in the wetland on October 7, 2014 was discolored (brown). General watershed characteristics include: 57.5% water, 18% urban land, 7.6% forested wetland, 6.6% forested land, 4.1% agricultural land, 3.6% scrub/shrub land, 1.4% nonforested wetland, and 1.2% barren land. It if y specific pollutants, if known: None Known. The wetlands are surrounded by forested and non-forested uplands e a buffer to the wetlands. However, this site is surrounded by major roads and commercial and residential creating the potential for some pollution runoff into the wetlands.
	filte	fer to ers ru	the anoff Herb	ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): While the wetland is not truly a riparian, it does act as a adjacent tributary which is a direct conduit to the downstream TNW (Atlantic Ocean). The wetland retains and before it enters the adjacent tributary. Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Tree Stratum - N/A; Sapling Stratum - Acer rubrum (25%), Salix Nigra Stratum - Juncus spp. (5%). Habitat for:

	y of various upland wildlife was obse	ain findings: sensitive species. E ty. Explain findings rved to include Ne	xplain findings: S: Evidence of foraging, nest otropical songbirds	ing and travel within and around the
3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adja All wetland(s) being considered	in the cumulative ar	nalysis: 3	1 .
	Approximately () acres in	C	sidered in the cumulative and	lysis.
	For each wetland, specify the following	ng:		
	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
	N Y N	55 4 0.03		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: All wetlands evaluated in this significant nexus determination (SND) which are similarly situated and adjacent (both directly abutting and non-abutting) to the RPW are collectively performing biological, chemical, and physical functions. The wetland in the review area is situated approximately 4 river miles from the nearest TNW. Water discharging from the wetland enters an offsite series of stormwater ponds that outfall into a perennial RPW that flows into Dogwood Lake which outfalls into the Atlantic Ocean (TNW). The wetland is a mixed pine/hardwood depressional wetland that provides breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and spawning areas for species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetland also provides organic carbon to the downstream tributaries and ultimately into the Atlantic Ocean, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. The wetland evaluated in this SND provides the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding urban areas. The wetland reduces nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. The wetland also collectively performs flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to the downstream TNW. They provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding grounds, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the downstream TNW. The tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands also have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs. This office has determined that there is a significant nexus for the tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands to the downstream TNW.

 $Documentation\ for\ the\ Record\ only:\ Significant\ nexus\ findings\ for\ seasonal\ RPWs\ and/or\ wetlands\ abutting\ seasonal\ RPWs:$

	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.03 acres.

D.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:
E.	SUC	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): A ditch excavated entirely out of uplands and draining only uplands was oberved in and determined to be non-jurisdictional.
	fact	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
		wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

. S	UPI	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checke
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Survey plat prepared by DDC Engineers, Inc.
	date	ed January 16, 2015 and entitled "Map of 4.03 +- Acres of Land / Socastee Township, Horry County, South Carolina /
	Wet	tland Survey".
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study:
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
		USGS NHD data.
		☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 03040208-03
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Brookgreen .
	\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: p. 90, Ogeechee (Hydric).
	\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U11 (Upland), PUBHx (Palustrine, Unconsolidated Bottom, Permanently
	Floo	oded, Excavated.
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps: .
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 99-11222:126, SCDNR 2006.
		or Other (Name & Date):
	\boxtimes	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 2008-01341-3JC, Letter dated May 7, 2009.
		Applicable/supporting case law: .
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
		Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The onsite wetland was previously determined to be jurisdictional under SAC# 2008-01341-3JC (Letter dated May 7, 2009). The onsite wetland flows through a series of stormwater ponds located within the Southbridge subdivision that outfall into a perennial RPW. The PRPW is a man-altered tributary that is depicted as a solid blue line on topographic maps and flows directly into Dogwood Lake. Dogwood Lake outfalls directly into the Atlantic Ocean (TNW). Project waters are approximately 3-4 river miles from the downstream TNW. Two offsite wetlands were also assessed within the Significant Nexus Determination associated with this jurisdictional determination. The tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to the downstream TNW. They provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding grounds, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the downstream TNW. The tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands also have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs. This office has determined that there is a significant nexus for the tributary in combination with its adjacent wetlands to the downstream TNW.