# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston District, Billy Swails ROW SAC-2016-01584 Form 1 of 2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Charleston City: North Charleston Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.8520° N, Long. 79.7911° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Copahee Sound
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050201-07 Upper Portion of the Cooper River/Charleston Harbor Watershed  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form:
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): 01DEC2016
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain:  .
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):   TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List, Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

MAR 3 1 2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The feature documented on this form includes a wetland that was evaluated as potentially jurisdictional pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The site visit identified a 0.04-acre wetland area within the project boundary that is not subject to the CWA; specifically, the wetland is a palustrine, depressional, isolated wetland located and contained within the public Right-Of-Way abutting the northwestern side of Billy Swails Boulevard, from Bulrush Basket Lane to approximately 0.22-mile to the northeast.

The feature exhibits no apparent connection/conveyance to waters of the United States in a manner that has an effect on downstream waters; to include no physical, chemical, or biological connections, and no apparent shallow subsurface flow connections to other waters. In addition, this feature does not exhibit any apparent ecological interconnectivity with other water features, including any waters of the United States, and there is no apparent connection to interstate or foreign commerce.

On the basis of the aforementioned information, this office has determined that the above-referenced wetland feature documented on this form is considered isolated and not subject to the jurisdiction under the CWA.

## SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

# (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List;
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.  Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.  Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> :  Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:  Natural  Artificial (man-made). Explain: .  Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  Tributary geometry: Pick List.  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow:
	Tributary provides for: Pick List  Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List  Describe flow regime:  Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:  the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:   Mean High Water Mark indicated by:   survey to available datum;   physical markings;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

				physical markings/characteristics   tidal gauges   other (list):	vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
	(iii)	Chemica	d Char	acteristics:	
			rize tril lain:	outary (e.g., water color is clear, discolore	ed, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.)
		Identify s	specific	pollutants, if known:	
	(iv)	Ripa Wet	arian co land fr itat for Federal Fish/spa Other e	racteristics. Channel supports (check a pridor. Characteristics (type, average winge. Characteristics:  Ity Listed species. Explain findings:  awn areas. Explain findings:  nvironmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	dth):
2.	Cha	racteristi	cs of w	etlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow	w directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	(a) Gen Prop	eral Werties: Wetlan Wetlan Wetlan	cteristics: etland Characteristics: d size: acres d type. Explain: d quality. Explain: lands cross or serve as state boundaries.	Explain:
		Flov	v is: P	ow Relationship with <u>Non-TNW</u> : ick List. Explain: w is: Pick List	
		Sub	surface	flow: Pick List. Explain findings: (or other) test performed:	
			Oirectly Not dire Dis	djacency Determination with Non-TNW: abutting cetly abutting crete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: parated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
		Proj Proj Flov	ect well ect wat wat wis fro	Relationship) to TNW lands are Pick List river miles from TNV ers are Pick List aerial (straight) miles f m: Pick List. proximate location of wetland as within	rom TNW.
	(ii)	Characte char	rize we acteris	acteristics: tland system (e.g., water color is clear, brics; etc.). Explain: pollutants, if known:	rown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	(iii)	Ripa Veg Hab	arian bu etation itat for Federal Fish/spa Other e	racteristics. Wetland supports (check a affer. Characteristics (type, average widt type/percent cover. Explain:  ly Listed species. Explain findings: awn areas. Explain findings; nvironmentally-sensitive species. Explain/wildlife diversity. Explain findings;	h):

	3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adjace All wetland(s) being considered a Approximately ( ) acres in	in the cumulative and		sis.
		For each wetland, specify the following	lowing:		
		Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
		Summarize overall biologica	al, chemical and phy	sical functions being performe	d: .
C.	SIG	NIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINAT	TION		
	by a of a wet Corrof wet trib outs	any wetlands adjacent to the tributary at TNW. For each of the following situated has more than a speculative or asiderations when evaluating significated at the tributary and its proximital lands. It is not appropriate to determinate and its adjacent wetland or between the features of the connections between the features of the tributary, in combination with the tributary, in combination with the tributary, in combination with other species, such as feeding, nesting.	y to determine if the lations, a significant insubstantial effect ant nexus include, but to a TNW, and the latine significant nexus ween a tributary and inative of significant locumented and the latine significant its adjacent wetlan lutants or flood water the latine significant its adjacent wetland, spawning, or rearing	ey significantly affect the che is nexus exists if the tributary ton the chemical, physical ar ut are not limited to the volu- ne functions performed by the is based solely on any specifical and the TNW). Similarly, the search nexus.  The effects on the TNW, as iden- ar include, for example: ds (if any), have the capacity to the reaching a TNW? ds (if any), provide habitat and g young for species that are pr	ame, duration, and frequency of the flow e tributary and all its adjacent of threshold of distance (e.g. between a fact an adjacent wetland lies within or tified in the Rapanos Guidance and o carry pollutants or flood waters to lifecycle support functions for fish and esent in the TNW?
	• ,	support downstream foodwebs?  Does the tributary, in combination with biological integrity of the TNW?	,		o transfer nutrients and organic carbon that ships to the physical, chemical, or
	Not- belo	e: the above list of considerations is n ow:	ot inclusive and oth	er functions observed or kno	own to occur should be documented
	1.	Significant nexus findings for non-R findings of presence or absence of sign			rectly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain hen go to Section III.D:
	2.	Significant nexus findings for non-R TNWs. Explain findings of presence of adjacent wetlands, then go to Section I	or absence of signific		PW flows directly or indirectly into tributary in combination with all of its
	3.	Significant nexus findings for wetland presence or absence of significant nexus Section III.D:			abut the RPW. Explain findings of the all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to
	Doc	umentation for the Record only: Sig	nificant nexus findi	ngs for seasonal RPWs and/o	or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:
D.		TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIC AT APPLY):	ONAL FINDINGS.	THE SUBJECT WATERS/V	VETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Che TNWs: linear feet wi Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres	dth (ft), Or, a	provide size estimates in revieures.	ew area:

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flo seasonally:  Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):	ows
Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .	
3. Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	a
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .	
4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:  .	
Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributa seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adja and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting the conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	t and
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  Explain:	
ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  The property of the purpose of t	7

E.

 <sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.  F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWAVEC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profession judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 0.04 acres  Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where se a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.  SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.  A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared by the Coops:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological S	
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.    Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.   Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).   Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:    Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profession judgment (check all that apply):   Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).   Lakes/ponds: acres.     Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:   Wetlands: 0.04 acres	
factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best profession judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 0.04 acres  Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where sta finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.  SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.  A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGN NHD data.  USGN ShD data.  USGN NHD data.  USGN NHD data.  USGN NHD data.  USGN Autural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Map  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:	
a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.  SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.  A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Map  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:	
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Map  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:	here such
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study;  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Map  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:	
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs:	checked

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Based on a site inspection, soil survey data, aerial/site photos, quad/topo sheets, and lidar data, there is a 0.04-acre palustrine, depressional, isolated wetland located and contained within the public Right-Of-Way abutting the northwestern side of Billy Swails Boulevard, from Bulrush Basket Lane to approximately 0.22-mile to the northeast. The site visit revealed the feature is not connected to any navigable water(s) or tributary to navigable water(s); nor is there any connection to interstate or foreign commerce. In addition, the wetland exhibits no apparent connection/conveyance to waters of the United States that would have a physical, chemical, and biological effect on downstream waters; and no apparent shallow subsurface flow connections to other waters. Furthermore, the wetland does not exhibit any apparent ecological interconnectivity with other water features, including any waters of the United States. On the basis of this information, this office has determined that the specified wetland features documented on this form is considered isolated and not subject to jurisdiction under the CWA.

•				

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): MAR 3 4 2017

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	0 8 5011
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Charleston District, Billy Swails ROW SAC-2016-01584 Form 2 of 2
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Charleston City: North Charleston  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 32.8520° N, Long. 79.7911° N.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Unnmaed tributary to Copahee Sound  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Copahee Sound  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050201-07 Upper Portion of the Cooper River/Charleston Harbor Watershed  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form:
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): 01DEC2016
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В. •	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	1. Waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]  1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):   TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: 1,174 width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: 0.054 acres.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION** 

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List, Pick List, Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup> [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The site visit identified a 1,174 linear-foot aquatic feature within the project boundary that is not subject to the CWA. The linear feature is a shallow, manmade roadside ditch that parallels the north side of Billy Swails Boulevard. The feature was determined NOT to be jurisdictional based on its status as a manmade ditch constructed wholly within uplands and located outside wetlands. It was confirmed during a site visit that the ditch lacks signs of relatively permanent flow. Therefore, it has been determined the linear feature is non-jurisdictional and not subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and is depicted in the administrative record on a supplemental sketch.

## SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

# 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

# (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 108,770 acres; 03050209-02 Drainage area: 410 acres Average annual rainfall: 48.01 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

nysical Characteristics: ) Relationship with TNW:
☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
☐ Tributary flows through 1 tributary before entering TNW.
Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> : Wetland B and D connect to and are a part of offsite wetlands that flow into a sRPW that flows into an unnamed perennial tributary which flows into a tidal creek flowing into Copahee Sound. Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is:  Natural  Artificial (man-made). Explain:  Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Portions of Channel have been manipulated, however most of the stream stretch shows sinuous channel.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 5 feet Average depth: 4 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary was at bankful at time of survey. Riffle sequences were observed as tributary passed underneath a culvert.  Tributary geometry: Meandering.  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(c)	Flow:
	Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)  Describe flow regime: Tributary appears to continuous flow most of the year. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: Confined flow with steep banks and channelized in portions
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM6 (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM.7 Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by:  oil or scum line along shore objects  fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  physical markings/characteristics  tidal gauges other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  physical markings deposits (foreshore)  physical markings;  vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iii)	Chemical Characteristics:
		Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: Tributary was clear with no oily film.
		Identify specific pollutants, if known:
dire		Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics: The wetlands onsite are connected to and a part of an offsite wetland fringe that abut this tributary.  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	racteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: Wetland B: 0.002 acre; Wetland D: 0.037 acre Wetland type. Explain: PFO1 Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland has wooded upland buffer and supports common FACW species. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: Flow occurs as a result of high water events, flowing into the sRPW at an offsite location.
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water color dark with evidence of organics. Surrounding landscape is developed. Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic and wildlife diversity increases with habitat diversity.  Forested wetlands have the potential to increase both floral and fauna diversity.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3

Approximately (36.039) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

 Wetland B (Y)
 0.002

 Wetland D (Y)
 0.037

 Offsite Wetlands
 36

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland B and D are part of a larger offsite wetland system approximately 36 acres in size. The offsite wetland system is located to the north and upstream of the review area and directly abuts the same sRPW that flows south through the review area. These similarly situated forested palustrine wetlands that are directly abutting the sRPW documented on this form are collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biological -- wetlands adjacent to the RPWs include bay forest and emergent wetlands. As such, a broad variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species, foraging areas for wetland dependent species, and in particular, floodplain wetlands provide important spawning areas for species that inhabit the main channel as adults. These wetlands are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemical - Wetlands in the review area are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients which are contributed by runoff from the surrounding uplands and developed areas, reducing nitrogen and phosphorus loading downstream, and effectively preventing oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Some of the adjacent wetlands in this review area have been ditched which likely has reduced the effectiveness of some of the wetlands' nutrient removal function. Physical - Wetlands in the review area are collectively performing flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing flood water temporarily. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of Copahee Sound, this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the review area Relevant Reach and its adjacent wetlands and the downstream TNW.

# C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: Tributary appears to flow most of the year and is a solid blueline tributary on topographic maps. Wetland B and D directly abut sRPW at an offsite location and share a direct hydrological connection. The sRPW flows into an unnamed perennial tributary which flows into a tidal creek of Copahee Sound. Wetlands and tributaries not only provide habitat for various aquatic and terrestrial organisms, including a variety of insects, amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds, but are also a source of food, nutrients, and carbon for organisms located downstream. Runoff, which may contain pollutants, sediments, excess nutrients, etc., from adjacent uplands that flows through wetlands before entering tributaries has the opportunity to be filtered out prior to flowing to downstream TNWs. Excess water can temporarily be stored in wetlands thereby minimizing potential flooding of downstream areas. In addition, water can also slowly be released from wetlands downstream to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Runoff water may also transport organisms, nutrients, and carbon from the wetlands into the tributaries, which continue to flow to downstream TNWs. The wetlands within the review area are forested and provide water retention and storage functions allowing for slow release to downstream waters, act as a nutrient and carbon sink, as well as provide habitat for mammalian, avian, and aquatic species.

According to the SCDHEC Watershed Assessment information available online, this watershed includes portions of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway and the coastal zone region of South Carolina. Future growth is expected and is occurring in the watershed. The closest monitoring station is an unnamed tributary to Dewees Creek between Hamlin Sound and Copahee Sound (RT-042078). Aquatic life and recreational uses are fully supported. Although dissolved oxygen excursions occurred, they were typical of values seen in such systems and were considered natural, not standard violations. The project area is located within an area of Charleston County that is being developed. Recent and ongoing development is visible in areas surrounding the project review area and the 410 acre drainage area being discussed in this significant nexus determination. Currently, the wetlands located within this 410 acre drainage area are likely performing many of the services that wetlands and tributaries provide; however, when wetlands and tributaries are filled or altered, the services they provide may be compromised and the loss of those services affects downstream waters and TNWs, including Copahee Sound. The wetlands and tributary within the review area (i.e., Wetland B and D and sRPW A) have a significant nexus to downstream TNWs as they provide a source of carbon and nutrients, can provide water quality functions, can store excess water minimizing flooding impacts downstream, can maintain seasonal flow volumes, and can transport organisms, carbon, and nutrients. In addition, the wetlands within the review area are contributing to the relatively good water quality and integrity of the downstream TNW.

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: sRPW is an unnamed tributary to Copahee Sound. Topographic maps indicate that sRPW, is a blue-line stream. The stream flow path can clearly be observed on aerial imagery. This RPW consists of a sinuous channel that was bankful at the time of the site visit. This tributary exhibits physical and hydrological characteristics commonly associated with normal flow including discernible bank and streambed.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 12.88 linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: 1,174 lf  Identify type(s) of waters: Linear aquatic feature (i.e., manmade roadside drainage ditch)
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

8See Footnote # 3.

		etlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	×	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Jurisdictional Wetland B and D directly abut sRPW offsite and share a direct hydrological connection. When the RPW overflows, water can flow directly to the wetland and surface water from the wetland can also drain directly into the RPW.
	Provide	acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	We and	ds adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Itlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this aclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide	acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	We wit	ds adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. It and adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and h similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this aclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide	estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
:	As a ger Der Der	dments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup> heral rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. monstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or monstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or monstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DEG SUC: W w fi	RADAT H WAT which are rom whi which are nterstate	[INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, FION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY ERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 c or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. 12 c or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. 13 c or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. 14 isolated waters. Explain: 15 tors. Explain:
T	ributary Other nor	nates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): waters: linear feet width (ft). n-wetland waters: acres. fy type(s) of waters: acres.
	If potent Wetland Review a Pric "M Waters of	DICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ial wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  by to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the igratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  lo not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  explain, if not covered above): A shallow, manmade roadside ditch that parallels the north side of Billy Swails Boulevard
	letermin	ed NOT to be jurisdictional based on its status as a manmade ditch constructed wholly within uplands and located outside

E.

F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

fact	who acroage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the Misk tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: Wetland A: 0.015 acres		
	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.		
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES,			
	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Passarella & Associates, Inc.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS Web Soil Survey  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Map  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth 2015.  or Other (Name & Date): Site photos in the file.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:		

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents the jurisdictional status of a seasonal tributary, a non-jurisdictional linear aquatic feature, and two wetlands (Wetland B and D). The tributary (sRPW) appears to flow most of the year and is a solid blueline tributary on topographic maps. Wetland B and D directly abut sRPW at an offsite location and share a direct hydrological connection. The sRPW flows into an unnamed perennial tributary which flows into a tidal creek of Copahee Sound (a TNW). Therefore, Wetland B and D, as well as sRPW, are jurisdictional and subject to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

The linear aquatic feature is a shallow, manmade roadside ditch that parallels the north side of Billy Swails Boulevard. The feature was determined NOT to be jurisdictional based on its status as manmade ditch constructed wholly within uplands and located outside wetlands. It was confirmed during a site visit that the ditch lacks signs of relatively permanent flow. Therefore, it has been determined the linear feature is non-jurisdictional and not subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and is depicted in the administrative record on a supplemental sketch.

The non-jurisdictional status of isolated Wetland A is documented on Form 1 of 2.