



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, CHARLESTON DISTRICT
1519 TAYLOR STREET, COLUMBIA, SC 29201-2918

CESAC-RD

August 4, 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime
Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322
(2023),¹ [SAC-2025-00515, Richtex Road Site AJD, Fairfield County, SC](#)

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.² AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.³ For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),⁴ the Clean Water Act (CWA) implementing regulations published by the Department of the Army in 1986 and amended in 1993 (references 2.a. and 2.b. respectively), the 2008 *Rapanos-Carabell* guidance (reference 2.c.), and other applicable guidance, relevant case law and longstanding practice, (collectively the pre-2015 regulatory regime), and the *Sackett* decision (reference 2.d.) in evaluating jurisdiction.

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. The features addressed in this AJD were evaluated consistent with the definition of “waters of the United States” found in the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. This AJD did not rely on the 2023 “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” as amended on 8 September 2023 (Amended 2023 Rule) because, as of the date of this decision, the Amended 2023 Rule is not applicable in this state due to litigation.

¹ While the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.

² 33 CFR 331.2.

³ Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

Name of Aquatic Resource	Acres (AC.)/Linear Feet (L.F.)	Waters of the U.S. (WOUS)	Section 404/ Section 10
Non-jurisdictional Wetland E (emergent)	1.39 AC	No	None
Non-jurisdictional Wetland J (emergent)	0.34 AC	No	None
Non-jurisdictional Wetland L (emergent)	0.23 AC	No	None
Non-jurisdictional Wetland P (emergent)	0.12 AC	No	None
Non-jurisdictional Wetland S (emergent)	0.45 AC	No	None

2. REFERENCES.

- a. Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, 51 FR 41206 (November 13, 1986).
- b. Clean Water Act Regulatory Programs, 58 FR 45008 (August 25, 1993).
- c. U.S. EPA & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in *Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (December 2, 2008)
- d. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. __, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)
- e. EPA / HQ joint memo, MEMORANDUM TO THE FIELD BETWEEN THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY CONCERNING THE PROPER IMPLEMENTATION OF "CONTINUOUS SURFACE CONNECTION" UNDER THE DEFINITION OF "WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES" UNDER THE CLEAR WATER ACT, dated March 12, 2025

3. REVIEW AREA. [The AJD review area is limited to the specified review area depicted on the attached figure "Approximate Waters Map – Richtex Road Site"](#)
- a) [Project area size: 19 acres](#)
 - b) [Center coordinates of the review area: 34.1942°, -81.1714°](#)
 - c) [Nearest City: Winnsboro](#)
 - d) [County: Fairfield](#)
 - e) [State: South Carolina](#)

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. N/A
5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS. N/A
6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS⁵: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.⁶ N/A
7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the pre-2015 regulatory regime. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.
 - a. TNWs (a)(1): N/A
 - b. Interstate Waters (a)(2): N/A
 - c. Other Waters (a)(3): N/A
 - d. Impoundments (a)(4): N/A
 - e. Tributaries (a)(5): N/A
 - f. The territorial seas (a)(6): N/A
 - g. Adjacent wetlands (a)(7): N/A

⁵ 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

⁶ This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

- a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified as “generally non-jurisdictional” in the preamble to the 1986 regulations (referred to as “preamble waters”).⁷ Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA as a preamble water.
N/A
- b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area identified as “generally not jurisdictional” in the *Rapanos* guidance. Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA based on the criteria listed in the guidance.
N/A
- c. Describe aquatic resources and features identified within the review area as waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA. Include the size of the waste treatment system within the review area and describe how it was determined to be a waste treatment system.
N/A
- d. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area determined to be prior converted cropland in accordance with the 1993 regulations (reference 2.b.). Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be prior converted cropland.
N/A
- e. Describe aquatic resources (i.e. lakes and ponds) within the review area, which do not have a nexus to interstate or foreign commerce, and prior to the January 2001 Supreme Court decision in “*SWANCC*,” would have been jurisdictional based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule.” Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature, and how it was determined to be an “isolated water” in accordance with *SWANCC*.
N/A
- f. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the pre-2015 regulatory regime consistent with the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett* (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water).

⁷ 51 FR 41217, November 13, 1986.

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

Aquatic Resource	Size (acre)	Rationale
Non-jurisdictional Wetland E (emergent)	1.39 AC	<p>This wetland exhibited hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and indicators of hydrology, which satisfied the criteria set forth in the 1987 Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual and the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement. All water located within or draining toward this wetland had no discernible or traceable outfall or connection to any Waters of the US (WOUS).</p> <p>USACE field site visit on 14July2025 determined that this wetland has no obvious discrete surface connection. The wetland lies on the western side of Richtex Road with a possible channel feature indicated by LiDAR going under the road and to the south towards Little River on the southeast boundary of the property (over 400 feet). The channel feature on the other side of Richtex Road is heavily vegetated, lacks OHWM with no flowing water, and appears to be the low spot at the culvert crossing under the road. LiDAR indicates this feature loses definition 100 feet after the road crossing, over 200 feet from the Little River. The channel feature does not appear to be a RPW and does not appear to convey water on a regular basis.</p> <p>Based on the information above, these features do not abut a requisite water and do not meet the definition of "waters of the United States."</p>
Non-jurisdictional Wetland J (emergent)	0.34 AC	<p>This wetland exhibited hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and indicators of hydrology, which satisfied the criteria set forth in the 1987 Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual and the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement. All water located within or draining toward this wetland had no discernible or traceable outfall or connection to any Waters of the US (WOUS).</p> <p>USACE field site visit on 14July2025 determined that this wetland is depressional with no obvious discrete surface connection. There is a large berm in between this wetland and the nearest stream to the south with no breaks leading to the stream. No other features were visible on LiDAR or in the field.</p> <p>Based on the information above, these features do not abut a requisite water and do not meet the definition of "waters of the United States."</p>

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

Non-jurisdictional Wetland L (emergent)	0.23 AC	<p>This wetland exhibited hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and indicators of hydrology, which satisfied the criteria set forth in the 1987 Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual and the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement. All water located within or draining toward this wetland had no discernible or traceable outfall or connection to any Waters of the US (WOUS).</p> <p>USACE field site visit on 14July2025 determined that this wetland is depressional with no obvious discrete surface connection. There are uplands surrounding the wetland with one feature indicated by LiDAR that could be a connection, but during the site visit this feature was found to be erosional that cannot be classified as a Relatively Permanent Water. This erosional feature lacks OHWM, contains rooted plants, leaf litter, and loses channel definition 40 feet before reaching the streambank to the north.</p> <p>Based on the information above, these features do not abut a requisite water and do not meet the definition of "waters of the United States."</p>
Non-jurisdictional Wetland P (emergent)	0.12 AC	<p>This wetland exhibited hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and indicators of hydrology, which satisfied the criteria set forth in the 1987 Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual and the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement. All water located within or draining toward this wetland had no discernible or traceable outfall or connection to any Waters of the US (WOUS).</p> <p>USACE field site visit on 14July2025 determined that this wetland is depressional with no obvious discrete surface connection. The wetland is surrounded by uplands on all sides with no channel features or low lying areas indicated by LiDAR or in the field.</p> <p>Based on the information above, these features do not abut a requisite water and do not meet the definition of "waters of the United States."</p>

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

Non-jurisdictional Wetland S (emergent)	0.45 AC	<p>This wetland exhibited hydric soils, hydrophytic vegetation, and indicators of hydrology, which satisfied the criteria set forth in the 1987 Corps' Wetland Delineation Manual and the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Regional Supplement. All water located within or draining toward this wetland had no discernible or traceable outfall or connection to any Waters of the US (WOUS).</p> <p>USACE field site visit on 14 July 2025 determined that this wetland is depressional with no obvious discrete surface connection. The wetland is surrounded by uplands on all sides with no channel features or low lying areas indicated by LiDAR or in the field.</p> <p>Based on the information above, these features do not abut a requisite water and do not meet the definition of "waters of the United States."</p>
-----------------------------------------	---------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

9. DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

- a. Agent report dated May 6, 2025
 - I. Field photos (field visit March 14, 2024)
 - II. Wetland Determination Field Data Sheets
 - III. Feature Description Narratives
 - IV. USGS Topo and USDA Soils Maps
 - V. DEM and NWI Maps
- b. USACE field visit conducted July 14, 2025
 - I. Site Visit Notes
 - II. Site Visit photographs
- c. National Regulatory Viewer Layers accessed May 13, 2025
 - I. USFWS NWI Map Service
 - II. USGS NHD Map Service
 - III. NRCS SSURGO Map Service
 - IV. USDA Soils Hydric Class Map Service
 - V. USGS 3D Elevation Program (3DEP) Map Service
 - VI. USGS 3DEP Bare Earth DEM Dynamic Map Service
 - VII. ORM Project layers

CESAC-RD

SUBJECT: Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023), [SAC-2025-00515](#)

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION: N/A

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR's structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.



DO NOT USE FOR SITE DESIGN.

Wetlands were delineated by PEC in February and March 2024. Wetlands were marked with pink flagging with "Wetland Boundary" in black letters. The delineation had been surveyed but not verified by the US Army Corps of Engineers at the time this map was prepared. PEC assumes no liability for use of this map. See Wetlands Delineation Map prepared by American Engineering Consultants dated April 30, 2025, for accurate locations and extents of wetlands/ waters delineated on the property.

Site consists of a portion of Fairfield County Tax Map 230-00-00-002-000.

Requestor of AJD is Fairfield County.

SC Hwy 215

34.1970
-81.1720

34.1967
-81.1716

34.1960
-81.1696

34.1961
-81.1701

34.1955
-81.1722

34.1953
-81.1706

34.1953
-81.1724

Photo 6
NJW E
1.39 ac

Photo 7
NJW S
0.45 ac

34.1942
-81.1714

34.1928
-81.1729

34.1930
-81.1727

NJW J
0.34 ac

Photo 10
NJW P
0.12 ac

34.1940
-81.1693

34.1920
-81.1736

34.1930
-81.1713

PL is CL
of Little River

34.1921
-81.1722

34.1919
-81.1723

34.1916
-81.1724

NJW L
0.23 ac

Richtex Road

Green - non-jurisdictional wetlands (NJW, 2.53 ac)
Total area in yellow for AJD = ~19 acres

0 200 400 800 Feet

Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community