

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 2-JUN-2021

ORM Number: SAC-2020-01775

Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹:

State/Territory: SC City: County: Florence County

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 34.212742 Longitude -79.687203

111010101119		inv kind in the entire revie	(i.e., there are no waters or water feature w area). Rationale: N/A or describe ration
	"navigable w		" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdictio
☐ There are	waters of the		ean Water Act jurisdiction within the revie
	waters or wa		n Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the re
`	•	,	
Rivers and H	arbors Act of	1899 Section 10 (§ 10) ² § 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
			Rationale for 3 to Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Clean Water	Act Section 4	. 04 nal Navigable Waters ((a))(1) waters)³
Clean Water A	Act Section 4 s and Traditio	.04	1,,
Clean Water A Territorial Sea (a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a)(2) Name	Act Section 4 s and Traditio (a)(1) Size N/A)(2) waters): (a)(2) Size	nal Navigable Waters ((a) (a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria)(1) waters) ³ Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
Clean Water A Territorial Sea (a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A	Act Section 4 s and Traditio (a)(1) Size N/A)(2) Waters): (a)(2) Size N/A	nal Navigable Waters ((a) (a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria N/A	(1) waters) ³ Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A
Clean Water A Territorial Sea (a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A	Act Section 4 s and Traditio (a)(1) Size N/A)(2) Waters): (a)(2) Size N/A	nal Navigable Waters ((a) (a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria	(1) waters) ³ Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Exclusion Name		Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Excluded Water D1	2200 feet	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool	Excluded Water D1 was determined to be an excluded water based on a review of the aerials, topographic map, NWIs, and soil survey. The topo map depicts this feature as a blue line, and the aerials depict this Excluded Water as a linear feature. This feature is depicted on the soil survey as Coxville, a hydric soil, and on the NWIs as a riverine system. This feature is naturally occurring; however, it was determined, based on information submitted by the agent such as photographs of D1, to have ephemeral flow. Therefore, it was determined to be an excluded water.
Excluded Water D2	1400 feet	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool	Excluded Water D2 was determined to be an excluded water based on a review of the aerials, topographic map, and soil survey. The topo map does not depict this feature; however, the aerials depict this Excluded Water as a linear feature. This feature is depicted on the soil survey as Rains, a hydric soil. This feature is naturally occurring; however, it was determined, based on information submitted by the agent such as photographs of D2, to have ephemeral flow. Therefore, it was determined to be an excluded water.
Excluded Water OWA	0.42 acres	(b)(8) Artificial lake/pond constructed or excavated in upland or a non-jurisdictional water, so long as the artificial lake or pond is not an impoundment of a jurisdictional water that meets (c)(6)	Excluded Water OWA was determined to be a man- made pond. Both the aerials and the topographic map depict this rectangular pond as being excavated out of uplands on site. Although the soil survey maps this area as Coxville, a hydric soil, the excluded water was determined to not be an impoundment of a jurisdictional water. Therefore, OWA was determined to be an excluded water.
Excluded Water WA	1.86 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WA was determined to be non-jurisdictional based on a review of the aerials, soil survey, and information submitted by the agent. This wetland is depicted as abutting Excluded Water D2. Because Excluded Water D2 was determined to have ephemeral flow, and WA does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water nor is it inundated by or physically separated by a natural or artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, WA was determined to not be jurisdictional.
Excluded Water WC Excluded Water	0.34 acres 4.51 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland (b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WC was determined to be non-jurisdictional based on a review of the aerials, soil survey, and information submitted by the agent. This wetland is depicted as abutting Excluded Water D2. Because Excluded Water D2 was determined to have ephemeral flow, and WC does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water nor is it inundated by or physically separated by a natural or artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, WC was determined to not be jurisdictional. Excluded Water WP was determined to be non-

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



WD	1		indicational based on a position of the control of
WP			jurisdictional based on a review of the aerials, soil survey, and information submitted by the agent. This
			wetland is depicted as abutting Excluded Water D1.
			Because Excluded Water D1 was determined to have
			ephemeral flow, and WP does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3)
			water nor is it inundated by or physically separated by a
			natural or artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water,
			WP was determined to not be jurisdictional.
Evaluated Mater	2.45	/b//4/ Niam adia a ant	
Excluded Water WO	3.15 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WQ was determined to be non-
WQ			jurisdictional based on a review of the aerials, soil
			survey, and information submitted by the agent. This
			wetland is depicted as abutting both Excluded Water
			D1 and Excluded Water D2. Both D1 and D2 have
			been determined to have ephemeral flow. Additionally,
			WQ does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water nor is it
			inundated by or physically separated by a natural or
			artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. Therefore,
			WQ was determined to not be jurisdictional.
Excluded Water	1.73 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WW is a wetland located immediately
WW			north of Excluded Water WQ. WW also abuts both
			Excluded Water D1 and Excluded Water D2, which
			have ephemeral flow. Additionally, WW does not abut
			an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water nor is it inundated by or physically
			separated by a natural or artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-
			(a)(3) water. Therefore, WW was determined to not be
			jurisdictional.
Excluded Water	0.38 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WX was determined to be non-
WX			jurisdictional based on a review of the aerials, soil
			survey, and information submitted by the agent. This
			wetland is depicted as abutting Excluded Water D1,
			which has been determined to have ephemeral flow.
			Additionally, WX does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water
			nor is it inundated by or physically separated by a
			natural or artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.
			Therefore, WX was determined to not be jurisdictional.
Excluded Water	0.34 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WY is a closed boundary polygon
WY		, , ,	determined to be non-jurisdictional because it does not
			abut nor is it inundated by an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. The
			aerials depict this site as forested with no linear
			features present and surrounded by uplands. No blue
			lines or other potential aquatic resources are depicted
			on the topographic map. Based on this information,
			WY was determined to be an excluded water.
Excluded Water	0.34 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Water WZ was determined to be non-
WZ	3.31 40.00	(5)(1) Horr dajacont Worlding	iurisdictional based on a review of the aerials and
•••			information submitted by the agent. This wetland is
			depicted as abutting Excluded Water D1. Because
			Excluded Water D1 was determined to have ephemeral
			flow, and WZ does not abut an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water nor is
			it inundated by or physically separated by a natural or
			artificial barrier from an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, WZ was
1	1	1	arundar barrier ironi ari (a)(i)-(a)(ɔ) Water, w∠ Was
			determined to not be jurisdictional.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - _x_ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: AJD Request submitted by Lowrys Environmental & Ecological Services, LLC, on behalf of Florence County, on 15 DEC 2020.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: The wetland data forms and information submitted by the agent are considered to be a reasonable representation of site conditions at the time of collection and are sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Data sheets	prepared by	v the Cor	ps: N/A

- _x_ Photographs: Photographs submitted by the agent dated 21 Jan 2021. Google Earth aerial dated 21 Sep 2018.
- ___ Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: N/A
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A.
- **x** Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
- _x_ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: NRCS Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) Map Service created 4 Apr 2019 and updated 26 Aug 2020. The site consists of Coxville fine sandy loam, Orangeburg loamy sand, Norfolk loamy sand, and Rains sandy loam.
- _x_ USFWS NWI maps: USFWS NWI Wetlands Raster REST Map service dated May 29, 2012 and updated on July 17, 2020. According to the NWIs, the majority of the site is mapped uplands; however, a blue line intersects the project boundary.
- _x_ USGS topographic maps: USGS Topographic Map / 7.5 Minute Index / Florence East Quad.

 The topographic map depicts this site as uplands with a blue line intersecting the site from north to south.

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	USGS Topographic Map.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	SAC Regulatory Viewer accessed on 20 MAY 2021.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): Antecedent Rainfall Calculator data for typical year determination was calculated based on the field collection date provided by the agent (20 Nov 2020). The Antecedent Rainfall Calculator data showed "wetter than normal" conditions at the time of data collection by the

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



agent with a condition value of 15, which indicates that typical year hydrologic conditions were not present. For this assessment, three weather stations, located within an 8-mile radius, were used.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: This 81.57 acre site contains no jurisdictional waters. This site does contain 11 excluded waters, which are comprised of (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(8) waters. Based on a review of the aerials, topographic map, soil survey, NWIs, and information submitted by the agent, the remainder of the site was determined to consist of uplands.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

4 Some overlyided waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4) may not be specifically identified on the AJD form upless a requestor specifically asks a Corps distri

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.