

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): August 25, 2021 ORM Number: SAC-2019-01786 Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹: State: SC City: Charleston County: Charleston County Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 32.804729 Longitude -80.046169

II. FINDINGS

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
 - The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A.
 - There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
 - There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)³

(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

Γ	(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Ratio	nale for (a)(3) Determination
[N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

(a)	(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

	$\frac{15((0)(1) - (0)}{2}$		
Exclusion Name		Exclusion⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Excluded Waters (B)(1) B	1.486 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Waters (B)(1) B is a wetland that is a closed polygon located within the boundaries of the project review area. The wetland is surrounded by uplands. The northern boundary of the project review area is Henry Tecklenburg Drive. Across Henry Tecklenburg to the north of the project review area is a tract of land with an existing Approved Jurisdictional Determination (SAC-2018-01616) which shows that there are uplands immediately adjacent to/north of Henry Tecklenburg. Drive. Therefore, the wetland does not continue on the north side of Henry Tecklenburg. The wetland is not contiguous or directly abutting an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. In addition, this wetland does not meet any of the other (a)(4) criteria for adjacency and thus is an excluded water pursuant to (b)(1).
Excluded Waters (B)(1)A	0.837 acre	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	Excluded Waters (B)(1) A wetland is a closed polygon located within the boundaries of the project review area. The wetland is surrounded by uplands. The wetland approaches the eastern boundary of the project review area; however, based on an existing AJD, SAC-2020-01170, on the adjacent property, the wetland does not continue offsite. Therefore, the wetland does not contiguous or directly abutting an (a)(1)- (a)(3) water. In addition, this wetland does not meet any of the other (a)(4) criteria for adjacency and thus is an excluded water pursuant to (b)(1).

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - X Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Deaton Tract Submittal, Newkirk Environmental, July 15, 2021
 - This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD. Rationale: *N/A*.
 - ____ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A
 - **X** Photographs: Google Earth 1/28/21.
 - Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: N/A.
 - Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A
 - Antecedent Precipitation Tool: <u>N/A.</u>
 - X USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Yonges loamy fine sand, Wadmalaw fine sandy loam

 $^{^{1}}$ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

<u>X</u>	USFWS NWI maps: PFO1A
	USGS topographic maps: N/A.

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information	
USGS Sources	N/A.	
USDA Sources	N/A.	
NOAA Sources	N/A.	
USACE Sources	Reg Viewer	
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.	
Other Sources	N/A.	

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A

C. Additional comments to support AJD: The two wetlands onsite are excluded and are therefore nonjurisdictional and not regulated by the Corps.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.