This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): January 30, 2019
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   - State: South Carolina
   - County/parish/borough: Williamsburg County
   - City: Nesmith
   - Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.6902°, Long. -79.6076°
   - Name of nearest waterbody: No aquatic resources on site.
   - Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0304020508 (Black Mingo Creek)
   - Uncheck if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
   - Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   - Office (Desk) Determination. Date: January 29, 2019

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   - There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   - There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
   - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project area map provided by Horne, LLP, on behalf of the South Carolina Disaster Recovery Office Housing Recovery Program, titled: "Location Map / SC-HM16-05151 / 49 Battery Park Rd / Nesmith, SC 29580 / 33.690259, -79.607665", dated January 20, 2019.
   - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
     - US. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: 0304020508 (Black Mingo Creek)
     - USGS NHD data.
     - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: The Indiantown quadrangle USGS topographic map depicts the site as forested with no aquatic resources, blue line features, or wetland symbology within the project site.
   - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Williamsburg County soil survey shows one soil type within the project site, Chisolm loamy fine sand (2-6% slopes) (CmB), which is listed on the 2016 South Carolina Hydric Soils list as hydric for Williamsburg County.
   - National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI maps depict approximately half of the site as seasonally saturated broad-leaved palustrine forested wetlands that have been partially drained / ditched (PFO1Bd). However, it should be noted the site in its entirety is on a residential lot that has been cleared.
   - State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
   - FEMA/FIRM maps:
     - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - Photographs: [✓] Aerial (Name & Date): Williamsburg 1999 Aerial Index 11235:101; SC DNR 2006; Google Earth 2005-2017;
     - Other (Name & Date): Site photographs provided by Horne, LLP.
   - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
   - Applicable/supporting case law:
   - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

1 This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.
Other information (please specify): LiDAR Digital Elevation Model (DEM) aerial imagery depicts no significant elevation changes, ditching, or other features indicative of aquatic resources within the project site.

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND:

This 0.10 acre project site is located within a previously developed residential lot that currently houses a mobile home and a small section of uplands around the domicile. Aerial imagery from 2005-2017, LiDAR aerial imagery, and recent site photos support that this project site is a non-forested upland residential lot with no aquatic resources within the project boundaries.

The Indiantown quadrangle USGS topographic map depicts the site as forested with no aquatic resources, blue line features, or wetland symbology within the project site. Williamsburg County soil survey shows one soil type within the project site, Chisolm loamy fine sand (2-6% slopes) (CmB), which is listed on the 2016 South Carolina Hydric Soils list as hydric for Williamsburg County. NWI maps depict approximately half of the site as seasonally saturated broad-leaved palustrine forested wetlands that have been partially drained / ditched (PFO1Bd). However, it should be noted the site in its entirety is on a residential lot that has been cleared. LiDAR Digital Elevation Model (DEM) aerial imagery depicts no significant elevation changes, ditching, or other features indicative of aquatic resources within the project site.

This 0.10 acre project site contains 0 acres/linear feet of jurisdictional resources. This site was assessed per the provided project area maps and on a single-basis form.