## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):

## FEB 2 3 2018

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 1 of 1; SAC-2017-01967 Foxbank King Tract

## C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Berkeley County City: Moncks Corner Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.0947 °N, Long. -80.0478 °N. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Canterhill Swamp

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050201

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different ID form.

## D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 9, 2018

Field Determination. Date(s): N/A

## SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Pick List "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: N/A.

## B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Fick fust "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): <sup>1</sup>
  - TNWs, including territorial seas
  - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
  - Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
  - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
  - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands; acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>
  - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated in upland. They are not open water ponds and are not heavily vegetated however, the pond in the southeastern portion of the property does seem to contain some algae. They

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

also do not meet the three parameters of a wetland. There is also a ditch on the property; it is considered nonjurisdictional due to a lack of relatively permanent flow, an abundance of leaf litter in its bottom. The ditch was also straight indicating that it was man-made. The ditch was appears to have been excavated in uplands. For these reasons, the ponds and ditch were deemed non-jurisdictional.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWS

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. Only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

 Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

i)	General Area Conditions:	
×	Watershed size:	
	Drainage area:	
	Average annual rainfall:	inches
	Average annual snowfall:	inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through <u>Rick List</u> tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Tributary stream order, if known:

	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):	•
	• •	Tributary is: 🔲 Natural	·
		Artificial (man-made). Explain:	
		Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:	
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):	
		Average width: feet	
		Average depth: feet	*2
		Average side slopes;	
		Drimony tributory substrate composition (shade all that apple)	
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):	
		$\Box$ Cobbles $\Box$ Gravel $\Box$ Muck	
÷0.		Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover:	
		Other. Explain:	
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:	
		Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:	
		Tributary geometry:	
		Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	
		And and Branch (all and Branch) to	
	(c)	Flow:	
	• /	Tributary provides for:	
	2	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year:	
8	à	Describe flow regime:	
		Other information on duration and volume:	
		Surface flow is: Characteristics:	
		Market Control of the second se	
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:	
		Dye (or other) test performed:	
	(*)	Tributary has (check all that apply):	
		Bed and banks	
		$\square$ OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):	
	2	□ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris □ changes in the character of soil □ destruction of terrestrial vegetation	
		$\square$ shelving $\square$ the presence of wrack line	
		vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting	
		☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ scour	
		sediment deposition	
		water staining abrupt change in plant community	а.
		other (list):	
		Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:	
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
		High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by:	45
		oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum;	
		☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings;	
		physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.	
		tidal gauges	
		other (list):	
(iii)		nical Characteristics:	
		acterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc	:.).
		Explain:	
	Identi	ify specific pollutants, if known:	
car	he dee	cribed by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.	
- out		discontinuity in the OHUM does not necessarily eaver invicting a constraint of the the stream temporarily flows into an experiment	ere

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which more more a structure of a natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
<sup>7</sup>Ibid.
Page 3 of 7

	(ř	v) Bi	<ul> <li>iological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):</li> <li>Riparian corridor, Characteristics (type, average width):</li> <li>Wetland fringe. Characteristics:</li> <li>Habitat for:</li> <li>Federally Listed species. Explain findings:</li> </ul>	
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	125
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
2.	CI	iarac	cteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	20
	(2)	DL	arrival Chausstanistics	
	(i)		hysical Characteristics: ) <u>General Wetland Characteristics:</u>	
		(a)	Properties:	
		10	Wetland size: acres	
			Wetland type. Explain:	
			Wetland quality. Explain:	
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
		14110		
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	
			Flow is: Explain:	
			0. 6 A	
			Surface flow is:	
			Characteristics:	•
			Subsurface flow: Explain findings:	. ·
			Dye (or other) test performed:	÷.
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:	•
	×.		<ul> <li>Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:</li> <li>Ecological connection. Explain:</li> <li>Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:</li> </ul>	
		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•		(đ)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW, Flow is from:	•
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Rick List floodplain.	
•				
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality characteristics; etc.). Explain: ntify specific pollutants, if known:	v; general watershed
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	
		H	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for:	
		Ц	Franta for.	
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
		•	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.	Chai	racte	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)	
			wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis:	
		Appr	roximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.	

For each wetland, specify the following:

 Directly abuts? (Y/N)
 Size (in acres)
 Directly abuts? (Y/N)
 Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)

 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 Image: Size (in acres)
 </tr

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

# C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- 1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

   Image: TNWs:
   linear feet

   Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:
   acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
  - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

acres.

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft.).
- Other non-wetland waters:
- Identify type(s) of waters:

# 3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft.).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
  - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
    - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
    - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
  - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
- Explain:
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>
  - which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
  - I from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
  - which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
  - Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
  - Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

#### See Footnote # 3.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

		•
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft.).	
	Other non-wetland waters; acres.	
	Identify type(s) of waters:	
	Wetlands: acres.	
B	F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPL	V).
	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in	
19	Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.	Tuto 1967 Corps of Difficers
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commer	0e .
	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have b	een regulated based solely on the
	"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).	
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisd	intion Byplaint
	Other: (explain, if not covered above): Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated in	
	ponds and are not heavily vegetated however, the pond in the southeastern portion of the property doe	
	They also do not meet the three parameters of a wetland. There is also a ditch on the property; it is contracted and the property is the point of the property.	
	lack of relatively permanent flow, an abundance of leaf litter in its bottom. The ditch was also straight	
	The ditch was appears to have been excavated in uplands. For these reasons, the ponds and ditch were	
	The alten was appears to have been excavated in aplands. For these reasons, the points and offen were	ucemed non-juriscicuonai.
4	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential bas	is of invitation in the MPP
	factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated ag	
		ficulture), using best professional
	judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear fect width (ft.).	
3		
	Lakes/ponds: acres.	3
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
	Wetlands: acres.	
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Signifi	cant Nexus" standard where such
	a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):	cant ivexus standard, where such
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft.).	
	Lakes/ponds: acres.	
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:	
	Wetlands: acres.	
SE	SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES	
SE	SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.	
2		d in case file and, where checked
2	A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included	d in case file and, where checked
2	A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	d in case file and, where checked
2	A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randelph/Oates Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conclusion"</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conclu- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conclu- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> </ul>	1.00 P
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> </ul>	ısion"
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>W.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount</li> </ul>	ısion"
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>W.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluded Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluing Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randelph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant." Concurs with concherence of the optical constraint of the optical constraint of the applicant/consultant.</li> <li>USG sological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USG sological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Pho</li></ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluded Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s).</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or ] Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conchast of the concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or in Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conchast of the concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or in Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or 1 Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
2	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conchast of the concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or in Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET.
Α.	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: Aerial (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> <li>Other information (please specify): Site Photographs</li> </ul>	ısion" Holly, SC Topographic GET. uth Carolina
В. 4	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with conclued office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> <li>Other information (please specify): Site Photographs</li> </ul> B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated	usion" Holly, SC Topographic GET. uth Carolina
В. 4	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randelph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>WSDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> <li>Other information (please specify): Site Photographs</li> </ul> 8. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated water ponds and are not heavily vegetated however, the pond in the southeastern portion of the property of the pro	usion" Holly, SC Topographic GET. uth Carolina I in upland. They are not open loes seem to contain some algae.
в	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randolph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Blevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: Aerial (Name &amp; Date): Aerial Photograph Foxbank King Tract, Berkeley, So Or Or Other (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> <li>Other information (please specify): Site Photographs</li> </ul> 8. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated water ponds and are not heavily vegetated however, the pond in the southeastern portion of the property or They also do not meet the three parameters of a wetland. There is also a ditch on the property is is considered.	usion" Holly, SC Topographic GET. uth Carolina I in upland. They are not open loes seem to contain some algae. lered non-jurisdictional due to a
В. 4	<ul> <li>A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included and requested, appropriately reference sources below):</li> <li>Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Randelph/Oates</li> <li>Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. "Concurs with concluded office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.</li> <li>Data sheets prepared by the Corps:</li> <li>Corps navigable waters' study:</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS NHD data.</li> <li>USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: Portion of The USGS 7.5 Min. Mount Quadrangle.</li> <li>WSDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: WAHEE, CRAVEN, MEG National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U42P, U11, U41, PFO4Bd</li> <li>State/Local wetland inventory map(s):</li> <li>FEMA/FIRM maps:</li> <li>100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)</li> <li>Photographs: A Aerial (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting case law:</li> <li>Applicable/supporting scientific literature:</li> <li>Other information (please specify): Site Photographs</li> </ul> 8. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Two ponds are on property, but they were excavated water ponds and are not heavily vegetated however, the pond in the southeastern portion of the property of the pro	Holly, SC Topographic GET. d in upland. They are not open loes seem to contain some algae. lered non-jurisdictional due to a licating that it was man-made.