# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebo

SECTION I.	<b>BACKGROUND I</b>	NEODMATION
SECTION I:	BAUKUKUUNII	INFUKWALIUN

Wetlands: 2.54 acres.

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 1 of 1; SAC-2019-00021 Galleria Project Site	
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Horry County City: North Myrtle Beach Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.775737° N, Long78.793963° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83  Name of nearest waterbody: Singleton Lake Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: Singleton Swash Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Coastal SC Drainage HUC: 03040208_03  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are re-	
	different JD form.	
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  Office (Desk) Determination. Date:  Field Determination. Date(s): December 14, 2018	
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR iew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or Explain:	
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.	
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review	
	1. Waters of the U.S.	
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands	
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.	

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

## SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

### l. TNW

Identify TNW: Singleton Swash.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: Singleton swash is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide..

### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

# B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF A

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if an determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relative waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g. months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. CEPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigation though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus e consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that canalytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributand offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditio	ns:
	Watershed size:	Pick List;
	Drainage area:	Pick List
	Average annual rainfall:	inches
	Average annual snowfall	l: inches
(ii)	Physical Characteristic	·····
(11)	(a) Relationship with T	
	` ' =	directly into TNW.
	☐ Tributary flows	through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
	Project waters are	Pick List river miles from TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: feet  Average depth: feet  Average side slopes: Pick List
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain:  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  Tributary geometry: Pick List  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow event water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all High Tide Line indicated by:    oil or scum line along shore objects   survey to available datum;   physical markings/characteristics   physical markings;   vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types   tidal gauges   other (list):
	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed cha

	(IV)		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	•	Sical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Pick List Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting  Not directly abutting  Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:  Ecological connection. Explain:  Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: uracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general characteristics; etc.). Explain: utify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii <sub>)</sub>	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	aracto	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

For each wetland, specify the following:



Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the function by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and be of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and free of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or floor TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support func
  other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, cibiological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should below:

- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly int
  findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent we Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting s

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating the seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs 8 that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and ratio indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands on site directly abut the offsite pRPW. A site visit on December revealed not natural or man-made barriers that would obstruct the chemical, physical, and/or be connection between the wetland and the RPW
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicate seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetlabutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.54 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which the with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data sup conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. <sup>9</sup> As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

**Explain:** 

connected by a solid blue line feature. A solid blue line on USGS topographic maps is the symbol for perennial flow. A review of aerial photographs and SC LiDAR reveals the signature of a tributary th

impounded in several places. NWIs depict the feature as an impoundment. .

	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
]	F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corp Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated be "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" start a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.  A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file a
1	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  ☑ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: The site in question is shown "SAC 2019-00021 / Galleria Project Site / Burroughs & Chapin / Horry County, SC" and dated January 25, 2 Associated Land Surveyors.  ☑ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  ☐ Corps navigable waters' study:  ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	<ul> <li>☐ USGS NHD data.</li> <li>☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.</li> <li>☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale &amp; quad name: 1:24k Hand Quad- The USGS topographic survey</li> </ul>

<b>1</b> (	00-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
⊠ Pl	Photographs: 🔯 Aerial (Name & Date): Horry County Aerial Index 99:11226:54, SCDNR Near Infrared 20
Earth	2018
	or Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted by the agent dated November 2018
P1	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SAC 81-2000-1800 issued 3/29/01 and SAC 81
issued	d 1/19/06.
□ A	Applicable/supporting case law: .
□ A	applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
$\boxtimes$ O	other information (please specify): SC Statewide LiDAR Aquistion 2009 LiDAR data.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form addresses a 16.62 acre site that was determined to of jurisdictional freshwater wetlands. Wetlands onsite are separated from uplands by an obvious topographic breadirectly abut an offsite impoundment of a pPRW (Singleton Lake). Singleton Lake drains in to Singleton Swash a t