APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 2, 2016 B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 1 of 2; SAC # 2014-01063-3JY Chestnut Wilson Tract C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: **Horry** City: North Myrtle Beach Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.7911° N, Long. -78.79338° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary of the AIWW Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: AIWW Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0304020803 / Pee Dee Coastal Frontage Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): July 1, 2015 **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 2653 linear feet: 12.5' width (ft) and/or 0.756 acres. Wetlands: Wetland 3.501 acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Established by OHWM., Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlandsl Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Socastee Swamp.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: AIWW / subject to the ebb and flow of the tide..

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 136,317 acres;
Drainage area: 180 acres

Average annual rainfall: **52** inches Average annual snowfall: **0** inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

| | Tributary stream order, if known: 2 nd or 3 rd order. | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| (b) | General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: . Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: channalized and straightened at for some portions of its | | | | |
| lenght. | Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: SPRPW-12.5' / PRPW 15' feet Average depth: SPRPW 3-5' / PRPW 20' feet Average side slopes: 2:1. | | | | |
| | Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: . | | | | |
| | Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Banks stabilized by vegetation. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): % | | | | |
| (c) conditions. | Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Flow was determined to be atleast 90 percent of the year under normal climatic | | | | |
| | Other information on duration and volume: Horry County Drainage Study of 1975 indicates a Main Drainage canal aphic map depicts a solid blue line which represents perennial flow. | | | | |
| | Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Flow is within bed and banks of channel. | | | | |
| | Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: | | | | |
| | Tributary has (check all that apply): □ Bed and banks □ OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ changes in the character of soil □ shelving □ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent □ leaf litter disturbed or washed away □ sediment deposition □ sediment deposition □ water staining □ other (list): □ Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: . the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community | | | | |
| | If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: | | | | |
| | omical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear and free of debris or discoloration as observed onsite due to it being conveyed primarily through forested riparian areas in a natural state. | | | | |

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tibid.

| | Identify specific pollutants, if known: |
|------------|--|
| | Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Periodically flooded area outside of channel; important for and buffering; supports clean water. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: |
| | ☐ Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Tributary supports breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic |
| | ecies such as fish and amphibians. In addition , evidence of foraging, nesting and travel within and around tributary of and wildlife species was observed to include Whitetailed deer and Neotropical Songbirds |
| 2. Cha | aracteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW |
| (i) | Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: Wetland 3.501 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine. Wetland quality. Explain: Forested fully functional. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: |
| | (b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u> : Flow is: <u>Intermittent flow</u> . Explain: <u>during wetter months and after rainfall</u> . |
| | Surface flow is: Discrete Characteristics: Drainage patterns observed within wetlands . |
| | Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: |
| | (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The onsite wetland is bisected by the onsite SPRPW, which |
| discharg | ges into the onsite portion of a PRPW, eventually discharging into the AIWW. Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: |
| | (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain. |
| (ii) | Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water was not observed above the surface at time of site visit, soils were saturated to the surface. General watershed is developed areas. Wetlands are surrounded by Forested Areas and the now defunct adjacent golf course. Identify specific pollutants, if known: |
| | Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Mixed pine and hardwoods. Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: FAC and wetter plants present. Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: This wetland system enhances a variety of wildlife species by versity through timber type changes and where an aquatic system adjoins an upland system. |
| | |

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 5-10

Approximately (+/-180) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

| Directly abuts? (Y/N) | Size (in acres) | Directly abuts? (Y/N) | Size (in acres) |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Wetland Y Wetland Y | 3.501 1.9 | | |
| Wetland N Wetland N | 1.5 2.7 | | |
| | | | |

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The similarly situated wetlands contribute vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. This wetland system enhances wildlife diversity, acts as catch basins filtering sediment and pollutants from surrounding urban development and silvicultural practices, supports the downstream food web, and provides nutrient fixation, flood attenuation and flow maintenance functions. See III.C.3. below for more details.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Limits of Wetland Boundaries were established by the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual. The offsite tributary and wetlands within the review area provide a significant physical, biological, and chemical benefit and investment to the downstream TNW (AIWW). These wetlands form a portion of the headwaters of the offsite of the unnamed seasonal RPW and unnamed perennial RPW. They are a part of a collective system that provides an immediate function of flood and stormwater attenuation, providing a catch basin for the surrounding drainage area. Wetlands within the review area retain runoff to a manageable level before allowing it to flow into the offsite tributary before discharging into the AIWW, reducing erosion and sediment deposition. Along with velocity attenuation, it also serves to trap, treat, and impede the flow of harmful pollutants and excess nutrients into the ecosystems found in the adjacent wetands, tributaries and eventually the AIWW. The filtering of the wetland and tributary work to maintain a balanced chemical makeup eventually effecting the AIWW. They serve as primary producers by way of injecting biomass into the system. This provides a part of the basic food web for primary feeders which other organisms in the ecosystem. The wetlands also provide habitat for foraging, nesting, and travel corridors for a variety of wetland dependent and non-dependent species.. Physically they serve in the function of stormwater runoff attenuation and absorption from nearby uplands, chemically

they serve to trap and filter pollutants, nutrient / organics, and sedimentation thereby improving the quality of the downstream TNW, and biologically by primary production of biomass into the food web, and its extensive network of foraging, nesting, and travel corridor habitat for wetland and non-wetland species alike.

 $\textbf{Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: \\$

| D. | | DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): | | | | |
|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 1. | TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres. | | | | |
| | 2. | RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: | | | | |
| | | Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Flow is during wetter months Late fall to early spring. Stream is recharged by natural storage (ground water) or stormwater events. Evidence that tributary was being recharged by groundwater was observed on site visit by Iron-oxidizing bacteria seeping into the channel at a specific location within tributary where the upper limits of jurisdiction was determined. | | | | |
| | | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 2653 linear feet 12.5' width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . | | | | |
| | 3. | Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. | | | | |
| | | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . | | | | |
| | 4. | Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: | | | | |
| | | Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland 1 is bisected by the onsite seasonal RPW. | | | | |
| | | Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 3.501 acres. | | | | |
| | 5. | Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. | | | | |
| | | Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. | | | | |
| | 6. | Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. | | | | |
| | | Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. | | | | |

| | 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain: |
|----|---|
| E. | ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: |
| | Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: |
| | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres. |
| F. | NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): |
| | Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres. |
| | Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. |
| SE | CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. |
| | SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps prepared by the agent S&ME / Project is located west and adjacent to the terminus of Watertower Road, North Myrtle Beach, Horry County, South Carolina. The project area is depicted on the survey plat you submitted which was prepared by Robert A Warner and Associates, Inc., dated July 9, 2015, and entitled "WETLANDS SURVEY OF 62.54 ACRES OF LAND LOCATED OFF WATERTOWER ROAD / ~ PREPARED FOR ~ / WACCAMAW LAND AND TIMBER". |

Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook. ¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

| | Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. |
|-------------|---|
| | Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. |
| | Data sheets prepared by the Corps: . |
| | Corps navigable waters' study: |
| | U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: . |
| _ | USGS NHD data. |
| | USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. |
| \boxtimes | U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: US Topographic Maps /Hand Quad / depicts forested area with |
| wet | lands and upland areas. Adjacent properites contain wooded areas and a golf course |
| \boxtimes | USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Horry County Soil Survey / pg 72 / depicts the following |
| soil | series: Centenary (2% hydric) & Leon, Lynn Haven, Yonges (all 100% hydric) |
| \boxtimes | National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Horry County NWI / U42P, U17 (uplansd) PSS3/1Bd, Pss4Bd, PUBHx |
| (pa | lustrine wetlands). |
| | State/Local wetland inventory map(s): . |
| | FEMA/FIRM maps: . |
| | 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) |
| \boxtimes | Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2006 SCDNR infrared imagery / 2015 Google Earth true color imagery. |
| | or 🛮 Other (Name & Date): Photographs taken during the SV by agent. |
| | Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: |
| | Applicable/supporting case law: . |
| | Applicable/supporting scientific literature: . |
| \boxtimes | Other information (please specify): |

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Limits of jurisdiction of wetlands were established by the 1987 Delineation Manual. The onstie wetland (3.501 acres) It was determined and documented in Section III C of this form that both the tributary and it's adjacent wetlands to have a significant nexus with the downstream TNW. This significant nexus determination covered the entire drainage area (+/- 180 acres) of the unnamed season RPW which is located west of the terminus of Watertower Road, north of its confluence with a unnamed perennial RPW that eventually discharges into the AIWW.

This site was assessed on two basis forms. Form 2 covers the onsite perennial RPW.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

| SEC A. | CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): February 2, 2016 |
|-----------|--|
| B. | DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 2 of 2; SAC # 2014-01063-3JY Chestnut Wilson Tract |
| C. | PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina |
| D. | REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): July 1, 2015 |
| SEC A. | CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. |
| | we are a. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain: . |
| B. | CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. |
| The | 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands |
| | b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: PRPW 976 If linear feet: 15'width (ft) and/or 0.335 acres. Wetlands: acres. |
| | c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known): |
| | Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands] Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: |

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Socastee Swamp.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: AIWW is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List;
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵:

Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

| (b) | General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: |
|-----|---|
| | Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List. |
| | Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: |
| | Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): % |
| (c) | Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: |
| | Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: |
| | Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: |
| | Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: |
| | If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| Cha | emical Characteristics: cracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: citify specific pollutants, if known: |
| | |

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

| | (iv) | | ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: |
|----|-------|-------|---|
| 2. | Cha | racte | eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW |
| | (i) | | sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: |
| | | (b) | General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: |
| | | | Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: . |
| | | | Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: |
| | | (c) | Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: |
| | | (d) | Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain. |
| | (ii) | Cha | mical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known: |
| | (iii) | | ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: |
| 3. | Cha | All v | eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List roximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. |

For each wetland, specify the following:



Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

 $Documentation\ for\ the\ Record\ only:\ Significant\ nexus\ findings\ for\ seasonal\ RPWs\ and/or\ wetlands\ abutting\ seasonal\ RPWs:$

| D. | DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL |
|----|---|
| | THAT APPLY): |

| 1. | TNWs and Adj | acent Wetlands. | Check all that appl | y and provide size estimates in review area: |
|----|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| | TNWs: | linear feet | width (ft), Or, | acres. |
| | ☐ Wetlands ad | jacent to TNWs: | acres. | |
| | | | | |

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Onsite tributary (Unnamed tributary of the AIWW) was determined to be an RPW with perennial

| | tributary at the time of site visit. Hydrologic indicators observed: a deeply incised (greater than 20 feet in depth) and well defined channel clear of terrestrial vegetation and debris, even distribution of substrates, deposition bars, litter and debris on the banks of tributary. |
|----------|---|
| | ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: |
| | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 976 linear feet 15' width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: |
| 3. | Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. |
| | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . |
| 4. | Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: |
| | Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: |
| | Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. |
| 5. | Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. |
| | Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. |
| 6. | Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. |
| | Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres. |
| 7. | Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain: |
| DE SU | OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. |
| | |

flow. Site visit was performed under normal climatic conditions. Water was observed flowing within the offsite

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for the complete of th review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

| | from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: |
|-----------|--|
| | Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: |
| | Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres. |
| F. | NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): : Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. |
| | Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres. |
| SE | CTION IV: DATA SOURCES. |
| A. | SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps prepared by the agent S&ME / Project is located west and adjacent to the terminus of Watertower Road, North Myrtle Beach, Horry County, South Carolina. The project area is depicted on the survey plat you submitted which was prepared by Robert A Warner and Associates, Inc., dated July 9, 2015, and entitled "WETLANDS SURVEY OF 62.54 ACRES OF LAND LOCATED OFF WATERTOWER ROAD /~ PREPARED FOR ~ / WACCAMAW LAND AND TIMBER". Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: US Topographic Maps /Hand Quad / depicts forested area with wetlands and upland areas. Adjacent properites contain wooded areas and a golf course USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Horry County Soil Survey / pg 72 / depicts the following soil series: Centenary (2% hydric) & Leon, Lynn Haven, Yonges (all 100% hydric) National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Horry County NWI / U42P, U17 (uplansd) PSS3/1Bd, Pss4Bd, PUBHx (palustrine wetlands). State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) |
| | Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 2006 SCDNR infrared imagery / 2015 Google Earth true color imagery. or Other (Name & Date): Photographs taken during the SV by agent. |

| | Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: . | |
|---|---|--|
| | Applicable/supporting case law: | |
| | Applicable/supporting scientific literature: . | |
| \boxtimes | Other information (please specify): Horry County Feasibility Study of Requirements for Main Drainage canals / pg 15 / | |
| depicts the unnamed perennial RPW as a "L-1" which is the symbol for a main drainage canal. | | |

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Limits of jurisdiction of wetlands were established by the 1987 Delineation Manual.

Onsite tributary (Unnamed tributary of the AIWW) was determined to be an RPW with perennial flow. Site visit was performed under normal climatic conditions. Water was observed flowing within the offsite tributary at the time of site visit. Hydrologic indicators observed: a deeply incised (greater than 20 feet in depth) and well defined channel clear of terrestrial vegetation and debris, even distribution of substrates, deposition bars, litter and debris on the banks of tributary.

This site was assessed on two basis forms. Form 1 covers the onsite wetlands and seasaons RPW.