# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

<b>A.</b> ]	REPORT COMPLETION DATE F	R APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	L DETERMINATION (JI	)): June 28.	. 2018
-------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------	--------------	--------

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 1 of 2; SAC-2012-00851 Long Farm Tract Mitigation JD
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Horry County City: Conway Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.8728° N, Long79.0273 ° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM 17N Name of nearest waterbody: Kingston Lake
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Kingston Lake  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Waccamaw River / 0304020608  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): December 13, 2018
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List, Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon
	assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]  ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  Explain: Located within the site are four isolated wetlands (Wetland D / 0.14 acres + Wetland E / 0.19 acres + Wetland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

F / 0.32 acres + Wetland G / 0.92 acres = 1.57 acres). Additionally, there are five upland excavated non-jurisdictional ditchs.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

#### Watershed size: Pick List; Drainage area: **Pick List** Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

		Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :  Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  Tributary geometry: Pick List.  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:  Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)		ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	racte	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	(a)	Sical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW  Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.  Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Flow is from: Pick List.  Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Chai	mical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)		ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All v	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List roximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:



Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

 $Documentation\ for\ the\ Record\ only:\ Significant\ nexus\ findings\ for\ seasonal\ RPWs\ and/or\ wetlands\ abutting\ seasonal\ RPWs:$ 

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	THAT APPLY):

1.	<b>=</b> *** ** ** ** *** *** *** *** *** ***	k all that apply and proth (ft), Or, acres acres.	
2.			r-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that

	☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  Explain:
DE SU	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10
	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):  Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
	judgment (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: (Wetland D / 0.14 acres + Wetland E / 0.19 acres + Wetland F / 0.32 acres + Wetland G / 0.92 acres = 1.57 acres) acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: The site in question is shown on the enclosed plat entitled "WETLAND MAP / OF / "LONG FARM" TAX PARCEL 110-00-02-105 / NEAR CONWAY, HORRY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA / PREPARED FOR: / BURROUGHS AND CHAPIN CO., INC." and dated January 16, 2018, prepared by Castles Engineering.
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	☐ Corps navigable waters' study: ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USGS Topographic maps / Conway & Adian Quads / depicts a
	mixture of forested, unforested, and wetland areas.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Horry County Soil Survey / pg 52 / depicts the following
	hydric soils: Bladen, Eulonia, Meggett, and Yonges soils .
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Horry County NWI / depicts the following wetland symbology: (PFO1A, PFO1C, PFO1/4B, PFO4/1B and the following upland symbology: U42P.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	<ul> <li>✓ Photographs: ✓ Aerial (Name &amp; Date): 2016 Google Earth Imagery, 2006 SCDNR Infrared Imagery.</li> <li>or ✓ Other (Name &amp; Date): Photos submitted with original request.</li> </ul>
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
	Other information (please specify): Horry County LiDAR.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Area in review is comprised of 240.12 acres and contained a mixture of jurisdictional and isolated wetlands. This sire is comprised of hydric soils which include Eulonia, Meggettt, Bladen, and Yonges. Wetland hydrology was present within the boundaries of wetlands D,E,F, & G. Horry county NWI data depict the site as a mixture of uplands and wetlands. The isolated wetlands were each separated by a topographic break / ridge of uplands that resulted in a disconnection with other Waters of the United States.

Wetland D / 0.14 acres + Wetland E / 0.19 acres + Wetland F / 0.32 acres + Wetland G / 0.92 acres are each isolated wetland with no connections to other Waters of the US (WOUS). Additionally, the site contains five upland excavated non-jurisdictional ditches that lack any features consistent with WOUS.

This site was assessed on tow basis forms / Jurisdictional features are assessed on form 2.

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

# **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

# REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): June 28, 2018

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 2 of 2; SAC-2012-00851 Long Farm Tract Mitigation JD
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Horry County City: Conway  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.8728° N, Long79.0273 ° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: UTM 17N  Name of nearest waterbody: Kingston Lake  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: Kingston Lake  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Waccamaw River / 0304020608  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
	different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): December 13, 2018
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
0.61	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 867 linear feet: 10width (ft) and/or 0.23 acres.  Wetlands: Wetland A / 7.97 + Wetland B / 0.04 + Wetland C / 16.72 + Wetland L / 27.12 + Wetland N / 2.90 + Wetland Z / 1 = 55.36 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Established by OHWM., Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon

assessment are NOT waters or wetlands

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional
Explain: Non-jurisdictional features are discussed on form 1 of 2.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1 TNW

Identify TNW: Kingston Lake.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": Wetlands flow directly into Kingston Lack Swamp through an unnammed perennial RPW into Kingston Lake Swamp which are the headwaters of Kingston Lake.

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 83443 acres;

Drainage area: 503 acres

Average annual rainfall: **48-50** inches Average annual snowfall: **0-1** inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> : <b>Wetlands are adjacent / abutting onsite perennial RPW, flows into Kingston Lake Swamp, discharging into Kingston Lake (TNW)</b> .  Tributary stream order, if known:			
(b)	General Tributary of Tributary is:	Characteristics (check all that apply):  ☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: A	manipulated tributary.	
	<b>Tributary</b> properti Average widtl Average depth Average side	h: <b>1-2</b> feet		
	Primary tributary s Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Exp	substrate composition (check all that apply):  Sands Gravel Vegetation. Type/% cover: plain:	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck	
vacatation	Tributary condition	n/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing bar	nks]. Explain: natural stabilized banks with native	
vegetation.	Tributary geometry	fle/pool complexes. Explain: y: <b>Relatively straight. Man altered tributa</b> r (approximate average slope): <b>0-2</b> %	ту	
(c)	Estimate average n	s for: <b>Perennial flow</b> number of flow events in review area/year: <b>20</b>		
Describe flow regime: 5 parts of flow regime observed during site audit: Magnitude - the volume of flow as observed is regulated by the discharge from adjacent wetlands and stormwater runnoff. Groundwater recharge is unknown and therefore not quantified in this discusion. The tributary appears to have a consistent flow of low volume, contained within bed and banks. Frequency - this tributary contains the characteristic of a perennial feature with flowing water at least 90% under normal climatic conditions, which yields nearly constant, low velocity / volume flow. Duration - flow within the tributary is excacerbated by drought or heavy rainfall events. Othersie the tributary is regulated by typical / normal climatic inputs. Predictability - during periods of heavy rainfall or pronouced drought, the flow regime will be temporarily changed as long as surrounding environmental conditions remain the same. Atypical geomorphic impacts can affect the flow regime of the tributary but otherwise the perennial flow regime would remain predictable. Rate of change of flshiness - based on the relatively flat topography of the surrounding area, the rate of change to the flow regime would not be as abrupt as areas with more topography. However, once floodwaters do rise, the inundation period of the tributary would last longer than those areas with more pronounced / dynamic topography.  Other information on duration and volume:				
Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined</b> . Characteristics: <b>outside of flooding events, flow is contained within the bed and banks of the tributary</b> .				
and banks of			,	
and banks of	the tributary.  Subsurface flow: U	Unknown. Explain findings: ther) test performed:	8	

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

		High Tide Line indicated by:    Gil or scum line along shore objects   Gil or scum line along sh
(iii	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).  Explain: Tributary depict a man altered tributary with signs of perennial flow, free of debris and vegetation, and appears to be a clean and free of pollutants.  entify specific pollutants, if known: none known.
(iv	Bio	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Typical wetland / riparian corridor vegetation.  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic species observed within the channel.
2. Cl	naract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)		vsical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:
		Wetland size: Wetland C / 16.72 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine forested wetland. Wetland quality. Explain: Fully functioning / undistrubed state. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: <b>Ephemeral flow</b> . Explain: <b>Water leaving wetland C</b> .
		Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: <b>Unknown</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Water leaving wetland C flows into a non-jurisdictional
linear f	eatur	e and discharges into an onsite unnamed perennial RPW.  □ Ecological connection. Explain:  □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW  Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW.  Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.  Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 50 - 100-year floodplain.
(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: No surface water was observed in wetland C / water in conveyance was clear and free of observable pollutants. ntify specific pollutants, if known:
(ii	ii) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Typical wetland vegetation. Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Nearly 100% cover around. Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
Aguatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: <b>Typical habitat for wetland fauna</b> .

### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **8**Approximately ( **239.86** ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y	7.97	Y	27.12
$\mathbf{Y}$	<b>5.5</b>	$\mathbf{N}$	16.72
$\mathbf{Y}$	0.04		
$\mathbf{Y}$	179		
$\mathbf{Y}$	0.61		
$\mathbf{Y}$	2.90		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands indicated above contribute vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. Collectively they enhance wildlife diversity, act as eatch basins filtering sediment and pollution from the surrounding residential development, supports the downstream food web, and provides nutrient fixation, flood attenuation, and flow maintenacne functions. The onsite wetlands in combination with other adjacent wetlands within the 503 acre drainage provide physical, chemical, and biological functions that are essential to the quality of downstream waters. (Wetlands adjacent to the tributary were determined by using a combination of NWI maps and the wetlands delineated as part of this determination).

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The wetlands that are assessed in this form perform functions consistent with the following: Biologically, wetlands adjacent to the PRPW include depressional wetlands. As such a variety of biological functions are being

performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species and foraging areas for wetland dependent species. These wetlands and the adjacent PRPW are essential in providing organic carbons in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemically, the PRPW and adjacent wetlands are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients into the downstream TNW. These pollutants, which are contributed to by runoff from surrounding uplands are prevented from being discharged downstream due to suspended sediments and other pollutants being retained within the wetlands. The low velocity of and gradient of the PRPW also contribute to the removal of pollutants because the suspended pollutants have time to settle out of the water. This reduces nitrogen and phosphorous loading downstream and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Physically, the PRPW and adjacent wetlands are collectively performing flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing rain water, temporarily. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes and reducing the frequency of overbank events which flood adjacent properties. Increased water velocity also increases the amount of sediments and other pollutants in the TNW. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of the Kingston Lake, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary and all adjacent wetlands to the downstream TNW.

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The onsite tributary was determined to flow at least 90% of the year under normal climatic conditions. Additionally, the tributary is a recharged by both a seasonal high water table and surface stromwater runoff which provide a constant flow regime.
	☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 864 linear feet 10 width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  □ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  □ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands A, B, L, N, Z, abutt the OHWM of the the onsite perennial RPW that continues offsite.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland A / 7.97 + Wetland B / 0.04 + / 16.72 +

Wetland L / 27.12 + Wetland N / 2.90 + Wetland Z / 0.61 = 38.64 acres.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 16.72 acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  Explain:
Е.	SUC	CLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10  which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional genent (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook. <sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):    Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: The site in question is shown on the enclosed plat entitled "WETLAND MAP / OF / "LONG FARM" TAX PARCEL 110-00-02-105 / NEAR CONWAY, HORRY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA / PREPARED FOR: / BURROUGHS AND CHAPIN CO., INC." and dated January 16, 2018, prepared by Castles Engineering.   Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.   Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.   Data sheets prepared by the Corps:   Corps navigable waters' study:   U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:   USGS NID data.   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Horry County Soil Survey / pg 52 / depicts the following hydric soils: Bladen, Eulonia, Meggett, and Yonges soils.   National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Horry County NWI / depicts the following wetland symbology: (PFO1A, PFO1C, PFO1/4B, PFO4/1B and the following upland symbology: U42P.   State/Local wetland inventory map(s):   FEMA/FIRM maps:   100-year Floodplain Elevation is:   (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)   Photographs:   Aerial (Name & Date): Potoos submitted with original request.   Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:   Applicable/supporting case law:   Applicable/supporting scientific literature:   Applicable/supporting scientific literature:   Other information (please specify): Horry County LiDAR.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Area in review is comprised of 240.12 acres and contained a mixture of jurisdictional and isolated wetlands (isolated wetlands discussed on basis form 1 of 2). This site is comprised of hydric soils which include Eulonia, Meggettt, Bladen, and Yonges and dominance of hydrophytic to marginally hydrophytic vegetation. All three wetland parameters were present within the boundaries of wetlands A, B, C, L, N, & Z (55.63 acres). Additionally, each of these wetlands were hydrologically connected to Kingston Lake Swamp, located east of the site. Horry county NWI data depict the site as a mizture of uplands and wetlands. Wetland A, B, L, N, and Z abutt the OHWM of the onsite PRPW that continues offsite. Wetland C is adjacent (non-abutting) the perennial RPW.  Jurisdictional Wetlands: Wetland A / 7.97 + Wetland B / 0.04 + Wetland C / 16.72 + Wetland L / 27.12 + Wetland N / 2.90 + Wetland Z / 0.61 = 55.36 total jurisdictional wetland acreage.

This site was assessed on two basis forms / Non-jurisdictional features are discussed on form 1 of 2  $\,$