APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

Form 1 of 2

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11/3/14

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston District, Eagle Harbor Boys Ranch, SAC-2005-42914-2JU

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: Berkeley State: South Carolina City:

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.12154° N. Long. -80.1612° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Sandy Run

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Ashley River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050201-05

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 7/8/13, 11/3/14
- \square Field Determination. Date(s): 9/5/13, 5/7/14, 11/4/14, 1/26/15

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: Rowino Branch (pRPW) 116 linear feet. Wetlands: Wetland H = 0.06 acres.

The site also contains 13.25 acres of protected wetland areas associated with mitigation for an After-The-Fact permit as resolution of an enforcement action SAC-2005-220.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: A ditch is depicted on a supplemental drawing in the administrative record that extends from the cul-de-sac of Soaring Way off site, through the project area and into a pond located on site. This ditch did not display an OHW, bed and bank, or any other signs of relatively permanent flow. The pond which the ditch is connected to was confirmed to be surrounded by non-hydric soils and therefore was dug out of uplands. In addition, the pond is an open water feature with a Cowardin Classification as Deep Water Habitat that does not meet the vegetation or soils factors necessary to be considered wetland. Based on the above information, it has been determined that the ditch and the pond are non-jurisdictional and not subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
 - (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List ; Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

	Project waters are Project waters arePick List river miles from TNW.Project waters are Project waters arePick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.Project waters are Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:.	
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : . Tributary stream order, if known: .	
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: . 	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.	
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Muck	
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	
(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:	
Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:		
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .	
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:	

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)

survey to available datum;
 physical markings;
 vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

- tidal gauges
- other (list):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u> Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
- (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

□ Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u>

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: . Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
- 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:



Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2.	 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating tributary is perennial: The tributary is named Rowino Branch and is depicted on the USGS Quad as a blue line st It is also depicted on the NHDS Map as having a perennial flow regime. Flow was observed during all site visits 	
	as a bed and bank and OHW. Based on the above information, it has been determined that Rowino Branch has perennial flow.	
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:	
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 116 linear feet. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . 	
3.	 Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. 	
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .	
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland H is contiguous with the perennial tributary Rowino Branch. 	
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland H = 0.06 acres.	
5.	 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. 	
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	
6.	 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. 	
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	
7.	 Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain: 	
ISC DE SU	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ¹⁰	

E.

⁸See Footnote # 3.
⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

	 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
	U Other factors. Explain:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): A ditch is depicted on a supplemental drawing in the administrative record that
exte disj con wit wet to r	ends from the cul-de-sac of Soaring Way off site, through the project area and into a pond located on site. This ditch did not play an OHW, bed and bank, or any other signs of relatively permanent flow. The pond which the ditch is connected to was firmed to be surrounded by non-hydric soils and therefore was dug out of uplands. In addition, the pond is an open water feature th a Cowardin Classification as Deep Water Habitat that does not meet the vegetation or soils factors necessary to be considered tland. Based on the above information, it has been determined that the ditch and the pond are non-jurisdictional and not subject regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	 Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
<u>SE</u>	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
А.	 SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Submittal, S&ME. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office concurs with determination. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study:

- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NHDS Map.
 - USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Summerville Quadrangle.
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey. \mathbb{X}
 - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI Wetlands Mapper.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: 🖾 Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 1989-2015.
	or \Box Other (Name & Date): .
\boxtimes	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: ATF Permit SAC-2005-220 dated April 22, 2008.
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

.

Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: It has been determined that the tributary Rowino Branch has perennial flow and that Wetland H is directly abutting the tributary therefore they are jurisdictional by definition and subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

Form 2 of 2

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 11/3/14

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston District, Eagle Harbor Boys Ranch, SAC-2005-42914-2JU

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County/parish/borough: **Berkeley** State: South Carolina City:

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.12154° N. Long. -80.1612° W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Sandy Run

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Ashley River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050201-05

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 7/8/13, 11/3/14
- \square Field Determination. Date(s): 9/5/13, 5/7/14, 11/4/14, 1/26/15

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters:

Wetlands: Wetland H-2 = 00.20 acres, Wetland A-X = 4.55 acres.

The site also contains 13.25 acres of protected wetland areas associated with mitigation for an After-The-Fact permit as resolution of an enforcement action SAC-2005-220.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There is a vegetated swale that runs along a gravel road on site. Although this is not considered an aquatic feature and is non-jurisdictional by definition, the swale provides a hydrologic connection between Wetland A-X and the unnamed sRPW and will be discussed further in the form.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
 - (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: HUC 03050201-05 = 139,162 acres Drainage area: 120 acres

Drainage area was approximated for the tributary that was evaluated as part of the Significant Nexus Determination performed for this Jurisdictional Determination. This area was drawn based on apparent flow pathways and drainage areas associated with the subject relevant reach using USGS quadrangle mapping, USGS National Hydrography Dataset mapping, aerial photography, and observations of connectivity and direction of flow made in the field. The intended value of the drainage area map is to document the full collection of wetlands adjacent to the relevant reach and not to assert that the mapping represents more than approximation with respect to actual area.

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Average annual rainfall: 51 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii)	Physical Characteristics:	
	(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>	

Tributary is:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

 \square Tributary flows through **3** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **1** (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The unnamed tributary flows into Rowino Branch (pRPW), Sandy Run (pRPW), Cypress Swamp (pRPW), to the Ashley River (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known:

(b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply)</u>:

1	<u>y Characteristics (check an that apply).</u>
	⊠ Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Only a small portion of the tributary is located on site or viewable from a publicly accessible location.

> Concrete Muck

Average width: 2-3 feet Average depth: < 1 feet Average side slopes: Unknown

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

⊠ Silts	Sands
Cobbles	Gravel
Bedrock	□ Vegetation. Type/% cover:
Other. Explain:	•

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The portion of the tributary located on site appeared to be relatively stable.

> Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: NA. Tributary geometry: Meandering. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)

Describe flow regime: The tributary was flowing during all of the site visits and appears to flow for the majority of the year, but most likely dries up during portions of the summer months and periods of low precipitation.

Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Surface flow is confined to the channel unless large precipitation events result in the tributary overtopping its banks and flowing into the floodplain.

> Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

🖂 I	Bed and	banks
_		e



- Shelving
- vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
- leaf litter disturbed or washed away
- the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour

the presence of litter and debris

destruction of terrestrial vegetation

 \Box

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

	sediment deposition	multiple observed or predicted flow events
	water staining	abrupt change in plant community
	other (list):	
	Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine	ne lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	High Tide Line indicated by:	Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
	oil or scum line along shore objects	survey to available datum;
	fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)	physical markings;
	 physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list): 	vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii)	Chemical Characteristics:	
(111)	Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored Explain: There was no apparent evidence of poor o	oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). degraded water quality in the tributary during the site visit.
	Identity specific pollutants, if known:	
(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average widt	that apply):
	\square W (1 1 C) \square (1 ((((((((((((((((((

- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The tributary likely provides support for water dependent species, including native fish communities that move within the relative reach and move downstream between the tributary and the TNW and between the stream and its adjacent wetlands, amphibians during breeding periods, and numerous wading birds and small mammals that feed on the aquatic species, including numerous categories of macroinvertebrates.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:

Wetland size: Wetland H-2 = 00.20 acres, Wetland A-X = 4.55 acres.

Wetland type. Explain: Forested/Emergent/Shrub Scrub.

Wetland quality. Explain: Wetlands appear to be fully functional providing water quality and habitat functions. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Wetland H-2

Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Flow would be during the wet season and in response to precipitation events when the soils within the wetlands become saturated and reach storage capacity.

Surface flow is: Discrete and confined

Characteristics: Surface flow is discrete within the wetland and confined when it reaches the tributary.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Subsurface flow is unknown but since the wetland directly abuts the tributary, it likely that it contributes flow to the tributary after precipitation events as the water table is drawn below the surface.

Dye (or other) test performed:

Wetland A-X

Flow is: **Ephemeral flow**. Explain: **Flow would be during the wet season and in response to larger precipitation** events when the soils within the wetlands become saturated, reach storage capacity, and result in surface flow along an onsite roadside swale to the tributary.

Surface flow is: Discrete and confined

Characteristics: Surface flow is discrete within the wetland and confined when it reaches a roadside swale that drains to the tributary.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting Wetland H-2 directly abuts the tributary.
 - Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Wetland A-X flows during the wet season and in response to larger precipitation events when the soils within the wetlands become saturated, reach storage capacity, and result in surface flow along an onsite road swale to the tributary.

- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
 - Project wetlands are **5-10** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **5-10** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: There was no apparent evidence of poor or degraded water quality in the wetlands during the site visit.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The wetlands provide support for wetland dependent species, including amphibians during breeding periods, and numerous wading birds and small mammals that feed on the aquatic species, including numerous categories of macroinvertebrates.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **3** Approximately (**22**) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Wetland H-2 is part of a larger wetland system, a portion of which is located on site and protected by restrictive covenants (therefore not evaluated for jurisdiction as part of this jurisdictional determination, but evaluated as adjacent within the relevant reach for the SND), and another portion extends off site onto another parcel. This large wetland system is being evaluated as Wetland H-2 (0.20 acres), off site Wetland 2 (11 acres), and on site wetland protected by restrictive covenants Wetland RC (2.8 acres).

For the purpose of this determination the acreage of the wetlands outside of the project area within the relative reach drainage area being evaluated as adjacent to the relative reach have been approximated based on a review of aerial imagery, and is likely an underestimate.

For each wetland, specify the following:



Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands 1, H-2, and A-X within the drainage area encompassed by the relevant reach tributary intercept runoff from the surrounding uplands. This water helps to concentrate and route detritus from the uplands, as well as that produced by the wetland vegetation itself, to the waters and TNW further down the landscape. Specifically, large quantities of decomposing biomass are conveyed to the RPW and TNW thereby providing important primary productivity toward the biological maintenance of the food web

supported by the TNW. The residence time of water may be relatively short during periods of peak flow when water levels are highest, and therefore would favor rapid delivery of pollutants, including both dissolved and particulate chemicals typically found in roadside runoff as well as those typically found in moderately developed suburban to rural landscapes. However, during much of the year flow volumes are much lower and residence times are substantially increased, allowing dissolved and suspended pollutants to interact with sediments and vegetation, thus likely ameliorating the poorer water quality conditions present during higher flow periods. Additional important chemical and physical water quality functions such as denitrification, carbon storage, and sediment and phosphorous retention are also provided by Wetlands 1, H-2, and A-X.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- **3.** Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

The project area is located in watershed 03050201-05 in Berkeley and Dorchester Counties and consists primarily of Cypress Swamp and its tributaries. The watershed occupies 139,162 acres of the Lower Coastal Plain region of South Carolina. There is a moderate potential for growth in this watershed, which contains portions of the Towns of Ridgeville and Summerville, and water and sewer services are available to these growth areas. Additionally, Jedburg Road is expected to be reconfigured to include a new interchange/exit from I-26 in relative close proximity to the project area. This will likely result in increased development on both sides of the highway and create additional stresses to aquatic resources in the area. This increases the significance and importance of the aquatic resource functions currently being provided by existing wetlands in this watershed.

Wetlands 1, H-2, and A-X within the drainage area encompassed by the relevant reach tributary intercept runoff from the surrounding uplands. This water helps to concentrate and route detritus from the uplands, as well as that produced by the wetland vegetation itself, to the waters and TNW further down the landscape. Specifically, large quantities of decomposing biomass are conveyed to the RPW and TNW thereby providing important primary productivity toward the biological maintenance of the food web supported by the TNW. The residence time of water may be relatively short during periods of peak flow when water levels are highest, and therefore would favor rapid delivery of pollutants, including both dissolved and particulate chemicals typically found in roadside runoff as well as those typically found in moderately developed suburban to rural landscapes. However, during much of the year flow volumes are much lower and residence times are substantially increased, allowing dissolved and suspended pollutants to interact with sediments and vegetation, thus likely ameliorating the poorer water quality conditions present during higher flow

periods. Additional important chemical and physical water quality functions such as denitrification, carbon storage, and sediment and phosphorous retention are also provided by Wetlands 1, H-2, and A-X.

Considering the amount of development currently occurring and expected to occur in this area in the near future, the functions of the wetlands in the project area play an important role relating to downstream water quality. Based on the biological, chemical, and physical functions described above, this office has concluded that a Significant Nexus exists between this relevant reach, its similarly situated adjacent wetlands and the downstream TNW Ashley River.

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL **THAT APPLY):**

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: 1. TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 2.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The tributary (sRPW) displays bed and bank, an OHW, was flowing during all of the site visits and appears to flow for the majority of the year, but most likely dries up during portions of the summer months and periods of low precipitation.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: **116 linear feet.** Other non-wetland waters: Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:

Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 3.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- linear feet width (ft).
- Tributary waters: line Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters: .

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 4.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 - Ketlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland H-2 is contiguous with the unnamed tributary (sRPW).

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland H-2 = 0.20 acres.

- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 5.
 - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland A-X = 4.55 acres.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 6.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹

- As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

T 1	• •
Exn	laın.
LAP	uuuu.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
 - Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based <u>solely</u> on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
 - Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

Other: (explain, if not covered above): There is a vegetated swale that runs along a gravel road on site. Although this is not considered an aquatic feature and is non-jurisdictional by definition, the swale provides a hydrologic connection between Wetland A-X and the unnamed sRPW and will be discussed further in the form.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).

Lakes/ponds: acres.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Delineation Submittal, S&ME.
- $\overline{\boxtimes}$ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. \boxtimes Office concurs with determination. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: $\overline{\boxtimes}$ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: NHDS Map. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Summerville Quadrangle. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI Wetlands Mapper. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 1989-2015. or \Box Other (Name & Date): \boxtimes Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: ATF Permit SAC-2005-220 dated April 22, 2008. Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: It has been determined that the tributary Rowino Branch has relatively permanent seasonal flow and therefore is jurisdictional by definition and subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Based on the biological, chemical, and physical functions described above, this office has concluded that a Significant Nexus exists between this relevant reach, its similarly situated adjacent wetlands and the downstream TNW Ashley River. Therefore it has been determined that Wetlands H-2 and A-X are jurisdictional and subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.