APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 23, 2016 B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 1 of 1; SAC # 2015-01581; Blakely Road UA C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Williamsburg City: Town of Salters Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.9738° N, Long. -80.471° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83 Name of nearest waterbody: Ox Swamp Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: Black River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Black River HUC: 03040205_07 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): July 19, 2016 **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 10 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List, Pick List

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

assessment are NOT waters or wetlands

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: One non-jurisdictional drainage ditch is located within the project boundary and provides a hydrologic connection between Wetland A and the pRPW (Ox Swamp).

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Black River.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Report No. 6 of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1977 Navigability Study: The Corps presently classifies the Black River as a "Navigable water of the U.S." from its mouth at R.M. 0 on the Great Pee Dee River near Georgetown, South Carolina to the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad bridge at R.M. 49.6.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 209,661 acres; Drainage area: 5,560 acres Average annual rainfall: 51 inches Average annual snowfall: 0-1 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

Relationship with TNW:
Tributary flows directly into TNW.
Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Project Water Project Water Project Water Project

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : The pRPW, Ox Swamp, flows directly into the Black River, a TNW . Tributary stream order, if known: The tributary is a 4th order stream .
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 15-20 feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands □ Concrete Cobbles □ Gravel □ Muck Bedrock □ Vegetation. Type/% cover: □ Other. Explain: .
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Tributaries in this watershed are gradient, low velocity and therefore do not experience high levels of erosion and would be considered stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Meandering. Based on a site visit conducted on 7/19/16 and a review of USGS topo maps and raphy the pRPW is situated in a naturally low lying drainage area and has natural sinuosity. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
survey inforr lying area an flows directly in aerial phot	Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Based on a site visit conducted on 1/28/16, 3/11/16, and 7/9/16, USGS topographic nation, the National Wetlands Inventory and aerial photographs, the perennial RPW is situated in a naturally low d supports an approximately 1,000 foot wide riparian corridor. The tributary follows a declining gradient and into the Black River. The pRPW is depicted in USGS topographic maps and clearly defined on LiDAR maps and tographs. This pRPW receives run off from approximately 5,000 acres. Other information on duration and volume: The pRPW receives flow from several smaller tributaries, surrounding d overland sheet flow. This tributary was determined to have flow at least 90% of the year under normal
determined t	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined . Characteristics: Based on a site visit conducted on 7/19/16 flow was o be confined within the bed and banks of the tributary. .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ shelving ☐ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent ☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ sediment deposition ☐ water staining ☐ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: ☐ Clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation ☐ the presence of wrack line ☐ sediment sorting ☐ scour ☐ multiple observed or predicted flow events ☐ abrupt change in plant community ☐ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Explain: ☐ Sediment apply): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. Sexplain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
1 1	all decidents and the second of the second o

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project waters do not cross or serve as state boundaries.

⁷Ibid.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	vegetation types.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; genera Explain: Within the tributary water would be clear with possible turbidity due to reaches of the pRPW are described as having an increasing trend in ph and low a Aquatic life is not supported, however recreation is. There is a significant increas concentration. Land use in this watershed consist of approximately 34.1% forest 25.8% agricultural, 5.9% urban land, 2.3% nonforested wetland, 0.2% water, at to the SCDHEC website there is low to moderate potential for growth in this wat Identify specific pollutants, if known: The review area is located within a relatively und this watershed is comprised of approximatly 25.8% agricultural and 5.9% urban land. The pot other pollutants, such as fertilizers to enter the pRPW. This type of land use requires regular n creates increased amounts of suspended sediments within downstream tributaries. Run off from urban areas provides the potential for increased fertilizers and fecal coliform as well as oils and and on lawns. These types of pollutants have the potential to effect dissolved oxygen levels in a increasing trend in five-day biochemical oxygen demand.	suspended solids. Downstream dissolved oxygen conditions. ase in fecal coliform bacteria ted wetland, 31.6% forested land, d 0.1% barren land. According tershed. However, tential exist for herbicides and nanipulation of the soil, which m highways and directly from d other chemicals used in vehicles
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): ☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Based on a review of aerial an approximately 1,000' wide riparian corridor. This riparian zone contributes to the overall h filtering out pollutants, providing essential habitat, slowing flood waters and preventing erosion ☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics:	ealth of the aquatic system by
Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:	
☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: According to "Connectivity of Street Downstream Waters: A Review and Synthesis of the Scientific Evidence" prepared by the Office of Environmental Protection Agency, for organisms capable of significant upstream movement, headwephemeral and intermittent streams, can increase both the amount and quality of habitat available organisms require different habitats for different resources (e.g., food, spawning habitat, overwinte throughout the river network—both longitudinally and laterally—over their life cycles. For example refuge habitat under adverse conditions, enabling organisms to persist and recolonize downstream have abated. Headwater streams also provide food resources to downstream waters: as Progar and "headwater streams are the vertex for a network of trophic arteries flowing from the forest uplant streams and small seasonal RPWs provide habitat for diverse and abundant stream invertebrates at terrestrial and riparian invertebrates that fall into them. These aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates with water flow and ultimately serve as food resources for downstream organisms. Many fish feed organisms can also settle out of the water column and become part of the local benthic invertebrate waters. Drift, however, has been shown to increase invertebrate mortality significantly, suggesting texported downstream in the suspended detrital load. The downstream drift of stream invertebrates and the contribution of terrestrial and riparian invertebrate well documented.	Research and Development U.S. vater streams, including to those organisms. Many ring habitat), and thus move te, headwater streams can provide areas once adverse conditions Moldenke (2002) state, and to the ocean." Headwater are serve as collection areas for scan be transported downstream on drifting insects, and these assemblage in downstream that most drifting organisms are retebrates to overall drift have
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNV	V
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 10 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine Forested. Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland A is partially impaired due to the creacre berm that was placed around the wetland for the purpose and impounding water. Water that wetland A to Ox Swamp, through a naturally low lying drainage area depicted on USGS topograph County LiDAR, has been impeded and is now ponding within the delineated wetland. A pump syste provide a hydrologic connection between Wetland A and Ox Swamp.	would naturally flow from nic maps and in Williamsburg
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: The project wetland do boundaries .	es not cross or serve as state
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	

Flow is: **Ephemeral flow**. Explain: Flow from wetland A into the pRPW (Ox Swamp) is via a non-jurisdictional Ditch. It should be noted that prior to the construction of this ditch, based on USGS topo maps and Williamsburg County LiDAR flow would have been overland sheet flow during rain events through a naturally low lying drainage area. Based on site visits conducted on 4/11/2016 and 7/19/16 flow was observed between the project wetland and the on-site pRPW which is located down gradient in a low lying drainage area approximatly 1500' west of the wetland.

Surface flow is: Discrete and confined

Characteristics: Flow from wetland A into the pRPW (Ox Swamp) is through a man-made drainage ditc	h. Flow
was observed within this feature during site visits conducted on 4/11/2016 and 7/19/16.	

	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
	Dye (or other) test performed: .
(c	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
	☐ Directly abutting
	☑ Not directly abutting
	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Flow from wetland A into the pRPW (Ox Swamp) is down
gradient th	rough a man-made drainage ditch. Flow was observed within this feature during site visits conducted on 4/11/2016
and 7/19/16	
	☐ Ecological connection. Explain: .
	Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
	Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
	Estimate approximate location of watland as within the 500 year or greater floodplain

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Wetland A is a forested depressional feature that is inundated in aerial photographs. Wetland A is partially impaired due to the creation of an approximately 4.5 acre berm that was placed around the wetland for the purpose and impounding water. Water that would naturally flow from Wetland A to Ox Swamp, through a naturally low lying drainage area depicted on USGS topographic maps and in Williamsburg County LiDAR, has been impeded and is now ponding within the delineated wetland. A pump system and man-made ditch now provide a hydrologic connection between Wetland A and Ox Swamp.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: The review area is located within a relatively undeveloped watershed. Land use in this watershed consist of approximately 34.1% forested wetland, 31.6% forested land, 25.8% agricultural, 5.9% urban land, 2.3% nonforested wetland, 0.2% water, and 0.1% barren land. According to the SCDHEC website there is low to moderate potential for growth in this watershed. However, this watershed is comprised of approximately 25.8% agricultural and 5.9% urban land. The potential exist for herbicides and other pollutants, such as fertilizers to enter the pRPW. This type of land use requires regular manipulation of the soil, which creates increased amounts of suspended sediments within downstream tributaries. Run off from highways and directly from urban areas provides the potential for increased fertilizers and fecal coliform as well as oils and other chemicals used in vehicles and on lawns. These types of pollutants have the potential to effect dissolved oxygen levels in a system documented as having an increasing trend in five-day biochemical oxygen demand.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Vegetation on site consists of predominantly Fac, Fac Wet, and Obligate
species.
Habitat for:
☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
□ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: This wetland system enhances wildlife diversity through timber type
changes and the transition between upland and aquatic systems

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 30 (or more) Approximately (1,435.4) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y	198	N	11
N	6	N	1
\mathbf{N}	5	N	1
N N N N N N N N N Y Y	3	N	1
\mathbf{N}	1	\mathbf{N}	.4
N	45	\mathbf{N}	2
N	18	\mathbf{N}	5.8
N	11	\mathbf{N}	9
N	17	\mathbf{N}	2.7
N	10	\mathbf{Y}	153
N	54	N	9
N	5	N	17
Y	267	N	40
Y	225	\mathbf{Y}	55
N	32	N	9
Y	42	N	1
N	3	N	3
\mathbf{N}	2	N	3 2
N	1	N	7
N	9	N	6.5
N	7	N	30
N	4	N	7
N	1_	N	7
N Y N N N N N N N	19	N	12
N N	30	N	2
N	13	N	13

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The similarly situated wetlands contribute vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. This wetland system enhances wildlife diversity, acts as catch basins filtering sediment and pollution from the surrounding uplands, supports the downstream food web, and provides nutrient fixation, flood attenuation, and flow maintenacne functions. (Wetlands adjacent to the tributary were determined by using a combination of NWI maps and the wetlands delineated as part of this determination).

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The pRPW that is assessed in this form, along with all similarly situated adjacent freshwater wetlands are collectively performing functions consistent with the following: Biologically, wetlands adjacent to the pRPW include depressional wetlands. As such a variety of biological functions are being performed which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic species and foraging areas for wetland dependent species. These wetlands and the adjacent pRPW are essential in providing organic carbons in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web. Chemically, the pRPW and adjacent wetlands are providing the important collective functions of removal of excess nutrients into the downstream TNW. These pollutants, which are contributed to by runoff from surrounding uplands are prevented from being discharged downstream due to suspended sediments and other pollutants being retained within the wetlands. The low velocity of and gradient of the pRPW also contribute to the removal of pollutants because the suspended pollutants have time to settle out of the water. This reduces nitrogen and phosphorous loading downstream and effectively prevents oxygen depletion that can result from eutrophication. Physically, the pRPW and adjacent wetlands are collectively performing flow maintenance functions, including retaining runoff inflow and storing rain water, temporarily. Flow maintenance results in the reduction of downstream peak flows (discharge and volume), helping to maintain seasonal flow volumes and reducing the frequency of overbank events which flood adjacent properties. Increased water velocity also increases the amount of sediments and other pollutants in the TNW. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable waters of the Black River, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary and all adjacent wetlands to the downstream TNW.

 $Documentation\ for\ the\ Record\ only:\ Significant\ nexus\ findings\ for\ seasonal\ RPWs\ and/or\ wetlands\ abutting\ seasonal\ RPWs:$

DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

111	ar arter).
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Multiple site visits (including in the peak of the growing season) revealed flowing water. Stream geomorphic indicators of perennial flow included a channel within bed and banks which had a firm sandy bottom clear of vegetation and debris. Hydrologic indicators observed within the channel include even distribution of substrate and debris being continually washed downstream. Aerial photos depict a well-defined channel with uninterrupted flow into Green Swamp, which drains into the Poctaligo River and eventually the Black River (a TNW), the USGS topographic maps depict a a dashed blue line feature (Ox Swamp) located within wetlands situated in a naturally low lying drainage area. Williamsburg County soil surveys depicts a 100% hydric soils. Williamsburg County LiDAR depicts low elevations and a defined channel. Based on the previously mentioned evidence, this perennial RPW was determined to have flow at least 90% of the year under normal conditions.
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

THAT ADDI VI.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 10 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

E.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook. ¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

bounda	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): One non-jurisdictional, manmade, drainage ditch is located within the project ry and provides a hydrologic connection between Wetland A and the pRPW (Ox Swamp).
	tors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
A. SUP and the and with dra to to is co loa del wee	☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: Report No. 6 of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1977 Navigability Study. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Kellahan Crossroads Quad; USGS topographic survey information thin Kellahan Crossroads Quad depicts the project area as containing a depressional wetland, that is situated in a lowlying tinage area that decreases in elevation rapidly towards Ox Swamp. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Williamsburg County Soil Survey Sheets 60; According the Soil Survey of Williamsburg County (Ogg 2013), three soil mapping units are contained within project boundary. The site comprised of a combination of the following soil types: Eunola loamy sand, Emporia loamy sand, and Gourdin loam. Eunola my sand is a moderatly well drained non-hydric soil that contians hydric soils, this soil is located in uplands to the west of the lineated wetland. Emporia loamy sand is a well drained non-hydric soil located in uplands to the east of the delineated thand. Gourdin loam is a poorly drained all hydric soil and is depicted at the location of the delineated wetland.
Co.	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Williamsburg County Aerial Index 99:11227:199 and SCDNR 2006. Aerial otographs depict inundation within the delineated wetland or Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted by the agent taken on 4/4/2016 and site photos taken by the rps during a site visit conducted on 3/11/16, and 7/9/16. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: "Connectivity of Streams and Wetlands to Downstream Waters: A Review and on the Scientific Evidence" prepared by the Office of Research and Development U.S. Environmental Protection ency. Other information (please specify): Williamsburg County LiDAR with Hillsbade Overlay

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form addresses a 20 acre tract (a portion of TMS 45-231-001) that contains 10 acres of jurisdictional freshwater wetlands adjacent to Ox Swamp. One man-made non-jurisdictional ditch is located on site and provides a direct surface hydrologic connection between the Wetland A and Ox Swamp (pRPW).

Wetland boundaries were verified during the site visit conducted on July 19, 2016, based on the criteria set forth by the 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual. These wetlands were determined to be jurisdictional based on a hydrological connection, provided by non-jurisdictional ditch into the pRPW located down gradient. Topographic relief (as depicted in USGS topographic maps and in Williamsburg County LiDAR) suggest a naturally low lying drainage area at this location. During the above reference site visit wetlands were found to be inundated. Wetlands within the project area are currently part of a wetland system that provides pollution filtration, essential habitat, and flood prevention to the Black River. Wetland A addressed in this form is determined to have a significant nexus to the downstream TNW, as discussed in Section IIIC above.

Wetland A is partially impaired due to the creation of approximately 4.5 acre berm that was placed around the wetland for the purpose of impounding water. Water that would naturally flow from Wetland A to Ox Swamp, through a naturally low lying drainage area depicted on USGS topographic maps and in Williamsburg County LiDAR, has been impeded and is now ponding within the delineated wetland.

Drainage areas and/or hydrologic connections were determined using a combination of observations on-site, USGS topo maps, and Williamsburg County LiDAR.