

VOLUME 1 OF 4 - SECTIONS 1-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	DESCRIPTION OF THIS DOCUMENT	1-1
1.2	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1-1
1.2.1	Powers and Role of the SCSPA	1-1
1.2.1.1	Authorizing Legislation and Powers of the SCSPA	1-1
1.2.1.2	Role of the SCSPA for the Proposed Project	1-2
1.2.2	Previous Port Development in Charleston	1-2
1.2.2.1	Federal Channel Improvements	1-2
1.2.2.2	Public Port Terminals	1-3
1.2.3	Authorized Charleston Harbor Improvements	1-3
1.2.4	History of the Daniel Island Terminal Proposal	1-4
1.3	AGENCY INVOLVEMENT	1-4
1.3.1	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	1-4
1.3.1.1	Requested Agency Actions	1-4
1.3.1.2	Applicable Laws and Regulations	1-5
1.3.1.3	Specific Agency Considerations	1-6
1.3.2	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	1-17
1.3.2.1	Requested Agency Actions	1-17
1.3.2.2	Applicable Laws and Regulations	1-17
1.3.2.3	Specific Agency Considerations	1-17
1.3.3	U.S. Coast Guard	1-28
1.3.3.1	Requested Agency Actions	1-28
1.3.3.2	Applicable Laws and Regulations	1-28
1.3.3.3	Specific Agency Considerations	1-28
1.3.4	U.S. Forest Service	1-30
1.3.4.1	Requested Agency Actions	1-30
1.3.4.2	Applicable Laws and Regulations	1-30
1.3.4.3	Specific Agency Considerations	1-31
1.3.5	Surface Transportation Board	1-33
1.3.5.1	Requested Agency Actions	1-33
1.3.5.2	Applicable Laws and Regulations	1-33
1.3.5.3	Specific Agency Considerations	1-34
1.4	THE NEPA PROCESS	1-34
1.4.1	Previous and Future Actions	1-34
1.4.1.1	Notice of Intent	1-34
1.4.1.2	Scoping Meeting	1-34
1.4.1.3	Public Information Process	1-35
1.4.1.4	Public Hearing	1-35
1.4.1.5	Final Environmental Impact Statement	1-36
1.4.1.6	Records of Decision	1-36
1.4.2	The Scope of this EIS	1-36
1.4.3	Environmental Regulations That Must Be Considered	1-36
1.4.3.1	Federal Statutes	1-36
1.4.3.2	Federal Regulations	1-37
1.4.3.3	Executive Orders	1-37
1.4.3.4	Agency Orders	1-38

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
2.0	THE NEED FOR AND PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT	2-1
2.1	THE STATE PORTS AUTHORITY STATEMENT OF NEED FOR ADDITIONAL FACILITIES	2-1
2.1.1	The Need for Additional Terminal Capacity	2-1
2.1.1.1	Existing and Projected Cargo Throughput Demand	2-1
2.1.1.2	Existing Throughput Capacity and Constraints	2-1
2.1.1.3	Changes in World Vessel Fleet	2-2
2.1.1.4	Location, Land Area, and Access Requirements of New Container Terminals	2-3
2.1.1.5	Need for Additional Terminals	2-3
2.1.2	Site Development Requirements for a Container Terminal Facility	2-4
2.1.2.1	Operational Requirements	2-4
2.1.2.2	Construction Requirements	2-4
2.1.3	The Need For Land Transportation Facilities	2-5
2.1.3.1	Roadway Access	2-5
2.1.3.2	Rail Access	2-5
2.1.4	The Need for Ocean Disposal of Dredged Material	2-6
2.2	THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS DETERMINATION OF PURPOSE AND NEED PURSUANT TO THE CLEAN WATER ACT	2-7
2.2.1	The Purpose of the State Ports Authority's Proposed Project	2-7
2.2.1.1	Corps of Engineers Responsibility	2-7
2.2.1.2	Statement of Project Purpose Under the Clean Water Act §404	2-7
2.2.2	The Need for the State Ports Authority's Proposed Project	2-7
2.2.2.1	The Responsibility of the Corps of Engineers to Review the State Ports Authority's Statement of Need	2-7
2.2.2.2	The Findings of the Corps of Engineers in Regard to the State Ports Authority's Statement of Need	2-8
2.3	THE PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED FEDERAL CHANNEL IMPROVEMENTS	2-8
2.4	THE NEED FOR OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS	2-8
2.4.1	U.S. Forest Service	2-8
2.4.2	U.S. Coast Guard	2-9
3.0	ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION	3.1-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3.1-1
3.2	THE NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	3.1-1
3.2.1	The No-Build Alternative	3.1-1
3.2.2	The No-Permit Alternative	3.1-1
3.3	THE PROPOSED PROJECT	3.3-1
3.3.1	Proposed Marine Cargo Terminal Facilities	3.3-1
3.3.1.1	Wharves and Cranes	3.3-1
3.3.1.2	Cargo Processing and Support Facilities	3.3-1
3.3.1.3	Intermodal Rail yard	3.3-1
3.3.1.4	Stormwater Management Facilities	3.3-1
3.3.1.5	Park Facilities	3.3-2
3.3.2	Proposed and Preferred Navigation Improvements	3.3-2
3.3.2.1	Federal Navigation Improvements	3.3-2

SECTION 1-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
	3.3.2.2 Non-Federal Navigation Improvements 3.3-2
	3.3.2.3 Dredged Material Disposal Plan 3.3-2
3.3.3	Proposed Roadway Access 3.3-3
3.3.4	Proposed Rail Access 3.3-3
	3.3.4.1 General Description 3.3-3
	3.3.4.2 Proposed Rail Line on Property Within the Francis Marion National Forest 3.3-3
	3.3.4.3 Rail/Truck Modal Split 3.3-4
3.3.5	Proposed Facility Design 3.3-4
	3.3.5.1 Wharf Design 3.3-4
	3.3.5.2 Backland Design 3.3-4
	3.3.5.3 Roadway and Roadway Bridge Designs 3.3-4
	3.3.5.4 Rail Line and Railroad Bridge Designs 3.3-5
3.3.6	Proposed Facility Construction Methodology 3.3-6
	3.3.6.1 Dredging 3.3-6
	3.3.6.2 Wharf Construction 3.3-6
	3.3.6.3 Roadway Bridge Construction 3.3-6
	3.3.6.4 Rail Line Construction 3.3-6
3.4	PROCESS FOR IDENTIFYING AND EVALUATING ACTION ALTERNATIVES 3.4-1
3.5	TERMINAL LOCATION ALTERNATIVES 3.5-1
3.5.1	Existing Terminal Facilities in Charleston Harbor 3.5-1
	3.5.1.1 Expansion of Existing Terminal Facilities 3.5-2
	3.5.1.2 Alternative New Terminal Locations in Other Estuaries 3.5-2
	3.5.1.3 Alternative New Single Terminal Locations in Charleston Harbor 3.5-3
	3.5.1.4 Combinations of Alternative Terminal Locations 3.5-5
3.5.2	Criteria Used to Identify Reasonable Alternative Terminal Locations 3.5-6
	3.5.2.1 Navigation Access 3.5-6
	3.5.2.2 Dredging Requirements 3.5-6
	3.5.2.3 Available Backland 3.5-6
	3.5.2.4 Land Development Constraints 3.5-6
	3.5.2.5 Road Access 3.5-6
	3.5.2.6 Rail Access 3.5-7
	3.5.2.7 Social Impacts 3.5-7
	3.5.2.8 Environmental Impacts 3.5-7
3.5.3	Evaluation of Terminal Location Alternatives 3.5-8
	3.5.3.1 Expansion of Existing Terminals 3.5-8
	3.5.3.2 Alternative New Terminal Locations in Other Estuaries 3.5-13
	3.5.3.3 Alternative New Single Terminal Locations in Charleston Harbor 3.5-16
	3.5.3.4 Combinations of Alternative Terminal Locations 3.5-29
3.5.4	Reasonable Terminal Location Alternatives to be Evaluated in this EIS 3.5-39
	3.5.4.1 Key Assumptions of the Analysis 3.5-39
	3.5.4.2 Reasonable Terminal Location Alternatives 3.5-40
3.6	SURFACE ACCESS LOCATION ALTERNATIVES 3.6-1
3.6.1	Process for Identifying Surface Access Alternatives 3.6-1

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
3.6.1.1 Naval Base	3.6-1
3.6.1.2 Daniel Island	3.6-1
3.6.2 Naval Base - Combined Roadway/Rail Corridors	3.6-3
3.6.2.1 Northern Corridor	3.6-3
3.6.2.2 Southern Corridor	3.6-3
3.6.3 Daniel Island Terminal Sites	3.6-3
3.6.3.1 Alternative Roadway Corridor	3.6-3
3.6.3.2 Alternative Rail Corridors	3.6-4
3.7 FACILITY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES	3.7-1
3.7.1 Channel Modification Design Alternatives	3.7-1
3.7.1.1 Wando Reach Channel Configuration Alternatives	3.7-1
3.7.1.2 Dredged Material Disposal Alternative	3.7-1
3.7.2 Terminal Design Alternatives	3.7-1
3.7.2.1 Alternative Wharf Alignments	3.7-1
3.7.2.2 Alternative Terminal Configurations	3.7-2
3.7.2.3 Alternative Wharf Designs	3.7-3
3.7.3 Surface Access Design Alternatives	3.7-3
3.7.3.1 Naval Base - Bridges Over Shipyard Creek	3.7-3
3.7.3.2 Daniel Island Terminal Sites	3.7-4
3.8 CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY ALTERNATIVES	3.8-1
3.8.1 Dredging	3.8-1
3.8.2 Road Bridge and Rail Trestle	3.8-1
3.8.2.1 Construction Mats - The Proposed Method	3.8-1
3.8.2.3 Temporary Bridges	3.8-1
3.8.2.4 Top-Down Construction	3.8-2
3.9 COMPARISON OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES	3.9-1
3.9.1 Evaluation Criteria	3.9-1
3.9.1.1 Non-Environmental Considerations	3.9-1
3.9.1.2 Environmental Considerations	3.9-1
3.9.2 Comparison of Terminal Location Alternatives	3.9-2
3.9.2.1 Non-Environmental Considerations	3.9-2
3.9.2.2 Environmental Considerations	3.9-5
3.9.3 Comparison of Naval Base Access Corridor Alternatives	3.9-16
3.9.3.1 Non-Environmental Considerations	3.9-17
3.9.3.2 Environmental Considerations	3.9-18
3.9.4 Comparison of Daniel Island Access Road Location Alternatives	3.9-22
3.9.4.1 Non-Environmental Considerations	3.9-23
3.9.4.2 Environmental Considerations	3.9-24
3.9.5 Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Location Alternatives	3.9-30
3.9.5.1 Non-Environmental Considerations	3.9-30
3.9.5.2 Environmental Considerations	3.9-39
3.9.6 Comparison of Facility Design Alternatives	3.9-82
3.9.6.1 Channel Modification Design Alternatives	3.9-82
3.9.6.2 Dredged Material Disposal Alternatives	3.9-85
3.9.6.3 Berth Alignment Alternatives	3.9-87
3.9.6.4 Naval Base Terminal and Berth Configuration Alternatives	3.9-92
3.9.6.5 Wharf Design Alternatives	3.9-94

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	3.9.6.6 Shipyard Creek Bridge Design Alternatives	3.9-94
3.9.7	Construction Methodology Alternatives	3.9-100
	3.9.7.1 Dredging Alternatives	3.9-100
	3.9.7.2 Road and Rail Bridge Construction Alternatives	3.9-101
4.0	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	4.1-1
4.1	LAND USE	4.1-1
	4.1.1 Developed Lands and Open Space	4.1-1
	4.1.1.1 West of the Cooper River	4.1-2
	4.1.1.2 Between the Cooper and Wando Rivers	4.1-3
	4.1.1.3 East of the Wando River	4.1-3
	4.1.2 Agricultural Lands	4.1-4
	4.1.3 Francis Marion National Forest	4.1-4
	4.1.3.1 Overview	4.1-4
	4.1.3.2 Forest Management	4.1-5
	4.1.3.3 Forest Recreation	4.1-6
4.2	SOCIOECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	4.2-1
	4.2.1 Economic Sectors and Employment	4.2-1
	4.2.1.1 Economic Sectors and Employment by County and Tri-County Region	4.2-1
	4.2.1.2 Economic Impact of South Carolina State Ports Authority	4.2-2
	4.2.1.3 Labor Force Characteristics of the Community Impact Areas	4.2-5
	4.2.2 Wages	4.2-5
4.3	SOCIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4.3-1
	4.3.1 Introduction - Geographic Areas of Analysis	4.3-1
	4.3.2 Demographic Characteristics of the Tri-County Region	4.3-1
	4.3.2.1 Population Trends	4.3-1
	4.3.2.2 Racial Composition	4.3-3
	4.3.2.3 Age Distribution	4.3-3
	4.3.2.4 Income Distribution	4.3-3
	4.3.2.5 Educational Attainment	4.3-4
	4.3.3 Demographic Characteristics of the Community Impact Areas	4.3-4
	4.3.3.1 Daniel Island/Cainhoy Peninsula (Census Tract 204.02)	4.3-4
	4.3.3.2 Mount Pleasant (Census Tracts 46.05 and 46.06)	4.3-7
	4.3.3.3 Naval Base Area (Census Tracts 37, 41, 42, 43, 44, and 45)	4.3-8
	4.3.3.4 Columbus Street Terminal (Census Tracts 8, 9, 13 and 14)	4.3-10
4.4	COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES	4.4-1
	4.4.1 Roadways	4.4-1
	4.4.1.1 Introduction	4.4-1
	4.4.1.2 Transportation Impact Study Area (TISA)	4.4-1
	4.4.1.3 Existing Roadway Characteristics	4.4-1
	4.4.1.4 Traffic Count Program	4.4-3
	4.4.1.5 Existing Conditions Analysis	4.4-4
	4.4.1.6 Trip Generation of Existing Terminals	4.4-5

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
	4.4-5
	4.4-5
	4.4-6
4.4.2 Railroads	4.4-6
4.4.3 Water Supply and Wastewater Treatment	4.4-7
4.4.3.1 Water Supply	4.4-7
4.4.3.2 Wastewater Treatment Facilities	4.4-8
4.4.4 Stormwater Management Facilities	4.4-9
4.4.4.1 Jurisdictional Authority	4.4-9
4.4.4.2 Daniel Island Peninsula and Cainhoy Area	4.4-9
4.4.4.3 Naval Base	4.4-15
4.4.4.4 Columbus Street Terminal	4.4-18
4.4.5 Fire, Police, and Emergency Medical Services	4.4-19
4.4.5.1 Fire Protection	4.4-19
4.4.5.2 Police	4.4-21
4.4.5.3 Emergency Medical Services	4.4-22
4.4.6 Schools	4.4-24
4.4.6.1 Berkeley County	4.4-24
4.4.6.2 Charleston County	4.4-24
4.4.6.3 Dorchester County	4.4-26
4.4.7 Solid Waste Facilities	4.4-26
4.4.7.1 Solid Waste Management Practices and Procedures	4.4-26
4.4.7.2 Solid Waste Collection Providers	4.4-28
4.4.7.3 Private Solid Waste Collection Providers	4.4-28
4.4.7.4 Existing Solid Waste Disposal Facilities	4.4-29
4.4.7.5 Transfer Stations	4.4-29
4.4.7.6 Private Solid Waste Disposal Facilities	4.4-30
4.4.7.7 Recycling Services	4.4-30
4.4.8 Oil and Hazardous Materials Spill Response	4.4-30
4.4.8.1 Cargo Spills at Port Facilities or on Open Water	4.4-30
4.4.8.2 Cargo Spills While in Transit by Truck	4.4-34
4.4.8.3 Cargo Spills While in Transit by Rail	4.4-35
4.4.9 Electrical, Gas, Telephone, and Cable Television	4.4-38
4.4.9.1 Electrical	4.4-38
4.4.9.2 Natural Gas	4.4-40
4.4.9.3 Telephone and Cable Television	4.4-40
4.5 NAVIGATION AND TERMINAL FACILITIES	4.5-1
4.5.1 Federal Channels, Turning Basins, and Anchorages	4.5-1
4.5.1.1 Historical Development of Federal Navigation Features	4.5-1
4.5.1.2 Current Federal Navigation Features	4.5-1
4.5.1.3 Authorized Federal Navigation Improvements	4.5-1
4.5.2 Public Terminal Facilities	4.5-2
4.5.2.1 Union Pier	4.5-2
4.5.2.2 Columbus Street Terminal	4.5-2
4.5.2.3 North Charleston Terminal	4.5-2
4.5.2.4 Wando Welch Terminal	4.5-3
4.5.3 Private Terminal Facilities	4.5-3
4.5.4 Existing Dredged Material Disposal Areas	4.5-3

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
	4.5-3
	4.5-4
4.5.5 Navigation Operations	4.5-5
4.5.5.1 Existing Vessel Traffic	4.5-5
4.5.5.2 Charleston Branch Pilots' Association	4.5-5
4.5.5.3 Docking Masters	4.5-5
4.5.5.4 Maritime Association of the Port of Charleston	4.5-6
4.5.6 Existing Navigation in Beresford Creek	4.5-6
4.6 NOISE	4.6-1
4.6.1 Noise Metrics	4.6-1
4.6.2 Noise Generation at Existing Port Terminals and Transportation Facilities	4.6-2
4.6.2.1 Terminal Noise	4.6-2
4.6.2.2 Train Noise	4.6-5
4.6.3 Existing Noise Levels at Locations Adjoining Proposed or Alternative Facility Sites	4.6-5
4.7 LIGHT	4.7-1
4.7.1 Introduction	4.7-1
4.7.2 Light Generation at Existing Port Terminals and Transportation Facilities	4.7-1
4.7.3 Existing Light Conditions at Locations Adjoining Proposed Facility Sites	4.7-1
4.7.3.1 Proposed Terminal Location	4.7-1
4.7.3.2 Proposed Road and Rail Line Location	4.7-2
4.7.3.3 Charleston Naval Base	4.7-2
4.8 HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4.8-1
4.8.1 Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	4.8-1
4.8.2 Areas of Potential Effect (APE)	4.8-1
4.8.2.1 Historic Architectural Resources	4.8-1
4.8.2.2 Archaeological Resources	4.8-1
4.8.3 Previous Historical and Archaeological Investigations	4.8-1
4.8.3.1 Historic Architectural Investigations	4.8-1
4.8.3.2 Archaeological Investigations	4.8-7
4.8.4 Program for Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	4.8-8
4.9 POTENTIAL SECTION 303(c) PROPERTIES, SECTION 6(f) LANDS, AND OTHER RECREATIONAL FACILITIES	4.9-1
4.9.1 Potential Section 303(c) Properties	4.9-1
4.9.1.1 West of the Cooper River	4.9-1
4.9.1.2 Between the Cooper and Wando Rivers	4.9-2
4.9.1.3 East of the Wando River	4.9-3
4.9.2 Potential Section 6(f) Lands	4.9-4
4.9.3 Other Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	4.9-4
4.9.4 Water Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	4.9-5
4.9.4.1 Access and Registrations	4.9-5
4.9.4.2 Operations and Restrictions	4.9-6

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
4.10	AESTHETICS	4.10-1
4.10.1	Introduction	4.10-1
4.10.2	Viewsheds in the Vicinity of the Proposed Daniel Island Terminal Site ..	4.10-1
4.10.3	Viewsheds in the Vicinity of the Proposed and Alternative Road and Rail Line Corridors	4.10-1
4.10.4	Viewsheds in the Vicinity of the Charleston Naval Base and Alternative Access Corridors	4.10-1
4.10.5	Visual Standards for Francis Marion National Forest	4.10-1
4.11	CLIMATE, TOPOGRAPHY, AND GEOLOGY	4.11-1
4.11.1	Climate	4.11-1
4.11.2	Topography	4.11-2
4.11.3	Geology	4.11-2
4.12	AIR QUALITY	4.12-1
4.12.1	Regulations and Standards	4.12-1
4.12.2	Existing Regional Conditions	4.12-2
4.13	SOILS AND FARMLANDS	4.13-1
4.14	HAZARDOUS MATERIAL SITES	4.14-1
4.14.1	Federal, State, and Local Regulations	4.14-1
4.14.1.1	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	4.14-1
4.14.1.2	South Carolina Department of Environmental Conservation Underground Storage Tank Program	4.14-1
4.14.2	Site Inventory	4.14-1
4.14.2.1	Daniel Island	4.14-1
4.14.2.2	Charleston Naval Base	4.14-1
4.14.2.3	Columbus Street Terminal	4.14-3
4.15	SHORELINES	4.15-1
4.16	FLOODPLAINS	4.16-1
4.16.1	Daniel Island and Cainhoy Area	4.16-2
4.16.1.1	Daniel Island	4.16-3
4.16.1.2	Cainhoy Area	4.16-3
4.16.2	Charleston Naval Base	4.16-4
4.16.3	Columbus Street Terminal	4.16-5
4.17	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	4.17-1
4.17.1	Groundwater	4.17-1
4.17.1.1	Hydrogeology	4.17-1
4.17.1.2	Groundwater Quantity	4.17-2
4.17.1.3	Groundwater Quality	4.17-6
4.17.2	Surface Waters	4.17-10
4.17.2.1	Surface Water Basins	4.17-10
4.17.2.2	Surface Water Quality	4.17-13
4.17.2.3	Surface Water Quantity	4.17-21
4.18	AQUATIC SEDIMENTS	4.18-1
4.18.1	Sediments in the Cooper River and Wando River	4.18-1
4.18.1.1	Historical Data on Surficial Sediments	4.18-1
4.18.1.2	Data on Sediment to be Dredged for the Proposed Project ..	4.18-2
4.18.2	Sediments at Charleston ODMDS	4.18-2
4.18.2.1	Historical Data on Surficial Sediments	4.18-2
4.18.2.2	Project-Generated Data on Reference Stations Near the	

SECTION 1-4
TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	Charleston ODMDS	4.18-3
4.19	UPLAND BIOTIC COMMUNITIES	4.19-1
4.19.1	Upland Plant Communities	4.19-1
4.19.1.1	Terrestrial Forests	4.19-2
4.19.1.2	Terrestrial Woodlands	4.19-3
4.19.1.3	Developed/Disturbed Lands	4.19-4
4.19.2	Upland Animal Communities	4.19-4
4.20	AQUATIC BIOTIC COMMUNITIES	4.20-1
4.20.1	Freshwater Plant Communities	4.20-1
4.20.1.1	Forested Palustrine Wetlands	4.20-1
4.20.1.2	Woodland Wetlands	4.20-3
4.20.1.3	Woodland/Shrub Wetlands	4.20-3
4.20.1.4	Shrub Wetlands	4.20-3
4.20.1.5	Herbaceous Wetlands	4.20-3
4.20.1.6	Mixed Forest/Woodland/Shrub Wetlands	4.20-4
4.20.1.7	Open Water Features	4.20-4
4.20.2	Freshwater Animal Communities	4.20-4
4.20.3	Estuarine Plant Communities	4.20-5
4.20.3.1	Maritime Shrub Wetlands	4.20-5
4.20.3.2	Herbaceous Wetlands	4.20-5
4.20.4	Estuarine Animal Communities	4.20-5
4.20.5	Ocean Communities	4.20-7
4.20.5.1	Pelagic Communities	4.20-7
4.20.5.2	Benthic Communities	4.20-7
4.20.6	Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)	4.20-8
4.20.6.1	Background	4.20-8
4.20.6.2	EFH in the Biotics Study Area	4.20-8
4.20.6.3	Potential EFH Species in the Biotics Study Area	4.20-12
4.21	ENDANGERED, THREATENED, AND OTHER LISTED SPECIES	4.21-1
4.21.1	Plants	4.21-2
4.21.1.1	Canby's Dropwort (<i>Oxypolis canbyi</i>)	4.21-2
4.21.1.2	American Chaffseed (<i>Schwalbea americana</i>)	4.21-2
4.21.1.3	Pondberry (<i>Lindera melissifolia</i>)	4.21-3
4.21.1.4	Other Plants	4.21-4
4.21.2	Animals	4.21-4
4.21.2.1	Federal Listed Species	4.21-5
4.21.2.2	State Listed Species	4.21-16
4.21.2.3	U.S. Forest Service Listed Species	4.21-16
4.22	WETLANDS	4.22-1
4.23	INTRODUCED SPECIES	4.23-1

VOLUME 2 OF 4 - SECTIONS 5-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	5.1.1-1
5.1 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE	5.1.1-1
5.1.1 Land Use	5.1.1-1
5.1.1.1 Planned and Future Developments	5.1.1-1
5.1.1.2 Developed Lands and Open Spaces	5.1.1-1
5.1.1.3 Francis Marion National Forest	5.1.1-3
5.1.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.1.2-1
5.1.2.1 Methodology - Input Output Model	5.1.2-1
5.1.2.2 Socioeconomic Projections of the No-Action Alternative	5.1.2-4
5.1.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.1.3-1
5.1.3.1 Methodology - Population Projections	5.1.3-1
5.1.3.2 Tri-County Region Population and Social Trends for the No-Action Alternative	5.1.3-2
5.1.3.3 Population and Social Trends for Community Impact Areas	5.1.3-2
5.1.4 Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.1.4-1
5.1.4.1 Roadways	5.1.4-1
5.1.4.2 Railways	5.1.4-1
5.1.4.3 Water Supply and Wastewater	5.1.4-2
5.1.4.4 Stormwater Management Facilities	5.1.4-2
5.1.4.5 Fire, Law Enforcement, Emergency, and Medical Services	5.1.4-3
5.1.4.6 Schools	5.1.4-5
5.1.4.7 Solid Waste	5.1.4-5
5.1.4.8 Oil and Hazardous Materials Spill Response Programs	5.1.4-5
5.1.4.9 Electrical, Gas, Telephone, and Cable Television	5.1.4-5
5.1.5 Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.1.5-1
5.1.5.1 Federal Channels, Turning Basins, and Anchorages	5.1.5-1
5.1.5.2 Public Terminal Facilities	5.1.5-1
5.1.5.3 Private Terminal Facilities	5.1.5-1
5.1.5.4 Existing Dredged Material Disposal Areas	5.1.5-1
5.1.5.5 Navigation Operations	5.1.5-2
5.1.5.6 Navigation on Beresford Creek	5.1.5-2
5.1.6 Noise	5.1.6-1
5.1.7 Light	5.1.7-1
5.1.7.1 Proposed Daniel Island Terminal Site and Adjoining Areas	5.1.7-1
5.1.7.2 Proposed Road and Rail Line Locations and Adjoining Areas	5.1.7-1
5.1.7.3 Charleston Naval Base Area	5.1.7-2
5.1.8 Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.1.8-1
5.1.8.1 Historic Resources	5.1.8-1
5.1.8.2 Archaeological Resources	5.1.8-1
5.1.9 Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.1.9-1
5.1.9.1 Section 303(c) Properties	5.1.9-1
5.1.9.2 Section 6(f) Lands	5.1.9-1
5.1.9.3 Other Upland Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	5.1.9-1
5.1.9.4 Water Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	5.1.9-1
5.1.10 Aesthetics	5.1.10-1
5.1.10.1 Introduction	5.1.10-1
5.1.10.2 Proposed Daniel Island Terminal Site	5.1.10-1
5.1.10.3 Proposed Rail Line Location	5.1.10-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.1.10.4 Area of Charleston Naval Base and Alternative Access Corridors	5.1.10-2
5.1.10.5 Francis Marion National Forest	5.1.10-2
5.1.11 Climate and Geology	5.1.11-1
5.1.12 Air Quality	5.1.12-1
5.1.12.1 Methodology	5.1.12-1
5.1.12.2 Potential No-Action Alternative Impacts	5.1.12-2
5.1.13 Soils and Farmlands	5.1.13-1
5.1.14 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.1.14-1
5.1.15 Shorelines	5.1.15-1
5.1.16 Floodplains	5.1.16-1
5.1.16.1 Daniel Island	5.1.16-1
5.1.16.2 Cainhoy Area	5.1.16-1
5.1.16.3 Charleston Naval Base	5.1.16-1
5.1.17 Hydrology and Water Quality	5.1.17-1
5.1.17.1 Groundwater	5.1.17-1
5.1.17.2 Surface Water	5.1.17-2
5.1.17.3 Ocean Waters	5.1.17-2
5.1.18 Aquatic Sediments	5.1.18-1
5.1.18.1 Sediments in the Cooper River and Wando River	5.1.18-1
5.1.18.2 Sediments at the Charleston ODMDS	5.1.18-1
5.1.19 Upland Biotic Communities	5.1.19-1
5.1.19.1 Upland Plant Communities	5.1.19-1
5.1.19.2 Upland Animal Communities	5.1.19-1
5.1.20 Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.1.20-1
5.1.20.1 Freshwater Communities	5.1.20-1
5.1.20.2 Estuarine Communities	5.1.20-1
5.1.20.3 Oceanic Communities	5.1.20-1
5.1.21 Endangered and Threatened Species	5.1.21-1
5.1.21.1 Upland Species	5.1.21-1
5.1.21.2 Aquatic Species	5.1.21-1
5.1.21.3 Estuarine and Pelagic Species	5.1.21-1
5.1.22 Wetlands	5.1.22-1
5.1.22.1 Freshwater Wetlands	5.1.22-1
5.1.22.2 Estuarine Wetlands	5.1.22-1
5.1.23 Introduced Species	5.1.23-1
 5.2 THE PROPOSED PROJECT	 5.2.1-1
5.2.1 Land Use	5.2.1-1
5.2.1.1 Developed Lands and Open Spaces	5.2.1-1
5.2.1.2 Francis Marion National Forest	5.2.1-2
5.2.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.2.2-1
5.2.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.2.3-1
5.2.3.1 Population Growth	5.2.3-1
5.2.3.2 Acquisition and Relocation of Residences and Businesses	5.2.3-1
5.2.3.3 Community Structure Impacts	5.2.3-2
5.2.3.4 Environmental Justice	5.2.3-5
5.2.3.5 Potential Mitigation	5.2.3-6
5.2.4 Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.2.4-1
5.2.4.1 Roadways	5.2.4-1
5.2.4.2 Railways	5.2.4-3
5.2.4.3 Water Supply and Wastewater	5.2.4-4
5.2.4.4 Stormwater Management Facilities	5.2.4-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.2.4.5 Fire, Law Enforcement, Emergency, and Medical Services	5.2.4-9
5.2.4.6 Schools	5.2.4-12
5.2.4.7 Solid Waste	5.2.4-12
5.2.4.8 Oil and Hazardous Materials Response Programs	5.2.4-12
5.2.4.9 Electrical, Gas, Telephone, and Cable Television	5.2.4-14
5.2.5 Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.2.5-1
5.2.5.1 Federal Channels, Turning Basins, and Anchorages	5.2.5-1
5.2.5.2 Public Terminal Facilities	5.2.5-1
5.2.5.3 Private Terminal Facilities	5.2.5-1
5.2.5.4 Existing Dredged Material Disposal Areas	5.2.5-1
5.2.5.5 Navigation Operations	5.2.5-2
5.2.5.6 Navigation on Beresford Creek	5.2.5-2
5.2.6 Noise	5.2.6-1
5.2.6.1 Rail Noise	5.2.6-1
5.2.6.2 Terminal Area Noise	5.2.6-3
5.2.6.3 Truck Noise	5.2.6-4
5.2.6.4 Vessel Noise	5.2.6-4
5.2.6.5 Potential Mitigation Options	5.2.6-4
5.2.7 Light	5.2.7-1
5.2.7.1 Proposed Terminal Location	5.2.7-1
5.2.7.2 Proposed Rail Line Location	5.2.7-1
5.2.7.3 Potential Mitigation	5.2.7-1
5.2.8 Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.2.8-1
5.2.8.1 Historic Resources	5.2.8-1
5.2.8.2 Archaeological Resources	5.2.8-1
5.2.9 Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.2.9-1
5.2.9.1 Section 303(c) Properties	5.2.9-1
5.2.9.2 Section 6(f) Lands	5.2.9-1
5.2.9.3 Other Upland Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	5.2.9-1
5.2.9.4 Water Recreation Facilities and Opportunities	5.2.9-1
5.2.10 Aesthetics	5.2.10-1
5.2.10.1 Introduction	5.2.10-1
5.2.10.2 Proposed Terminal Location	5.2.10-1
5.2.10.3 Proposed Road and Rail Line Location	5.2.10-1
5.2.10.4 Francis Marion National Forest	5.2.10-2
5.2.11 Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.2.11-1
5.2.12 Air Quality	5.2.12-1
5.2.12.1 Methodology	5.2.12-1
5.2.12.2 Potential Impacts	5.2.12-1
5.2.13 Soils and Farmlands	5.2.13-1
5.2.14 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.2.14-1
5.2.15 Shorelines	5.2.15-1
5.2.15.1 Wando River/Charleston Harbor	5.2.15-1
5.2.15.2 Fort Sumter	5.2.15-1
5.2.16 Floodplains	5.2.16-1
5.2.16.1 Daniel Island Marine Cargo Terminal	5.2.16-1
5.2.16.2 Proposed Rail Corridor DI-RR-5	5.2.16-2
5.2.17 Hydrology and Water Quality	5.2.17-1
5.2.17.1 Groundwater	5.2.17-1
5.2.17.2 Surface Water	5.2.17-1
5.2.17.3 Ocean Water	5.2.17-10
5.2.18 Aquatic Sediments	5.2.18-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.2.18.1 Sediments in the Cooper River and Wando River	5.2.18-1
5.2.18.2 Sediments at the Charleston ODMDS	5.2.18-1
5.2.19 Upland Biotic Communities	5.2.19-1
5.2.19.1 Upland Plant Communities	5.2.19-1
5.2.19.2 Upland Animal Communities	5.2.19-2
5.2.20 Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.2.20-1
5.2.20.1 Freshwater Communities	5.2.20-1
5.2.20.2 Estuarine Communities	5.2.20-3
5.2.20.3 Ocean Communities	5.2.20-6
5.2.20.4 Essential Fish Habitat Assessment	5.2.20-6
5.2.21 Endangered and Threatened Species	5.2.21-1
5.2.21.1 Upland Species	5.2.21-1
5.2.21.2 Aquatic Species	5.2.21-1
5.2.21.3 Estuarine and Pelagic Species	5.2.21-4
5.2.22 Wetlands	5.2.22-1
5.2.22.1 Freshwater Wetlands	5.2.22-1
5.2.22.2 Estuarine Wetlands	5.2.22-2
5.2.22.3 Open Water Areas	5.2.22-2
5.2.23 Introduced Species	5.2.23-1
 5.3 ALTERNATIVE LOCATIONS FOR TERMINAL DEVELOPMENT	5.3.1-1
5.3.1 Naval Base + Daniel Island/Cooper River + Columbus Street	5.3.1-1
5.3.1.1 Land Use	5.3.1-1
5.3.1.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.3.1-2
5.3.1.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.3.1-2
5.3.1.4 Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.3.1-5
5.3.1.5 Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.3.1-10
5.3.1.6 Noise	5.3.1-11
5.3.1.7 Light	5.3.1-11
5.3.1.8 Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.3.1-11
5.3.1.9 Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.3.1-12
5.3.1.10 Aesthetics	5.3.1-13
5.3.1.11 Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.3.1-13
5.3.1.12 Air Quality	5.3.1-13
5.3.1.13 Soils and Farmlands	5.3.1-14
5.3.1.14 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.3.1-14
5.3.1.15 Shorelines	5.3.1-15
5.3.1.16 Floodplains	5.3.1-15
5.3.1.17 Hydrology and Water Quality	5.3.1-16
5.3.1.18 Aquatic Sediments	5.3.1-17
5.3.1.19 Upland Biotic Communities	5.3.1-18
5.3.1.20 Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.3.1-18
5.3.1.21 Endangered and Threatened Species	5.3.1-20
5.3.1.22 Wetlands	5.3.1-21
5.3.1.23 Introduced Species	5.3.1-22
 5.3.2 Naval Base + Daniel Island/Wando River	5.3.2-1
5.3.2.1 Land Use	5.3.2-1
5.3.2.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.3.2-1
5.3.2.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.3.2-1
5.3.2.4 Community Infrastructure	5.3.2-4

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.3.2.5 Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.3.2-7
5.3.2.6 Noise	5.3.2-8
5.3.2.7 Light	5.3.2-8
5.3.2.8 Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.3.2-8
5.3.2.9 Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.3.2-9
5.3.2.10 Aesthetics	5.3.2-10
5.3.2.11 Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.3.2-10
5.3.2.12 Air Quality	5.3.2-10
5.3.2.13 Soils and Farmlands	5.3.2-11
5.3.2.14 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.3.2-11
5.3.2.15 Shorelines	5.3.2-11
5.3.2.16 Floodplains	5.3.2-12
5.3.2.17 Hydrology and Water Quality	5.3.2-12
5.3.2.18 Aquatic Sediments	5.3.2-14
5.3.2.19 Upland Biotic Communities	5.3.2-14
5.3.2.20 Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.3.2-14
5.3.2.21 Endangered and Threatened Species	5.3.2-16
5.3.2.22 Wetlands	5.3.2-17
5.3.2.23 Introduced Species	5.3.2-18
 5.4 ROAD/RAIL CORRIDORS SERVING THE NAVAL BASE SITE	 5.4.1-1
5.4.1 Northern Corridor	5.4.1-1
5.4.1.1 Land Use	5.4.1-1
5.4.1.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.4.1-1
5.4.1.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.4.1-1
5.4.1.4 Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.4.1-2
5.4.1.5 Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.4.1-4
5.4.1.6 Noise	5.4.1-4
5.4.1.7 Light	5.4.1-4
5.4.1.8 Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.4.1-4
5.4.1.9 Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.4.1-5
5.4.1.10 Aesthetics	5.4.1-5
5.4.1.11 Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.4.1-5
5.4.1.12 Air Quality	5.4.1-5
5.4.1.13 Soils and Farmlands	5.4.1-5
5.4.1.14 Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.4.1-6
5.4.1.15 Shorelines	5.4.1-6
5.4.1.16 Floodplains	5.4.1-6
5.4.1.17 Hydrology and Water Quality	5.4.1-6
5.4.1.18 Aquatic Sediments	5.4.1-7
5.4.1.19 Upland Biotic Communities	5.4.1-7
5.4.1.20 Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.4.1-7
5.4.1.21 Endangered and Threatened Species	5.4.1-7
5.4.1.22 Wetlands	5.4.1-8
5.4.1.23 Introduced Species	5.4.1-8
5.4.2 Southern Corridor	5.4.2-1
5.4.2.1 Land Use	5.4.2-1
5.4.2.2 Socioeconomic Environment	5.4.2-1
5.4.2.3 Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.4.2-1
5.4.2.4 Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.4.2-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
5.4.2.5	Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.4.2-4
5.4.2.6	Noise	5.4.2-4
5.4.2.7	Light	5.4.2-4
5.4.2.8	Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.4.2-4
5.4.2.9	Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.4.2-5
5.4.2.10	Aesthetics	5.4.2-5
5.4.2.11	Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.4.2-5
5.4.2.12	Air Quality	5.4.2-5
5.4.2.13	Soils and Farmlands	5.4.2-6
5.4.2.14	Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.4.2-6
5.4.2.15	Shorelines	5.4.2-6
5.4.2.16	Floodplains	5.4.2-6
5.4.2.17	Hydrology and Water Quality	5.4.2-6
5.4.2.18	Aquatic Sediments	5.4.2-8
5.4.2.19	Upland Biotic Communities	5.4.2-8
5.4.2.20	Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.4.2-8
5.4.2.21	Endangered and Threatened Species	5.4.2-9
5.4.2.22	Wetlands	5.4.2-9
5.4.2.23	Introduced Species	5.4.2-9
5.5	ALTERNATIVE ROAD AND RAIL CORRIDORS TO SERVE DANIEL ISLAND TERMINAL LOCATIONS	5.5.1-1
5.5.1	Alternative Road Corridors	5.5.1-1
5.5.1.1	Land Use	5.5.1-1
5.5.1.2	Socioeconomic Environment	5.5.1-1
5.5.1.3	Social Characteristics and Environmental Justice	5.5.1-2
5.5.1.4	Community Infrastructure and Municipal Services	5.5.1-2
5.5.1.5	Navigation and Terminal Facilities	5.5.1-4
5.5.1.6	Noise	5.5.1-5
5.5.1.7	Light	5.5.1-5
5.5.1.8	Historic and Archaeological Resources	5.5.1-5
5.5.1.9	Section 303(c) Properties, Section 6(f) Lands, and Other Recreational Facilities	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.10	Aesthetics	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.11	Climate, Topography, and Geology	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.12	Air Quality	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.13	Soils and Farmlands	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.14	Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Wastes	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.15	Shorelines	5.5.1-6
5.5.1.16	Floodplains	5.5.1-7
5.5.1.17	Hydrology and Water Quality	5.5.1-7
5.5.1.18	Aquatic Sediments	5.5.1-8
5.5.1.19	Upland Biotic Communities	5.5.1-8
5.5.1.20	Aquatic Biotic Communities	5.5.1-8
5.5.1.21	Endangered and Threatened Species	5.5.1-9
5.5.1.22	Wetlands	5.5.1-9
5.5.1.23	Introduced Species	5.5.1-9
5.5.2	Alternative Rail Corridors	5.5.2.1-1
5.5.2.1	Alternative DI-RR-3	5.5.2.1-1
5.5.2.2	Alternative DI-RR-4	5.5.2.2-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.5.2.3 Alternative DI-RR-6	5.5.2.3-1
5.5.2.4 Alternative DI-RR-7	5.5.2.4-1
5.5.2.5 Alternative DI-RR-3/4	5.5.2.5-1
5.5.2.6 Alternative DI-RR-3/4/5	5.5.2.6-1
5.5.2.7 Alternative DI-RR-3/5	5.5.2.7-1
5.5.2.8 Alternative DI-RR-3/5/4	5.5.2.8-1
5.5.2.9 Alternative DI-RR-3/6	5.5.2.9-1
5.5.2.10 Alternative DI-RR-3/6B	5.5.2.10-1
5.5.2.11 Alternative DI-RR-4/3	5.5.2.11-1
5.5.2.12 Alternative DI-RR-4/5	5.5.2.12-1
5.5.2.13 Alternative DI-RR-5/3	5.5.2.13-1
5.5.2.14 Alternative DI-RR-5/3/4/5	5.5.2.14-1
5.5.2.15 Alternative DI-RR-5/3/5	5.5.2.15-1
5.5.2.16 Alternative DI-RR-5/3/6	5.5.2.16-1
5.5.2.17 Alternative DI-RR-6/5	5.5.2.17-1
5.5.2.18 Alternative DI-RR-6/5/4	5.5.2.18-1
5.6 FACILITY DESIGN ALTERNATIVES	5.6.1-1
5.6.1 Alternative Channel Modification Designs	5.6.1-1
5.6.1.1 Plan 1	5.6.1-1
5.6.1.2 Plan 1A	5.6.1-3
5.6.2 Dredged Material Disposal Alternative - Confined Disposal	5.6.2-1
5.6.3 Alternative Wharf Alignments	5.6.3-1
5.6.3.1 Daniel Island Sites	5.6.3-1
5.6.3.2 Naval Base Site	5.6.3-4
5.6.4 Alternative Wharf Designs	5.6.4-1
5.6.5 Surface Access Design Alternatives	5.6.5-1
5.6.5.1 Naval Base - Bridges Over Shipyard Creek	5.6.5-1
5.6.5.2 Daniel Island Terminal Sites	5.6.5-1
5.7 CONSTRUCTION METHODOLOGY ALTERNATIVES	5.7.1-1
5.7.1 Dredging Method	5.7.1-1
5.7.2 Road and Rail Bridges and Trestle	5.7.2-1
5.7.2.1 Temporary Fill Causeways	5.7.2-1
5.7.2.2 Construction Barge Mats	5.7.2-2
5.7.2.3 Temporary Bridges	5.7.2-3
5.7.2.4 Top-Down Construction	5.7.2-4
5.8 EVALUATION OF DREDGED MATERIAL PROPOSED FOR DUMPING	5.8-1
5.8.1 Introduction	5.8-1
5.8.2 The Decision Making Process	5.8-1
5.8.3 Detailed Assessment of Alternatives	5.8-2
5.8.3.1 Test Procedures and Interpretation Guidance	5.8-2
5.8.3.2 Water Column Effects	5.8-2
5.8.3.3 Benthic Effects	5.8-3
5.8.4 Administrative Procedure	5.8-4
5.9 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5.9-1
5.9.1 Maritime Projects	5.9-1
5.9.2 Surface Transportation Projects	5.9-1
5.9.3 Public Infrastructure Projects	5.9-2
5.9.4 Land Development Projects	5.9-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.9.5 Environmental Improvement Projects	5.9-3
5.9.6 National Forest Activities	5.9-3
5.10 UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE IMPACTS AND IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	5.10-1
5.10.1 Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	5.10-1
5.10.2 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	5.10-1
6.0 INTEGRATED MITIGATION PROGRAM	6-1
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2 SOCIAL IMPACT PROGRAM	6-2
6.3 BIOTIC AND WETLAND PROGRAM	6-2
7.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND CONSULTATION	7-1
7.1 SCOPING MEETING	7-1
7.2 PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETINGS	7-1
7.3 COMMUNITY OUTREACH MEETINGS	7-1
7.4 PUBLIC HEARING	7-1
8.0 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES	8-1
9.0 REFERENCES, LIST OF ACRONYMS, AND DEFINITION OF TERMS	9-1
10.0 LIST OF PREPARERS	10-1
11.0 LIST OF RECIPIENTS	11-1
12.0 INDEX	12-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.

- 3.5.3-1 Evaluation of Terminal Location Alternatives
- 3.9.2-1 Comparison of Terminal Location Alternatives
- 3.9.2-2 Average Sailing Distance Per Berth by Alternative
- 3.9.3-1 Comparison of Naval Base Access Corridor Alternatives
- 3.9.4-1 Comparison of Daniel Island Access Road Location Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(a) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Location Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(b) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(c) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(d) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(e) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(f) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-1(g) Comparison of Daniel Island Access Rail Locations Alternatives
- 3.9.5-2 Detailed Cost Comparison of Rail Alternatives
- 3.9.6-1 Comparison of Channel Modification Design Alternatives
- 3.9.6-2 Comparison of Dredge Material Disposal Alternatives
- 3.9.6-3 Comparison of Cooper River Wharf Alignment Alternatives
- 3.9.6-4 Comparison of Wando River Wharf Alignment Alternatives
- 3.9.6-5 Comparison of Naval Base Wharf Configuration Alternatives
- 3.9.6-6 Comparison of Wharf Design Alternatives
- 3.9.6-7 Comparison of Shipyard Creek Bridge Design Alternatives
- 3.9.6-8 Comparison of Beresford Creek Roadway Bridge Design Alternatives
- 3.9.6-9 Comparison of Beresford Creek Railroad Bridge Design Alternatives
- 3.9.6-10 Comparison of Wetland Crossing Alternatives
- 3.9.7-1 Comparison of Dredging Alternatives
- 3.9.7-2 Comparison of Road and Rail Bridge Construction Alternatives
- 4.1.3-1 Current FMNF Acreage Within the Study Area
- 4.1.3-2 Sample of Recreational Opportunities in the FMNF
- 4.1.3-3 Usage of Recreational Activities
- 4.2.1-1 Shift Share Analysis for the Tri-County Region: Total Employment, Construction, and Manufacturing Sectors, 1985 - 1995
- 4.2.1-2 Shift Share Analysis for the Tri-County Region: Transportation, Wholesale Trade, and Finance Sectors, 1985 - 1995
- 4.2.1-3 Shift Share Analysis for the Tri-County Region: Services, Retail Trade, and Agricultural Sectors, 1985 - 1995
- 4.2.1-4 Shift Share Analysis for the Tri-County Region: Federal Civilian, Military, State, and Local Government Sectors, 1985 - 1995
- 4.2.1-5 Non-Agricultural Employment in the Tri-County Region (Charleston - North Charleston Metropolitan Areas), March 1997 and March 1998
- 4.2.1-6 Tri-County Region and County Export Sectors Based on 1995 Location Quotients
- 4.2.1-7 Tri-County Region Location Quotients, 1985 and 1995
- 4.2.1-8 Tri-County Region and County Location Quotients, 1985 and 1995
- 4.2.1-9 Labor Force Characteristics of the Tri-County Region by Race and Sex, 1994 Annual Average Employment
- 4.2.1-10 Labor Force Characteristics of the Community Impact Areas, 1990
- 4.2.2-1 Average Wage per Job (Full-and Part-time by Place of Work), 1994-1996
- 4.3.1-1 Geographic Distribution of SCSPA Charleston Terminal Employees By Town and County
- 4.3.2-1 Tri-County Region Population Growth, 1970 - 1997

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table No.

- 4.3.2-2 Tri-County Region Racial Composition, 1990
4.3.2-3 Tri-County Region Age Characteristics, 1990
4.3.2-4 Tri-County Region Income Distribution, 1990
4.3.2-5 Tri-County Region Educational Attainment Persons Ages 25+, 1990
4.3.3-1 Daniel Island/Cainhoe Peninsula Community Impact Area Population Growth Characteristics, 1960-1990
4.3.3-2 Daniel Island/Cainhoe Peninsula Community Impact Area Racial Composition, 1990
4.3.3-3 Daniel Island/Cainhoe Peninsula Community Impact Area Age Characteristics, 1990
4.3.3-4 Daniel Island/Cainhoe Peninsula Community Impact Area Income Distribution, 1990
4.3.3-5 Daniel Island/Cainhoe Peninsula Community Impact Area Educational Attainment Persons Ages 25+, 1990
4.3.3-6 Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Racial Composition, 1990
4.3.3-7 Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Age Characteristics, 1990
4.3.3-8 Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Income Distribution, 1990
4.3.3-9 Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Educational Attainment Persons Ages 25+, 1990
4.3.3-10 Naval Base Community Impact Area Population Growth, 1970-1990
4.3.3-11 Naval Base Community Impact Area Racial Composition, 1990
4.3.3-12 Naval Base Community Impact Area Age Characteristics, 1990
4.3.3-13 Naval Base Community Impact Area Income Distribution, 1990
4.3.3-14 Naval Base Community Impact Area Educational Attainment Persons Ages 25+, 1990
4.3.3-15 Columbus Street Terminal Community Impact Area Population Growth, 1970-1990
4.3.3-16 Columbus Street Terminal Community Impact Area Racial Composition, 1990
4.3.3-17 Columbus Street Terminal Community Impact Area Age Characteristics, 1990
4.3.3-18 Columbus Street Terminal Community Impact Area Income Distribution, 1990
4.3.3-19 Columbus Street Terminal Community Impact Area Educational Attainment Persons Ages 25+, 1990
- 4.4.1-1 Existing Roadway Volumes and Levels of Service
4.4.1-2 Existing Levels of Service Intersections and Ramps
4.4.1-3 Results of Traffic Count Program
4.4.1-4 Traffic Count Summary
4.4.1-5 Accident Summary, Six-Year Period From 1992 to 1997
4.4.1-6 Summary of Accidents Involving Trucks or Near Railroad Crossing, Six-Year Period From 1992 to 1997
- 4.4.2-1 Existing Traffic Operations at Railroad Crossings
- 4.4.3-1 Existing Municipal Wastewater Treatment Facilities
4.4.4-1 Agency Jurisdictional Authority in Study Area
4.4.4-2 Characteristics of the South Sub-basin at Daniel Island
4.4.4-3 Characteristics of the North Sub-basin at Daniel Island
4.4.4-4 Characteristics of the Cainhoe Area North of the Daniel Island Peninsula
4.4.4-5 Existing Water Quality Treatment Facilities at Daniel Island
4.4.4-6 Existing Water Quality Treatment Facilities in the Cainhoe Area
4.4.4-7 Drainage Characteristics at the Charleston Navy Base
4.4.4-8 Existing Water Quality Treatment Facilities in the Charleston Navy Base
4.4.5-1 Existing Public Services
4.4.6-1 Schools, Enrollment, Average Daily Attendance, Capacity, Student-Teacher Ratio
4.4.8-1 SCDHEC Spills Tracking System for Charleston and Berkeley Counties, January 1, 1996 to October 13, 1997

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table No.

- 4.5.1-1 Existing Charleston Harbor Channel Dimensions
4.5.5-1 Existing Vessel Traffic in Charleston Harbor
4.5.6-1 Results of Beresford Creek Navigation Survey
- 4.6.2-1 Wando Terminal Noise Monitoring, August 18 to 19, 1998
4.6.2-2 Wando Terminal Noise Monitoring August 19 to 20, 1998
4.6.2-3 Wando Terminal Noise Monitoring August 20 to 21, 1998
4.6.2-4 Wando Terminal Noise Monitoring Dockside Location (T1)
4.6.2-5 Wando Terminal Noise Monitoring Terminal Entrance Location (T4)
4.6.3-1 Monitored Background Noise Levels
- 4.12.1-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards
4.12.2-1 Sulfur Dioxide Monitoring Data
4.12.2-2 PM-10 Monitoring Data
4.12.2-3 Carbon Monoxide Monitoring Data
4.12.2-4 Ozone Monitoring Data
4.12.2-5 Nitrogen Dioxide Monitoring Data
4.12.2-6 Lead Monitoring Data
4.12.2-7 TSP Concentrations in Ambient Air Measured at Hobcaw Creek Marina, SCSPA Wando River Terminal and Various SCDHEC Air Quality Monitoring Stations (April through June 1997)
4.12.2-8 Comparison of Annual Geometric Mean TSP Concentrations in Ambient Air Measured by DHEC and SCSPA Near Charleston, SC (1979 through 1984 and Second Quarter 1997)
- 4.14.2-1 Daniel Island Hazardous Materials Sites
4.14.2-2 Charleston Naval Shipyard Hazardous Materials Sites
4.14.2-3 Charleston Shipyard Road and Rail Corridor Hazardous Material Sites
4.14.2-4 Columbus Street Terminal Hazardous Material Sites
- 4.16-1 Storm History in the Vicinity of Charleston, South Carolina
4.16-2 Summary of 10- and 100-Year Storm Surge Elevations in Coastal and Riverine Areas
4.16.1-1 Flood Zone Description and Coverage Area from Daniel Island to the Francis Marion National Forest
4.16.2-1 Flood Zone Descriptions and Coverage Area Within the Property Limits of the Former Charleston Naval Base
4.16.3-1 Flood Zone Descriptions and Coverage Area Within the Property Limits of the Columbus Street Terminal
- 4.17.1-1 Generalized Stratigraphic and Geohydrologic Column for Charleston, South Carolina
4.17.1-2 Groundwater Classifications and Descriptions in the State of South Carolina
4.17.1-3 Water Quality Standards for Groundwaters in the State of South Carolina
4.17.2-1 Summary of Sub-basins and Surface Waters in the Cooper River, Ashley River and Coastal Basins of the Catawba Santee Watershed within the Study Area
4.17.2-2 Surface Water Classifications and Descriptions in the State of South Carolina
4.17.2-3 Water Quality Standards or Freshwater and Saltwater Classifications in the State of South Carolina
4.17.2-4 Water Quality Standards for Trout Waters and Outstanding Resource Waters in the State of South Carolina
4.17.2-5 Water Classifications for Waterbodies Within the Study Area
4.17.2-6 List of Surface Waters that May Potentially Be Classified as Outstanding Resource Waters (ORW)

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table No.

- | | |
|-----------|--|
| 4.17.2-7 | SCDHEC Water Quality Monitoring Stations |
| 4.17.2-8 | USGS Water Quality Monitoring Stations |
| 4.17.2-9 | List of Impaired Surface Water Bodies Within the Sub-basin of the Cooper River, Ashley River, and Coastal Basins |
| 4.17.2-10 | List of Unimpaired Surface Water Bodies Within the Sub-basin of the Cooper River, Ashley River, and Coastal Basins with Notable Trends |
| 4.17.2-11 | Water Quality at the Charleston Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site |
| 4.17.2-12 | Surface Waters on the 303(d), 304(l) and 319 Lists Within the EIS Study Area |
| 4.17.2-13 | Streamflow Data for the Various USGS Monitoring Stations in the Cooper, Wando, and Ashley Rivers |
| 4.17.2-14 | Streamflow Data for the Cooper River at the USGS Monitoring Station 02172002 at the Tailrace Canal |
| 4.17.2-15 | Tidal Bench Marks in Charleston Harbor, Cooper River, Wando River, Ashley River and Their Tributaries |
| | |
| 4.18-1 | Summary of Vibracoring Station Information |
| 4.18-2 | Results of Grain Size Analyses |
| 4.18-3 | Bulk Sediment Chemistry Analysis: Metals/Others |
| 4.18-4 | Bulk Sediment Chemistry Analysis: Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) |
| 4.18-5 | Summary of Reference Station Information |
| | |
| 4.19-1 | Acreages and Percent Cover of Biotic Communities in the Daniel Island Terminal Biotics Study Area |
| 4.19-2 | Common Plant Species in the Daniel Island Terminal Biotics Study Area |
| 4.19-3 | Acreages of Biotic Communities Within the Francis Marion National Forest Portion of the Biotics Study Area |
| | |
| 4.20-1 | Amphibian and Aquatic Reptile Species Encountered During the Daniel Island Terminal Project EIS Field Activities |
| 4.20.6-1 | Designated Essential Fish Habitat Occurrence Within Biotics Study Area |
| 4.20.6-2 | Fishery Management Plans and Managed Species for the South Atlantic Region |
| | |
| 4.21-1 | State and Federal Listed Species Potentially Occurring within the Daniel Island Terminal Project Area |
| 4.21-2 | State and Federal Listed Plant Species Field Survey Dates |

SECTION 5.0

LIST OF TABLES

Section

- 5.1.2-1 The No-Action Alternative SCSPA Projections for the Tri-County Region and South Carolina
- 5.1.3-1 Tri-County Population Growth Estimates and Projections Prepared by the South Carolina Office of Research and Statistics, 1990-2015
- 5.1.3-2 Tri-County Population Growth Estimates and Projections Based Upon 1980-1997 Growth Rates
- 5.1.3-3 Tri-County Population Growth CHATS Model Estimates and Projections Prepared by the BCDF Council of Governments, 1990-2015
- 5.1.3-4 Comparison of the Tri-County Population Projections for 2015
- 5.1.3-5 The EIS No-Action Alternative Tri-County Region 1997 Population Estimates and 2000 - 2020 Population Projections
- 5.1.3-6 The No-Action Alternative Population, Household, and Employment Projections for the Tri-County Region 1997 Population Estimates and 2000 - 2020 Projections
- 5.1.3-7A Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Subdivisions, November 1997
- 5.1.3.7B Mount Pleasant Community Impact Area Subdivisions, November 1997
- 5.1.4.1-1 Year 2020 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways
- 5.1.4.2-1 Year 2020 Traffic Operations at Railroad Crossings No-Build Alternative
- 5.1.9-1 Section 303(c) Properties Within the Study Area
- 5.1.12-1 Sources of Air Emissions Associated with Marine Terminals
- 5.1.12-2 No-Action Alternative Air Emissions by Pollutant and Modal Split

- 5.2.2-1 The Proposed Project SCSPA Projections for the Tri-County Region and South Carolina, 2000 to 2020
- 5.2.2-2 The Proposed Project Port-Related Employment Projections for the Tri-County Region and South Carolina - 1998 Estimates and 2000 - 2020 Projections
- 5.2.3-1 Comparison of the Social Impacts for the Tri-County Region for the No-Action Alternative and Proposed Project
- 5.2.3-2 The Proposed Project Population, Household, and Employment Projections for the Tri-County Region - 1997 Population Estimates and 2000 - 2020 Projections
- 5.2.3-3 Potential Building and Parcel Acquisitions for Alternative Rail Corridors
- 5.2.3-4 Evaluation of Factors for Potential Environmental Justice Impacts
- 5.2.4.1-1 Existing Terminals Trip Generation Calculation and Calibration Methodology
- 5.2.4.1-2 Daniel Island Trip Generation with 25 Percent Rail
- 5.2.4.1-3 Daniel Island Peak Hour Trip Generation with 25 Percent Rail
- 5.2.4.1-4 Daniel Island Trip Generation with 40 percent Rail
- 5.2.4.1-5 Daniel Island Peak Hour Trip Generation with 40 Percent Rail
- 5.2.4.1-6 Year 2005 Traffic Conditions (with 25 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-7 Year 2005 Traffic Conditions (with 40 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-8 Year 2010 Traffic Conditions (with 25 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-9 Year 2010 Traffic Conditions (with 40 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-10 Year 2015 Traffic Conditions (with 25 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-11 Year 2015 Traffic Conditions (with 40 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-12 Year 2020 Traffic Conditions (with 25 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-13 Year 2020 Traffic Conditions (with 40 Percent Rail)
- 5.2.4.1-14 Year 2005 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways
- 5.2.4.1-15 Year 2010 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways
- 5.2.4.1-16 Year 2015 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways
- 5.2.4.1-17 Year 2020 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways

SECTION 5.0
LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

<u>Section</u>	
5.2.4.2-1	Year 2020 Traffic Operations at Railroad Crossings with Proposed Project
5.2.4.4-1	Summary of Land Uses at the Daniel Island Marine Cargo Terminal Used to Determine a Conceptual Stormwater Management System
5.2.4.4-2	Peak Storm Water Runoff Rates Under Pre-Development and Post-Development Conditions for the 2- and 10-Year Frequencies 24-Hour Duration Storms
5.2.4.4-3	Proposed Dimensions, Peak Discharge Rates and Elevations for the 2- and 10-Year, 24-Hour Storm Frequencies and Water Quality Requirements for the Proposed Ponds at the Daniel Island Marine Cargo Terminal
5.2.4.5-1	Fire, Law Enforcement, and Emergency Medical Services Personnel Projections
5.2.4.6-1	Projected Tri-County Student Body Population
5.2.6-1	Projected Train Trips
5.2.6-2	65dBA DNL Rail Noise Contours
5.2.6-3	Noise Sensitive Sites
5.2.12-1	Proposed Project Alternative Air Emissions by Pollutant and Modal Split (in Tons Per Year)
5.2.12-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the No-Action Alternative and Proposed Project for the 25 Percent Modal Split (In Tons Per Year)
5.2.12-3	Comparison of Air Emissions from the No-Action Alternative and Proposed Project for the 40 Percent Modal Split (In Tons Per Year)
5.2.13-1	Acres of Prime Farmland and Statewide Important Soils Impacted by the Proposed Project
5.2.17-1	Estimates of Erosion Sediment Yield (Tons) in Areas of the Francis Marion National Forest Impacted by the Proposed Rail Alternative
5.2.17-2	Sediment Reduction Best Management Practices Recommended for Implementation During the Construction of the Daniel Island Marine Cargo Terminal and Proposed Rail Corridor DI-RR-5
5.2.17-3	Typical Concentrations of Contaminants Expected in Runoff at the Daniel Island Marine Cargo Terminal
5.2.19-1	Acreages and Percent Cover of Biotic Communities Within the 100-Foot Corridor Along the Proposed Rail Alignment
5.2.19-2	Acreages and Percent Cover of Biotic Communities Within the 500-Foot Corridor Along the Proposed Rail Alignment
5.2.19-3	Acreages of Biotic Communities Along the Proposed Rail Alternative Within the Francis Marion National Forest
5.2.20-1	Dredge and Fill Impacts at Proposed Terminal Facility
5.2.20-2	Estimated Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat Resulting from Proposed Project
5.3.1.4-1	Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative (25 Percent Rail)
5.3.1.4-2	Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative (40 Percent Rail)
5.3.1.4-3	Year 2020 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways - Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative (25 Percent Rail)
5.3.1.4-4	Year 2020 Required Improvements for Study Area Roadways - Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative (40 Percent Rail)
5.3.1.4-5	Year 2020 Traffic Operations at Railroad Crossings
5.3.1.4-6	Peak Stormwater Runoff Rates Under Pre-Development and Post-Development Conditions for the 2- and 10-Year, 24-Hour Storms for the Cooper River Side of Daniel Island
5.3.1.12-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the No-Action, Proposed Project, and the Naval Base + Daniel Island/Cooper River + Columbus Street Alternatives for the Year 2020 (in tons per year)
5.3.1.20-1	Estimated Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat Resulting from the Naval Base + Daniel Island/Cooper River + Columbus Street Terminal Alternative

SECTION 5.0
LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

Section

- 5.3.2.4-1 Peak Stormwater Runoff Rates Under Pre-Development and Post-Development Conditions for the 2- and 10-Year, 24-Hour Storms for the Wando River Side of Daniel Island
- 5.3.2.20-1 Estimated Impacts to Essential Fish Habitat Resulting from the Naval Base + Daniel Island/Wando River Terminal Alternative
- 5.4.1.4-1 Expected Number of Trucks Per Year Carrying Hazardous Material
- 5.4.1.12-1 Comparison of Rail Air Emissions from the Naval Base Northern Corridor Alternatives for the Year 2020
- 5.4.1.20-1 Biotic Communities within 500-foot Corridor along Naval Base Rail Corridor Alternative
- 5.4.2.12-1 Comparison of Rail Air Emissions from the Naval Base Southern Corridor Alternative for the Year 2020
- 5.5.2.1-1 Potential Building and Parcel Acquisitions for Alternative Rail Corridors
- 5.5.2.1-2 Rail Alternative Road Crossings
- 5.5.2.1-3 Year 2020 Traffic Operations at Railroad Crossing
- 5.5.2.1-4 65 DNL Contour Affected Properties
- 5.5.2.1-5 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.1-6 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.1-7 Area of Floodplain Encroachment for the Alternative Proposed and Alternative Rail Corridors
- 5.5.2.1-8 Biotic Communities within 500-foot Corridor Along Alternative Rail Alignments
- 5.5.2.1-9 Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Trees and Clusters Affected by Rail Alternatives Serving the Daniel Island Terminal
- 5.5.2.2-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.2-2 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.3-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.3-2 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.4-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-7 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.4-2 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-7 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.5-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/4 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.5-2 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/4 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.6-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.6-2 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
- 5.5.2.7-1 Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split

SECTION 5.0
LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

<u>Section</u>	
5.5.2.7-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.8-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/5/4 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.8-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/5/4 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.9-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/6 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.9-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/6 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.10-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/6B Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.10-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-3/6B Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.11-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4/3 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.11-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4/3 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.12-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.12-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.13-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.13-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.14-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.14-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/4/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.15-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.15-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.16-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/6 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.16-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-5/3/6 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.17-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6/5 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.17-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6/5 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.18-1	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6/5/4 Rail Alternatives for the 25 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.5.2.18-2	Comparison of Air Emissions from the Proposed Project and DI-RR-6/5/4 Rail Alternatives for the 40 Percent Rail Modal Split
5.6.2.1-1	Area, Elevations, and Adjacent 100-Year flood Elevations at Alternative Upland Disposal Sites

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.

- 2.1.1-1 Projected Demand and Terminal Capacity
- 3.3.1-1 Proposed Project Locations
3.3.1-2 Proposed Terminal Facilities and Preferred Channel Configuration
- 3.3.2-1 Location of the Charleston Ocean Dredged Material Disposal Site
- 3.3.3-1 Location of the Proposed Access Road and Rail
- 3.3.5-1 Proposed Wharf Design
3.3.5-2 Typical Access Road Sections
3.3.5-3 Proposed Road Bridge Profile
3.3.5-4 Typical Railroad Sections
3.3.5-5 (a & b) Locations of Wetland Fill and Trestle
3.3.5-6 Typical Sections of Proposed Rail Bridge
- 3.5.1-1 Existing Terminal Locations in Charleston Harbor
3.5.1-2 Alternative Terminal Locations Outside Charleston Harbor
3.5.1-3 Savannah River Site Alternative
3.5.1-4 Alternative New Terminal Locations Within Charleston Harbor
- 3.6.1-1 Alternative Road and Rail Locations
- 3.7.1-1 Alternative Wando Reach Channel Improvement Plans
3.7.2-1 Alternative Berth Locations
3.7.2-2 Alternative Wharf Designs
- 4.1.2-1 Existing Land Use
- 4.1.3-1 Location of Francis Marion National Forest in South Carolina
4.1.3-2 Francis Marion National Forest : Land Ownership
4.1.3-3 Francis Marion National Forest: Tuxbury Trail
- 4.2.1-1 Total Tri-County Employment by Sectors, 1980-1995
4.2.1-2 Total Tri-County Employment by Sectors, 1980-1995
- 4.3.1-1 Community Impact Areas by 1990 Census Tract
- 4.4.1-1 Transportation Impact Study Area - Daniel Island Terminal Alternative
4.4.1-2 Transportation Impact Study Area - Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative
4.4.1-3 Link Identification Map
- 4.4.2-1 Existing Rail Network
- 4.4.4-1 Existing Drainage Conditions
4.4.4-2 Cainhoy Area Existing Conditions Drainage Map
4.4.4-3 Charleston Naval Base - Existing Drainage Map
4.4.4-4 Columbus Street Terminal Existing Drainage Plan
- 4.4.5-1 Public Services

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure No.

- 4.4.6-1 Locations of Schools in the Study Area
4.5.1-1 Existing Channel System
- 4.6.2-1 Terminal Noise Monitoring Sites
4.6.2-2 Diurnal Variation in Noise Levels - 8/18-8/19 Monitoring Period
4.6.2-3 Diurnal Variation in Noise Levels - 8/19-8/20 Monitoring Period
4.6.2-4 Diurnal Variation in Noise Levels - 8/20-8/21 Monitoring Period
4.6.2-5 Diurnal Breakdown of Hourly Noise
4.6.2-6 Comparison of Monitoring Results
- 4.6.3-1 Ambient Noise Monitoring Sites
- 4.8.2-1 Area of Potential Effect for Historic Architectural Resources
- 4.9-1 Parks and Recreational Facilities 303(c) and 6(f) Sites
4.9.4-1 Boat Ramps and Marinas
- 4.10.2-1 Daniel Island from Hobcaw Point Yacht Club
4.10.2-2 Daniel Island from Hobcaw Point Yacht Club
4.10.2-3 Daniel Island from Cooper River Marina
4.10.2-4 Daniel Island Site from the North on Daniel Island
4.10.3-1 Proposed Transportation Corridor from Daniel Island Residential Area
4.10.3-2 Proposed Location of Road and Rail Crossing Over Beresford Creek
4.10.3-3 Proposed Access Road Alignment West of Cainhoys Road
4.10.3-4 Proposed Access Road Alignment at Cainhoys Road and Thomas Island Road
4.10.3-5 Proposed Rail Alignment between Thomas Island and Cainhoys Peninsula South of I-526
4.10.3-6 Proposed Rail Alignment at Pinefield Road
4.10.3-7 Proposed Rail Alignment at Cainhoys Industrial Park
4.10.3-8 Proposed Rail Alignment from Greater St. John AME Church
4.10.3-9 Proposed Rail Alignment at Jack Primus Road Looking South
4.10.3-10 Proposed Rail Alignment at Jack Primus Road Looking North
4.10.3-11 Proposed Rail Alignment at Brick Church Road
4.10.3-12 Alternative Rail and Road Corridor #3 at South Part of Daniel Island
4.10.3-13 Alternative Rail Corridor #3 at Village Road
4.10.3-14 Alternative Rail Corridor #6 at Jack Primus Road
4.10.4-1 Naval Base from Shipyard River
4.10.4-2 Northern Access Corridor to Naval Base Site at Spruill Avenue
4.10.4-3 Southern Access Corridor to Naval Base Site at Pittsburg Avenue and Spruill Avenue
- 4.12.2-1 Particulate Matter Measured at Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge
- 4.13-1 Berkeley County Soils Map
4.13-2 Berkeley County Prime Farmland Soils and Soils of Statewide Importance
- 4.14.2-1 Hazardous Materials Sites
4.14.2-2 Charleston Naval Base Environmental Investigative Zone Map
- 4.15-1 Existing Shoreline Conditions

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure No.

- 4.16-1 FEMA Floodplain Map - Existing Conditions
- 4.17.1-1 Outcrop of Atlantic Coastal Aquifers in South Carolina
4.17.1-2 Geologic Sections of the South Carolina Coastal Plain
- 4.17.2-1 Cooper River Basin, Coastal Basin, and Ashley River Sub-basin in the Catawba-Santee Watershed
- 4.17.2-2 SCDHEC and USGS Water Quality Monitoring Stations
- 4.17.2-3 NPDES Permitted Point Source Discharges
- 4.17.2-4 Location of USGS Streamflow Measurement Stations
- 4.17.2-5 U.S. Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Tidal Bench Mark Stations
- 4.18-1 Location of Sediment Sampling Stations
- 4.19-1 Biotic Communities
- 4.19-2 Upland Biotic Communities
- 4.20-1 Wetland Biotic Communities
- 4.21-1 Field Survey Study Area for Endangered Plant Species
- 4.21-2 Field Survey Study Area for Sensitive Plant Species
- 4.21-3 Field Survey Study Area for the Flatwoods Salamander
- 4.21-4 Field Survey Study Area for the Red-Cockaded Woodpecker
- 4.21-5 Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Cluster Locations

SECTION 5.0

LIST OF FIGURES

Section

- 5.1.1-1 Future Land Use
- 5.1.2-1 Cargo Throughput
- 5.1.4.1-1 Year 2020 No-Build Alternative Level of Service
- 5.1.10-1 New Building in Cainhoy Industrial Park West of Clements Ferry Road
- 5.1.10-2 New Industrial Building West of Clements Ferry Road on Cainhoy Peninsula
- 5.1.12-1 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from the No-Action Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.1.12-2 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions from the No-Action Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.1.12-3 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions from the No-Action Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.1.12-4 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions from the No-Action Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.1.12-5 Total Particulate Matter Emissions from the No-Action Alternative by Modal Split

- 5.2.3-1 Tri-County Population, 2000 to 2020 - Action and No-Action Projections
- 5.2.3-2 Proposed Residential Relocations
- 5.2.3-3 Proposed Business Relocation
- 5.2.4.1-1 Trip Distribution Daniel Island Site
- 5.2.4.1-2 Year 2020 Levels of Service - Daniel Island Alternative
- 5.2.4.2-1 Proposed Rail Alignment and At-Grade Crossings
- 5.2.4.4-1 Storm Drainage Master Plan - Phase 1, 2, and 3
- 5.2.4.4-2 Storm Drainage Master Plan - Phases 4 and 5
- 5.2.4.4-3 Cainhoy Area Proposed Conditions Drainage Map
- 5.2.6-1 65 dBA DNL Contour for Year 2020 for 25 and 40 Percent Rail Splits
- 5.2.6-2 65 dBA DNL Contour for Year 2020 for 25 and 40 Percent Rail Splits
- 5.2.6-3 65 dBA DNL Contour for Year 2020 for 25 and 40 Percent Rail Splits
- 5.2.6-4 65 dBA DNL Contour for Year 2020 for 25 and 40 Percent Rail Splits
- 5.2.6-5 65 dBA DNL Contour for Year 2020 for 25 and 40 Percent Rail Splits
- 5.2.10-1 Wando Terminal from Daniel Island
- 5.2.10-2 Wando Terminal from Daniel Island
- 5.2.10-3 Wando Welch Terminal from Long Point Road
- 5.2.10-4 I-526 on Bridge over Wetlands Northeast of Beresford Creek
- 5.2.10-5 Isle of Palms Connector West of Isle of Palms
- 5.2.10-6 Isle of Palms Connector at Isle of Palms
- 5.2.10-7 EC&B Rail Line on Trestle at Crossing East Branch of Cooper River
- 5.2.10-8 EC&B Rail Line in Francis Marion National Forest
- 5.2.10-9 EC&B Rail Line in Francis Marion National Forest
- 5.2.10-10 EC&B Rail Line Crossing Forest Road Wando-A in Francis Marion National Forest
- 5.2.12-1 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.2.12-2 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.2.12-3 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.2.12-4 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.2.12-5 Total Particulate Matter Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
- 5.2.12-6 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-7 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-8 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-9 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-10 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-11 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-12 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
- 5.2.12-13 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split

SECTION 5.0
LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Section

- 5.2.12-14 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.2.12-15 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.2.16-1 FEMA Floodplain Map Proposed Conditions
5.2.21-1 Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Cluster Locations Along Proposed Rail Alignment
- 5.3.1.4-1 Trip Distribution - Former Naval Base Site
5.3.1.4-2 Year 2020 Levels of Service Daniel Island Plus Former Naval Base Alternative
5.3.1.12-1 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.1.12-2 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.1.12-3 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.1.12-4 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.1.12-5 Total Particulate Matter Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.1.12-6 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-7 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-8 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-9 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-10 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-11 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-12 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-13 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-14 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.1.12-15 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
- 5.3.2.12-1 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.2.12-2 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.2.12-3 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.2.12-4 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.2.12-5 Total Particulate Matter Emissions From the Proposed Project Alternative by Modal Split
5.3.2.12-6 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-7 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-8 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-9 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-10 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 25 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-11 Total Volatile Organic Compound Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-12 Total Carbon Monoxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-13 Total Nitrogen Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-14 Total Sulfur Oxide Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
5.3.2.12-15 Total Particulate Matter Emissions for the 40 Percent Modal Split
- 5.4.1.14-1 Hazardous Material Sites with Road and Rail Alignment Alternatives
- 5.5.1.4-1 Trip Distribution Daniel Island Site (Access Through New Interchange)
5.5.2.1-1 Rail Alternatives and At-Grade Crossings
5.5.2.1-2 Red-Cockaded Woodpecker Cluster Locations and Alternative Rail Alignments