# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
SECTION I.	DACKOROUND	II II OIMMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD	): Februar	v 3, 20	)22
7 3.0	KEI OKI COM LETION DATE I OK MITKO VED GUNGDICTION ME DETERMINATION	100	,. I Col ual	, 0, =	•

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 1 of 2; SAC-2021-00568 Enterprise Parkway Site
С.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Lexington County City: West Columbia Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.9551 °, Long81.1421 °.  Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83  Name of nearest waterbody: Savana Branch
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Congaree River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0305011001 Congaree Creek  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 3, 2022 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:         Non-wetland waters: Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24 142 linear feet: 4 width (ft) and/or 0.014 acre;         Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11 488 linear feet: 8 width (ft) and/or 0.091 acre.     </li> <li>Wetlands: Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36 0.78 acre;</li> <li>Jurisdictional Wetland W2: 1-56 2.53 acres.</li> </ul>
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. and 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.

Explain:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1	TN	W

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

# 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 91,330 acres

Drainage area: 30 acres

Average annual rainfall: 47.22 inches Average annual snowfall: 1.5 inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not cross or serve as state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24 flows into an unnamed tributary to Savana Branch, which flows into Savana Branch, which flows into Congaree Creek, which flows into the Congaree River, a TNW

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: first order. (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: rip rap exists along areas of the banks. **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 1.5 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less). Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): ⊠ Silts Concrete ☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel Muck Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: rip rap exists in some areas. Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Existing rip rap, appears stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Not evident. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 3-4 % (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: OHWM, firm sandy bottom, lack of vegetation in channel indicates seasonal flow during wetter months. Other information on duration and volume: Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics: flow confined within channel under normal conditions. Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks  $\square$  OHWM<sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris  $\overline{\boxtimes}$ changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting  $\overline{\boxtimes}$ leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events □ water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>7</sup> Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. □ tidal gauges other (list): (iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: water color is clear; surrounding land is forested, with commercial development and roads in the drainage area. Identify specific pollutants, if known: oil and grease from roads and parking lots.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. 
<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		⊠ f ma <u>⊠</u>	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: forested wetland Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36 abuts the tributary.
amphihian	ıc I	_	Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The tributary may provide habitat for small organisms such insects and wildlife such as mammals, reptiles, and birds may also utilize the channel as a food and water source.
-		_	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
consists of m co	Phy	sical Characteristics:	
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size:0.78 acres  Wetland type. Explain:Palustrine forested.  Wetland quality. Explain: natural wetland with mature vegetation.  Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: The wetland does not cross or serve as state boundaries.
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland exhibits conditions typical of hydrologic seasonality.
			Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined</b> Characteristics: Tributary channel within wetland provides a clear drainage connection to downstream waters.
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Soils saturated to 10 inches deep and water table evident at 12 inches.  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  □ Directly abutting □ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 10-15 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.
(	. ,	Cha	mical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: No surface water was present at the time of the consultant's site visit. Surrounding land is forested, with commercial development and roads in the drainage area. tify specific pollutants, if known: oil and grease from roads and parking lots.
		$\boxtimes$	ogical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Maximum riparian buffer is approximately 75 feet wide and ture forest.
			Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Pine and gum trees and saplings/shrubs provide 60% cover.  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: This forested freshwater wetland may enhance a variety of wildlife g diversity through vegetation changes where aquatic systems adjoin uplands. Insects, amphibians, reptiles, mammals, e attracted to this wetland during all or part of their lives for foraging, nesting, and/or shelter.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1

Approximately (0.78) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)
Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36 (Y) 0.78

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetland in the drainage area of the relevant reach performs important functions to filter pollutants and sediments from vehicle traffic and commercial development, ultimately reducing or preventing them from entering the downstream TNW (Congaree River). The wetland also provides flood storage in heavy rain events, habitat for a diversity of aquatic and upland species, and organic carbon in the form of primary productivity, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web in the TNW.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream food webs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

#### FOR THE RECORD ONLY:

The seasonal RPW tributary (Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24) and its abutting wetland (Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36) enhance a variety of wildlife species by providing diversity through vegetation and substrate changes where aquatic systems adjoin uplands. Due to surrounding land use, the wetland acts as a catch basin for adjacent uplands, filtering oil, grease, sediment, and other pollutants to the downstream TNW (Congaree River) and reduces the release of flood waters to the TNW. The tributary transports water, sediment, and other pollutants from adjacent uplands to the TNW. The tributary and wetland are essential in providing organic carbon in the form of their collective primary productivity to downstream waters, resulting in the nourishment of the downstream food web in the TNW. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW (Congaree River), this office has determined that there is a Significant Nexus between the review area relevant reach and its adjacent wetland and the downstream TNW.

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALI
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and	Adjacent Wetlands.	Check all that	apply and provide size estin	nates in review area:
	TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.	
	■ Wetland	s adjacent to TNWs:	acres.		

2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11 is a first order stream with a drainage area of approximately 33 acres. This tributary is depicted as a stream on the 1976 USDA-NRCS Soil Survey. This tributary is not shown on the National Wetlands Inventory map or the USGS topographic map; however, the topographic contours signature indicates a topography conducive to the formation of a tributary. The Corps did not conduct a site visit; however, photographs from the consultant document flow in the channel. This tributary has a well-defined channel, continuous bed and bank, and OHWM with vegetation absent, leaf litter washed away, sediment deposition, sediment sorting, and scour. For these reasons, this tributary was determined to have perennial flow.
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24 is a first order stream with a drainage area of approximately 30 acres. This tributary is depicted as a stream on the 1976 USDA-NRCS Soil Survey and on the USGS topographic map. The National Wetlands Inventory map classifies this tributary as an intermittent riverine streambed that is seasonally flooded (R4SBC). The Corps did not conduct a site visit; however, photographs from the consultant document the presence of water in the channel. This tributary has a well-defined channel, continuous bed and bank, and OHWM with vegetation absent, leaf litter washed away, and scour. For these reasons, this tributary was determined to have seasonal flow.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24 142 linear feet, 4 width (ft);  Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11 488 linear feet: 8 width (ft)  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Based on a review of the information provided, soil data, and best available aerial imagery, Jurisdictional Wetland W2: 1-56 in the review area displays a continuous hydrologic surface connection to Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11, a perennial RPW.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Based on a review of the information provided, soil data, and best available aerial imagery, Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36 in the review area displays a continuous hydrologic surface connection to Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24, a seasonal RPW.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36</b> 0.78 acre; <b>Jurisdictional Wetland W2: 1-56</b> 2.53 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10  which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project area is depicted on a map submitted by the consultant entitled "Wetlands/Stream Location Map" dated January 4, 2021.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the data sheets.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	USGS NHD data.
	☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 030501100104 Lower Congaree Creek
$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Lexington.
$\boxtimes$	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO database dated April 26, 2021; and Lexington
Cou	anty South Carolina issued 1976, map sheet 26.
$\boxtimes$	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Map submitted by the consultant entitled "USFWS National Wetlands Inventory
Map	" dated March 2, 2021.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
$\boxtimes$	Photographs: 🖂 Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth Aerial dated February 20, 2021.
	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date): Site photos 1-10 of 10 submitted by the consultant dated March 8, 2021.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** This form documents a perennial RPW (labeled "Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11" on the depiction), its abutting wetland (Jurisdictional Wetland W2: 1-56), a seasonal RPW ("Jurisdictional Tributary (Seasonal) S1: 1-24") and its abutting wetland (Jurisdictional Wetland W1: 1-36). There are continuous hydrologic surface connections between the perennial RPW and its directly abutting wetland and between the seasonal RPW and its directly abutting wetland. Perennial RPWs, seasonal RPWs, and their directly abutting wetlands are jurisdictional. Documentation of a significant nexus between the seasonal RPW and its abutting wetland and the downstream TNW Congaree River is included for the record only. Therefore, all waters documented on this form are waters of the U.S. and are within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 2 of 2; SAC-2021-00568 Enterprise Parkway Site

SECTION I:	RACKGROUND	INFORMATION
DECTION I.	DACINOLID	II II OIMMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD	): February	<i>y</i> 3,	2022
----	--	-----	-------------	-------------	------

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Lexington County City: West Columbia
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.9551 °, Long81.1421 °.
	Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
	Name of nearest waterbody: Savana Branch
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0305011001 Congaree Creek
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
	different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: February 3, 2022 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re <b>Are no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the lew area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  Explain: .

### B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.
---------------------

a.	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): <sup>1</sup>		
		TNWs, including territorial seas	
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs	
		Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters	
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	

#### b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.

# c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

# 2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Present onsite is an upland constructed, concrete lined ditch (labeled "Non-Jurisdictional Feature Concrete Lined Channel" on the depiction) that only drains uplands, does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water, and does not exhibit the features of a tributary. This feature drains into a perennial RPW ("Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11") that is documented on Form 1 of 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW: .				
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:				

#### Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

# 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

Pick List

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size:

# Pick List Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  Tributary geometry: Pick List  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: practerize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: https://example.com/restricts/film/res

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)		Riparian corridor. Character Wetland fringe. Characteris Habitat for:  Federally Listed species.  Fish/spawn areas. Explai  Other environmentally-se Aquatic/wildlife diversit	ristics (type, average width): tics:  Explain findings: in findings: ensitive species. Explain fin		
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacen	t to non-TNW that flow dir	ectly or indirectly into TNW	,
	(i)		Project wetland cross or ser	s n: .	ain: .	
		(b)	General Flow Relationship v Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain:			
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:			
			Subsurface flow: Pick List.  Dye (or other) test pe			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determine Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hyder Ecological connection Separated by berm/b	drologic connection. Explainon. Explain	ı: .	
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to Project wetlands are Pick List Project waters are Pick List Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location	ist river miles from TNW. t aerial (straight) miles from		
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: tracterize wetland system (e.g. characteristics; etc.). Explaintify specific pollutants, if known	n: .	, oil film on surface; water qua	ality; general watershed
	(iii)	Bio	Riparian buffer. Characterist Vegetation type/percent cov Habitat for:  Federally Listed species.  Fish/spawn areas. Explaiting Other environmentally-set Aquatic/wildlife diversity.	stics (type, average width): er. Explain:  Explain findings: in findings: ensitive species. Explain fin		
3.	Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adjace wetland(s) being considered is proximately ( ) acres in		ick List	
			For each wetland, specify th	e following:		
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a
	TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .  Wetlands: acres.
	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:

E.

F.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

(Perennial) S2: 1-11") that is documented on Form 1 of 2.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checker and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project area is depicted on a map submitted by the consultant entitled "Wetlands/Stream Location Map" dated January 4, 2021.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the data sheets.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990.  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 030501100104 Lower Congaree Creek  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Lexington.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO database dated April 26, 2021; and Lexington County South Carolina issued 1976, map sheet 26.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Map submitted by the consultant entitled "USFWS National Wetlands Inventory Map" dated March 2, 2021.  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is:  (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Site photos 1-10 of 10 submitted by the consultant dated March 8, 2021.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):
R ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT ID: Precent onsite is an unland constructed, concrete lined ditch (labeled "Non-

Other: (explain, if not covered above): Present onsite is an upland constructed, concrete lined ditch (labeled "Non-Jurisdictional Feature Concrete Lined Channel" on the depiction) that only drains uplands, does not carry a relatively permanent

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Present onsite is an upland constructed, concrete lined ditch (labeled "Non-Jurisdictional Feature Concrete Lined Channel" on the depiction) that only drains uplands, does not carry a relatively permanent flow of water, and does not exhibit the features of a tributary. This feature drains into a perennial RPW ("Jurisdictional Tributary (Perennial) S2: 1-11") that is documented on Form 1 of 2. The ditch is not considered a tributary and does not meet the three parameters to be considered a wetland that would be within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act or Rivers and Harbors Act.