

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January 12, 2018

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SAC-2012-00201-3H Lot 59 Waccamaw Trace

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: **Georgetown County** City: Pawleys Island

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. **33.4885°**, Long. **-79.0883°**

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: **Flagg Pond (Impoundment of Waters of the United States).**

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Coastal South Carolina Drainage: HUC 03040207

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: **January 11, 2018.**

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There **are no** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Maps and report submitted by S&ME/Plat entitled RE-SUREVY OF / LOT 59 / DIVISION 1 / WACCMAN TRACE / PREPARED FOR / PORT CITY HOMES / NORTH LITCHFIELD BEACH, dated December 19, 2017, prepared by GB Surveying..
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Magnolia Beach: Quad depicts forested uplands. Quad does not depict any symbol which typically represents wetlands or other Waters of the US.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Georgetown County Soil Survey page 26 depicts the project area as being comprised of the non-hydric Soil type of Chipley for the project area.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: GTNWI depicts the project area as PMM1B (non-forested wetland) & U42P (upland planted pines).
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): SCDNR 2006 and 2016 Google Earth.
- or Other (Name & Date): Site photos provided by S&ME dated 11/14/17.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SAC 2012-00201-3 Letter dated , and DA Permit SAC14-99-0694.
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: The review area contains 0.27 acres of uplands depicted as U-1 and U-2 on the associated

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

plat referenced in Section III of this document. The total tract acreage is 0.76; however, only 0.27 acres were evaluated due to the remaining area being located within a preserved area that has been placed in a Restrictive Covenants un Department of the Army permit SAC-14-99-0694..