SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 1 of 6; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: York City: Rock Hill
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784° N, Long. -81.056120° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050103-04
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   □ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015
   □ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   □ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
      □ TNWs, including territorial seas
      □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: PRPW II (Wildcat Creek): 753 linear feet, width (ft) and/or 0.138 acres.
      Wetlands: Wetland TA: 2.701 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon
   assessment are NOT waters or wetlands
      □ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

---
¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
   Watershed size: Pick List ;
   Drainage area: Pick List
   Average annual rainfall: inches
   Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
   (a) Relationship with TNW:
   [ ] Tributary flows directly into TNW.
   [ ] Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
   Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
   Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
   Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
   Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
   Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
   Identify flow route to TNW5: .
   Tributary stream order, if known: .

---

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary** is:
- [ ] Natural
- [ ] Artificial (man-made). Explain: 
- [ ] Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: 

**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: feet
- Average depth: feet
- Average side slopes: **Pick List**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- [ ] Silts
- [ ] Sands
- [ ] Cobble
- [ ] Gravel
- [ ] Concrete
- [ ] Muck
- [ ] Bedrock
- [ ] Vegetation. Type/% cover:
- [ ] Other. Explain: 

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: 

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: 

Tributary geometry: **Pick List**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Pick List**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **Pick List**

Describe flow regime: 

Other information on duration and volume: 

Surface flow is: **Pick List**. Characteristics: 

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: 

Dye (or other) test performed: 

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- [ ] Bed and banks
- [ ] OHWM\(^6\) (check all indicators that apply):
  - [ ] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - [ ] changes in the character of soil
  - [ ] shelving
  - [ ] vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - [ ] leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - [ ] sediment deposition
  - [ ] water staining
  - [ ] other (list):
  - [ ] Discontinuous OHWM.\(^7\) Explain: 

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:
  - [ ] oil or scum line along shore objects
  - [ ] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
  - [ ] physical markings/characteristics
  - [ ] other (list):
- [ ] Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
  - [ ] survey to available datum;
  - [ ] physical markings;
  - [ ] vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: 

Identify specific pollutants, if known: 

---

\(^6\)A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

\(^7\)Ibid.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
  Properties:
  - Wetland size:  acres
  - Wetland type. Explain: .
  - Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
  Flow is: Pick List. Explain: .
  Surface flow is: Pick List
  Characteristics: .
  Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: .
  Dye (or other) test performed: .
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
  Directly abutting
  Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:
  Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
  Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
  Flow is from: Pick List.
  Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
  Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .
  Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
  - Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
  - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
  - Habitat for:
    - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
    - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
    - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
    - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
   All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List
   Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  .

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself; then go to Section III.D:  .

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:  .

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:  .

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:  .

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: PRPW H (Wildcat Creek) is a large, named tributary that is represented on the USGS
topographical map as a solid blue line. It is described by the consultant as having geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with what would be expected associated with a perennial stream. The consultant also noted that the channel had observed flow, clear bed and bank, substrate sorting, algae, and fish. Available data led this office to conclude that the tributary has a perennial flow regime.

☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:  .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
☒ Tributary waters: PRPW H: 753 linear feet (estimated from descriptions and mapping) 10-15 width (ft).
☐ Other non-wetland waters:  acres.
Identify type(s) of waters:  .

3. Non-RPWs\(^8\) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

☐ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
☒ Tributary waters:  linear feet width (ft).
☐ Other non-wetland waters:  acres.
Identify type(s) of waters:  .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

☒ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
☒ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland TA is described by the consultant as flowing offsite and emptying directly into PRPW H without separation by a berm or barrier of any kind. Wetland TA is within the floodplain of PRPW H.

☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:  .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.701 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

☐ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

☐ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.\(^9\)

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
☐ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
☐ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

Explain:

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):\(^{10}\)

\(\text{\(^{8}\)See Footnote \# 3.}\)
\(\text{\(^{9}\)To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.}\)
\(\text{\(^{10}\)Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.}\)
which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
Other non-wetland waters: acres.
Identify type(s) of waters: .
Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate or foreign commerce.
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
Lakes/ponds: acres.
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
Lakes/ponds: acres.
Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply) - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
USGS NHD data.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winnsboro).
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
FEMA/FIRM maps: .
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
or Other (Name & Date): Photos submitted with delineation report, Landscape and Data Point Photos, 1-13 of 13, dated 05-30-13, 06-19-13, 08-22-13, 10-23-13.
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
Applicable/supporting case law: .
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
Other information (please specify): 
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B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents two jurisdictional features, Wetland TA and PRPW H. Wetland TA is described by the consultant as flowing offsite and emptying directly into PRPW H without separation by a berm or barrier of any kind. Wetland TA is within the floodplain of PRPW H. PRPW H (Wildcat Creek) is a large, named tributary that is represented on the USGS topographical map as a solid blue line. It is described by the consultant as having geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with what would be expected associated with a perennial stream. The consultant also noted that the channel had observed flow, clear bed and bank, substrate sorting, algae, and fish. Based upon this information, PRPW H has been determined to be a perennially flowing tributary and is therefore jurisdictional per guidance from RGL 07-01. Wetland TA directly abuts PRPW H and is therefore jurisdictional per guidance from RGL 07-01. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 2 of 6; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   State: South Carolina  County/parish/borough: York  City: Rock Hill
   Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784° N, Long. -81.056120° W.
   Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek
   Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek
   Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050103-04
   Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc….) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   □ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015
   □ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   □ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   □ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
   Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

   1. Waters of the U.S.
      a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
         □ TNWs, including territorial seas
         □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
         □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
         □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
         □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

      b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
         Non-wetland waters: Jurisdictional PRPW G: 3096 linear feet; width (ft) and/or 0.608 acres.
         Wetlands: Wetland TH:0.633 acre  Wetland TH: 0.335 acre  Wetland TF: 0.113 acre  Wetland TB: 0.158 acre  Wetland TC: 0.348 acre  Wetland TD: 0.137 acre  Wetland TE: 0.057 acres.

      c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Established by OHWM, Pick List
         Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

   2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

---

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: A small non-jurisdictional linear conveyance was investigated by the consultant. This feature has no ordinary high water mark. It is described by the consultant as not meeting wetland parameters and is shown in photographs provided by the consultant as being a purely erosional feature and not a water of any kind. Therefore, this feature has been determined to be non-jurisdictional.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1 only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

   Identify TNW: .

   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

   Watershed size: 185,010 acres; 03050103-04
   Drainage area: 700 acres
   Average annual rainfall: 46.7 inches
   Average annual snowfall: 2.6 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

   (a) Relationship with TNW:

   ☑ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
   ☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

   Project waters are 2.5 river miles from TNW.
   Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
   Project waters are 2.5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
   Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

---

Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

Identify flow route to TNW\(^5\): PRPW G flows to Wildcat Creek, which flows to Fishing Creek, a TNW. Tributary stream order, if known: not known.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

- **Tributary** is: 
  - [X] Natural

**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: **approximately 6-15 feet**
- Average depth: **approximately 3-6 feet**
- Average side slopes: **2:1**.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- [X] Silts
- [X] Sands
- [ ] Cobbles
- [X] Gravel
- [ ] Bedrock
- [ ] Vegetation. Type/\% cover:
- [ ] Other. Explain: .

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Relatively stable.


Tributary geometry: **Meandering**.

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): **1-2 %**

(c) Flow:

- **Tributary** provides for: **Perennial flow**
- Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **20 (or greater)**
- Describe flow regime: **perennial**.
- Other information on duration and volume: **moderate, perennial flow**.

Surface flow is: **Discrete**. Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings:
- [ ] Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- [X] Bed and banks
- [X] OHWM\(^6\) (check all indicators that apply):
  - [X] clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - [X] changes in the character of soil
  - [X] shelving
  - [X] vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - [X] leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - [X] sediment deposition
  - [X] water staining
  - [ ] other (list):

- [ ] Discontinuous OHWM.\(^7\) Explain: .

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:
  - [ ] oil or scum line along shore objects
  - [ ] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
  - [ ] physical markings/characteristics
  - [ ] tidal gauges
  - [ ] other (list):
- [ ] Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
  - [ ] survey to available datum;
  - [ ] physical markings;
  - [ ] vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

---

\(^5\) Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

\(^6\) A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

\(^7\) Ibid.
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Generally clear water noted in tributary. Wildcat Creek is noted by SCDHEC as having oxygen excursions and elevated fecal coliform.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: not known.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet.
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: PRPW G has sufficient flow and provides habitat variety that would be expected to support a number of species.
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: PRPW G has sufficient flow and provides habitat variety that would be expected to support a number of species.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) Physical Characteristics:
   (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
      Properties:
      - Wetland size: Wetland Ti: 0.633 acre Wetland TH: 0.335 acre Wetland TF: 0.113 acre Wetland TC: 0.348 acre Wetland TD: 0.137 acre Wetland TE: 0.057 acres
      - Wetland type. Explain: All are forested, except for TF, which is herbaceous.
      Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

   (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
      Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Flow would be expected in response to precipitation.
      Surface flow is: Discrete
      Characteristics: .
      Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
      Dye (or other) test performed: .

   (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
      - Directly abutting
      - Not directly abutting
      - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The wetlands are described by the consultant as being separated only by small riparian berms and would be expected to flow to the tributary in response to precipitation. Further, these wetlands are located within the floodplain of PRPW G and would be expected to participate in the hydrologic regime of the tributary.
      - Ecological connection. Explain: The wetlands are within the riparian buffer of the tributary and function as part of the habitat diversity associated with the tributary.
      - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: The wetlands are described by the consultant as being separated only by small riparian berms and would be expected to flow to the tributary in response to precipitation.

   (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:
      Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
      Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
      Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
      Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

   (ii) Chemical Characteristics:
      Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: water color noted as clear by consultant.
      Identify specific pollutants, if known: Information regarding these particular wetlands is not known, however SCDHEC notes that wildcat creek contains dissolved oxygen excursions and in places has elevated levels of fecal coliform.

   (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
      - Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet, mature forested buffer.
      - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Approximately 80% cover, species note on site include Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Populus deltoides, Acer rubrum, Salix nigra, Peltandra virginica, Liquidambar styraciflua, and Pinus taeda.
      - Habitat for:
        - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
        - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species.

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: [ ]

Approximately (1.781) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TI-N</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>TC-N</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH-N</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>TD-N</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF N</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>TE-N</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-Y</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland TI: 0.633 acre Wetland TH: 0.335 acre Wetland TF: 0.113 acre Wetland TC: 0.348 acre Wetland TD: 0.137 acre Wetland TE: 0.057 acre are all within the floodplain of this PRPW G and are separated from the PRPW by small riparian berms. Wetland TB: 0.158 acre is contiguous with PRPW G and flows directly into that tributary. These wetlands are performing a variety of functions that relate to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW. All of these wetlands provide for base flow recharge, storage of floodwaters, filtering of pollutants, and habitat enhancement.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland TI: 0.633 acre, Wetland TH: 0.335 acre, Wetland TF: 0.113 acre, Wetland TB: 0.158 acre, Wetland
TC: 0.348 acre, Wetland TD: 0.137 acre, and Wetland TE: 0.057 acre are adjacent to PRPW G, a large, perennially flowing tributary to Wildcat Creek. These wetlands are within the floodplain of this tributary and are separated from the PRPW by small riparian berms. These wetlands are performing a variety of functions that relate to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW. These wetlands provide for base flow recharge, storage of floodwaters, filtering of pollutants, and habitat enhancement. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary and its wetlands to the TNW.

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: PRPW G is a large, perennially flowing tributary represented on the USGS topo map as a solid blue line and on the soils map as a solid line. Photographs from the consultant show a clear ordinary high water mark flow at the time of the site visit. Available data led this office to conclude the tributary has a perennial flow regime.
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: 3096 linear feet 6-15 width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   - Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland TB is contiguous with PRPW G and is not separated by any kind of berm or barrier. Water flows directly from Wetland TB to PRPW G.

   Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland TB: 0.158 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland TI: 0.633 acre Wetland TH: 0.335 acre Wetland TF: 0.113 acre Wetland TC: 0.348 acre Wetland TD: 0.137 acre Wetland TE: 0.057 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

---

*See Footnote # 3.
Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. 

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

Explain:

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  
- Other factors. Explain:  

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:  

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:  
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): A small non-jurisdictional linear conveyance was investigated by the consultant. This feature has no ordinary high water mark. It is described by the consultant as not meeting wetland parameters and is shown in photographs provided by the consultant as being a purely erosional feature and not a water of any kind. Therefore, this feature has been determined to be non-jurisdictional.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winnsboro).
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- or Other (Name & Date): Photos submitted with delineation report, Landscape and Data Point Photos, 1-13 of 13, dated 05-30-13, 06-19-13, 08-02-13, 10-23-13.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- Applicable/supporting case law: .
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents 7 jurisdictional wetlands (Wetland TI, TH, TF, TE, TD, TC, and TB), 1 jurisdictional tributary (PRPW G), and 1 non-jurisdictional linear feature (non-water). PRPW G is a large, perennially flowing tributary represented on the USGS topo map as a solid blue line and on the soils map as a solid line. Photographs from the consultant show a clear ordinary high water mark flow at the time of the site visit. Wetland TB: 0.158 acre is contiguous with PRPW G and is not separated by any kind of berm or barrier and is therefore abutting PRPW G. Water flows directly from Wetland TB to PRPW G. Wetland TI: 0.633 acre, Wetland TH: 0.335 acre, Wetland TF: 0.113 acre, Wetland TC: 0.348 acre, Wetland TD: 0.137 acre, and Wetland TE: 0.057 are all adjacent to PRPW G. The wetlands are described by the consultant as being separated only by small riparian berms and would be expected to flow to the tributary in response to precipitation. Further, these wetlands are located within the floodplain of PRPW G and would be expected to participate in the hydrologic regime of the tributary. The small non-jurisdictional linear conveyance was investigated by the consultant. This feature has no ordinary high water mark. It is described by the consultant as not meeting wetland parameters and is shown in photographs provided by the consultant as being a purely erosional feature and not a water of any kind. Therefore, this feature has been determined to be non-jurisdictional. Per guidance from RGL 07-01, perennial tributaries and their abutting wetlands are jurisdictional. A positive Significant Nexus Determination was performed for the wetlands adjacent to PRPW G. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 3 of 6; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   - State: South Carolina  County/parish/borough: York  City: Rock Hill
   - Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784°N, Long. -81.056120°W
     - Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   - Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek
   - Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek
   - Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   - Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   - Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015
   - Field Determination. Date(s): 

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   - There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
     - Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
     - Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
   - There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
     - Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  
       - TNWs, including territorial seas
       - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
       - Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
       - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
       - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
       - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
       - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
       - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
       - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
     - Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
       - Non-wetland waters: PRPW E1: 2539 linear feet, PRPW D: 1663 linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.675 acres.
       - Wetlands: Wetland N: 0.004 acre, Wetland TG: 0.300, Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL: 0.003, Wetland P/Q: 0.018, Wetland R/S: 0.63 acres.
     - Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM, 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List
       - Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):  - [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

**A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs**

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1 only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. **TNW**
   - Identify TNW:  
   - Summarize rationale supporting determination:  

2. **Wetland adjacent to TNW**
   - Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”:  

**B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):**

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. **Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

   (i) **General Area Conditions:**
   - Watershed size: 185,010 acres; 03050103-04
   - Drainage area: approximately 200 acres
   - Average annual rainfall: 46.7 inches
   - Average annual snowfall: 2.6 inches

   (ii) **Physical Characteristics:**
   - (a) **Relationship with TNW:**
     - [x] Tributary flows directly into TNW.
     - [☐] Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.
     - Project waters are 2.5 river miles from TNW.
     - Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
     - Project waters are 2.5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
     - Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

---

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

Identify flow route to TNW:\(^5\): PRPW E1 flows to PRPW G (documented on another form), which flows to Wildcat Creek, which flows to Fishing Creek, a TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: not known.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is: [Natural] [Artificial (man-made)] [Manipulated (man-altered)].

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: 6-15 feet
- Average depth: 3-6 feet
- Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- Silts
- Sands
- Cobbles
- Gravel
- Bedrock
- Vegetation. Type/cover:
- Concrete
- Muck
- Other. Explain:

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: relatively stable.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: few noted by consultant.

Tributary geometry: Meandering.

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1-2 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Perennial flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)

Describe flow regime: perennial.

Other information on duration and volume: moderate, perennial flow.

Surface flow is: Discrete. Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:
- Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- Bed and banks
- OHWM\(^6\) (check all indicators that apply):
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank
  - changes in the character of soil
  - shelving
  - vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
  - leaf litter disturbed or washed away
  - sediment deposition
  - water staining
  - other (list):
- Discontinuous OHWM.\(^7\) Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- High Tide Line indicated by:
- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
  - oil or scum line along shore objects
  - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)
  - physical markings/characteristics
  - tidal gauges
  - other (list):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

---

\(^5\) Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

\(^6\) A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

\(^7\) Ibid.
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: .
Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- ☑ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet.
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☑ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - ☑ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
- ☑ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: PRPW E1 is of sufficient quality and has variety of habitat type that it would be expected to provide for a variety of species.
- ☑ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: PRPW E1 is of sufficient quality and has variety of habitat type that it would be expected to provide for a variety of species.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
- Wetland size: Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL: 0.003 acres
- Wetland type. Explain: Herbaceous.
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Flow would be expected in response to precipitation.
Surface flow is: Discrete
Characteristics: .
Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
- ☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
- ☐ Directly abutting
- ☑ Not directly abutting
  - ☑ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The wetlands are described by the consultant as being separated only by small riparian berms and would be expected to flow to the tributary in response to precipitation. Further, these wetlands are located within the floodplain of PRPW E1 and would be expected to participate in the hydrologic regime of the tributary.
  - ☑ Ecological connection. Explain: The wetlands are within the riparian buffer of the tributary and function as part of the habitat diversity associated with the tributary.
  - ☑ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: The wetlands are described by the consultant as being separated only by small riparian berms and would be expected to flow to the tributary in response to precipitation.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: water color noted as clear by consultant.
Identify specific pollutants, if known: Information regarding these particular wetlands is not known, however SCDHEC notes that wildcat creek contains dissolved oxygen excursions and in places has elevated levels of fecal coliform.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
- ☑ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet, mature forested buffer.
- ☑ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Wetlands are noted as being herbaceous.
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☑ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - ☑ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
- ☑ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species.
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species. The vegetation type change between these wetlands and the surrounding forested riparian area would provide for additional habitat type.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
   All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: N
   Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

   For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-Y</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>TG-Y</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TJ-N</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>TL-N</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK-N</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>P/Q-Y</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/S-Y</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland N: 0.004 acre, Wetland TG: 0.300, Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL: 0.003, Wetland P/Q: 0.018, and Wetland R/S: 0.63 are all abutting or adjacent to PRPW E1 or PRPW D. These two tributaries and their abutting and adjacent wetlands flow to an unnamed tributary to Wildcat Creek. The wetlands referenced above which are associated with these tributaries are part of the headwater complexes and riparian wetlands of these tributaries. These wetlands are providing a variety of functions that relate to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW. Functions and services provided by these wetlands including habitat diversity, flood storage, filtering of pollutant, and base flow recharge.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL: 0.003 are in close proximity to PRPW E1 and are separated from the PRPW by small riparian berms. These wetlands are providing a variety of functions that relate to the
biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW. These wetlands, in association with other similarly situated
wetlands, provide for base flow recharge, storage of floodwaters, filtering of pollutants, and habitat enhancement. Based on
the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW,
it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary and its wetlands to the
TNW.

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL
THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Check all that apply:
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
     tributary is perennial: PRPW E1 and PRPW D are depicted on the soils map as dashed lines. PRPW E1 is depicted on
     the USGS topo map as a dashed line. PRPW D is not shown as a line but is indicated by a topographical signature
     indicative of a land formation that would be conducive to the formation of a tributary. These features are described
     by the consultant having flow as well as biological, hydrological, and geomorphological characteristics associated with
     perennial tributaries. The photos provided by the consultant show clear ordinary high water marks and flow.
     Available data led this office to conclude that the tributaries have perennial flow regimes.

   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are
     jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows
     seasonally: .

   - Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
     - Tributary waters: PRPW E1: 2539 linear feet, PRPW D: 1663 linear feet 3-8 width (ft).
     - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
     - Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Check all that apply:
   - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a
     TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   - Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
     - Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
     - Other non-wetland waters: acres.
     - Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Check all that apply:
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that
     tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
     Wetlands N, TG, P/Q, and R/S are all contiguous with PRPW E1 or PRPW D. They are not separated by any kind of berm or barrier and flow directly into those perennial tributaries.

   - Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland N: 0.004 acre, Wetland TG: 0.300, Wetland
     P/Q: 0.018, and Wetland R/S: 0.63 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Check all that apply:
   - Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent
     and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this
     conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   - Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL:
     0.003 acres.

See Footnote # 3.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   □ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and
   with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this
   conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: _______ acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.
   □ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
   □ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   □ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   □ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

   Explain:

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,
   DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY
   SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰
   □ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
   □ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
   □ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
   □ Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
   □ Other factors. Explain:

   Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   □ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   □ Identify type(s) of waters:
   □ Wetlands: acres.

   F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   □ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
     Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
   □ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
   □ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
     “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
   □ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
   □ Other: (explain, if not covered above):

   Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
   factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
   judgment (check all that apply):
   □ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
   □ Lakes/ponds: acres.
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
   □ Wetlands: acres.

   Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such
   a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
   □ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
   □ Lakes/ponds: acres.
   □ Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
   □ Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for
   review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- [x] Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
- [x] Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- [x] Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared by the Corps.
- [ ] Corps navigable waters’ study: 1977 Navigability Survey.
- [x] USGS NHD data.
- [x] USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- [x] U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
- [x] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winnsboro).
- [x] National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
- [ ] State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- [ ] FEMA/FIRM maps:
- [ ] 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
  or [ ] Other (Name & Date): Photos submitted with delineation report, Landscape and Data Point Photos, 1-13 of 13, dated 05-30-13, 06-19-13, 08-22-13, 10-23-13.
- [ ] Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting case law: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- [ ] Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents 7 jurisdictional wetlands (Wetland TJ, TK, TL, P/Q, R/S, N, AND TG), 2 jurisdictional tributaries (PRPW E1 and D), and 1 non-jurisdictional linear feature (non-water). PRPW E1 and PRPW D are depicted on the soils map as dashed lines. PRPW E1 is depicted on the USGS topo map as a dashed line. PRPW D is not shown as a line but is indicated by a topographical signature indicative of a land formation that would be conducive to the formation of a tributary. These features are described by the consultant having flow as well as biological, hydrological, and geomorphological characteristics associated with perennial tributaries. The photos provided by the consultant show clear ordinary high water marks and flow. Wetlands N, TG, P/Q, and R/S are all contiguous with PRPW E1 or PRPW D. They are not separated by any kind of berm or barrier and flow directly into those perennial tributaries. Wetland TJ: 0.006, Wetland TK: 0.20, Wetland TL: 0.003 are in close proximity to PRPW E1 and are separated from the PRPW by small riparian berms. These wetlands, in association with other similarly situated wetlands, provide for base flow recharge, storage of floodwaters, filtering of pollutants, and habitat enhancement. The variety of functions and services provided by these wetlands results in a significant nexus to the downstream TNW. A small non-jurisdictional linear conveyance was investigated by the consultant. This feature has no ordinary high water mark. It is described by the consultant as not meeting wetland parameters and is shown in photographs provided by the consultant as being a purely erosional feature and not a water of any kind. Therefore this feature has been determined to be non-jurisdictional. Per guidance from RGL 07-01, perennial tributaries and their abutting wetlands are jurisdictional. A positive Significant Nexus Determination was performed for the wetlands adjacent to PRPW E1. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and are within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 4 of 6; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina  
County/parish/borough: York  
City: Rock Hill  

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784° N, Long. -81.056120° W  
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83

Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050103-04

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015

Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
  
  Explain: .

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1

   - TNWs, including territorial seas
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
   - Relatively permanent waters\(^2\) (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
   - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
   - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Wetlands: Wetland Z: 0.005 acre, Wetland X/Y: 0.180 acre, Wetland T/U: 0.059 acre, Wetland V/W: 0.043 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): 3 [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

---

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).

3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody\(^4\) is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: 185,010 acres; 03050103-04
      Drainage area: All less than 15 acres
      Average annual rainfall: 46.7 inches
      Average annual snowfall: 2.6 inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         - Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         - Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.
         Project waters are 2.5 river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are 2.5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

\(^4\) Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
Identify flow route to TNW: These headwater tributaries flow into a PRPW D, an unnamed tributary to Wildcat Creek (documented on another form). Wildcat Creek flows to Fishing Creek, a TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is:
- Natural
- Artificial (man-made).
- Manipulated (man-altered).

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: 1-5 feet
- Average depth: < 3 feet
- Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- Silts
- Sands
- Concrete
- Cobble
- Gravel
- Muck
- Bedrock
- Vegetation. Type/cover:
- Other. Explain:

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Relatively stable banks noted by consultant.


Tributary geometry: Meandering.

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1-2 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)

Describe flow regime: Tributaries of this type and size, with drainage areas less than 15 acres are expected to flow in wetter months and have dry periods when water is less available.

Other information on duration and volume: Lower volume seasonal flow.

Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- Bed and banks
- OHWM (check all indicators that apply):
  - the presence of litter and debris
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation
  - scour
  - sediment sorting
  - multiple observed or predicted flow events
  - abrupt change in plant community
- Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

---

5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

6A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

7Ibid.
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).
Explain: **Water generally clear.**
Identify specific pollutants, if known: **No specific pollutants are known for these tributaries, however Wildcat Creek is noted by SCDHEC as having oxygen excursions and elevated fecal coliform.**

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
☐ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet, forested.
☐ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: **Some areas of these tributaries have wetlands within the riparian buffer.**
☐ Habitat for:
  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:.
  ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:.
  ☑ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: These headwater tributaries provide specialized habitat and are particularly valuable for lower trophic level species. These headwater tributaries of of a sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a number of species.
  ☑ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: These headwater tributaries provide specialized habitat and are particularly valuable for lower trophic level species. These headwater tributaries of of a sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a number of species.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
  Wetland size: **Wetland Z: 0.005 acre, Wetland X/Y: 0.180 acre, Wetland T/U: 0.059 acre, Wetland V/W: 0.043 acres**
  Wetland type. Explain: **all are forested.**
  Wetland quality. Explain: These are high quality wetlands that are part of a mature forested riparian buffer. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: **Intermittent flow.** Explain: Flow would be expected to contribute to recharge of the tributaries they abut.
Surface flow is: **Discrete**
Characteristics:.
Subsurface flow: **Unknown.** Explain findings:.
☐ Dye (or other) test performed:.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
☐ Directly abutting
☐ Not directly abutting
☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:.
☐ Ecological connection. Explain:.
☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.**
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: **Water color is clear.**
Identify specific pollutants, if known: **Pollutants within these particular wetlands are not known, however fecal coliform is noted as being present in Wildcat Creek.**

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): >100 feet, mature forested buffer.
☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Approximately 80% cover, species note on site include **Fraxinus pennsylvanica, Populus deltoides, Acer rubrum, Salix nigra, Peltandra virginica, Liquidambar styraciflua**, and **Pinus taeda.**
☐ Habitat for:
  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:.
  ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:.
  ☑ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species.
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: These wetlands are of sufficient quality that they would be expected to provide habitat for a variety of species. The vegetation type change between these wetlands and the surrounding forested riparian area would provide for additional habitat type.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 4
Approximately (0.287) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-Y</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>X/Y-Y</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/U-Y</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>V/W-Y</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland Z: 0.005 acre, Wetland X/Y: 0.180 acre, Wetland T/U: 0.059 acre, and Wetland V/W: 0.043 directly abut tributaries. These wetlands are performing a variety of functions that relate to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW. All of these wetlands provide for base flow recharge, storage of floodwaters, filtering of pollutants, and habitat enhancement.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW.

Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:  

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:  

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:  

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: SRPWs F1, B1, A1, and C1 are all headwater tributaries that feed into PRPW D (documented on another form). These small headwater tributaries provide important functions and services. The generally slower flow allows for greater interaction
between the stream flow and the hyporheic zone, allowing for increased filtering of pollutants, recharge of downstream tributaries, and habitat for a number of species, particularly the lower trophic level species which provide for downstream food webs. Wetlands Z abuts SRPW A1, Wetland X/Y abuts SRPW B1, and Wetlands T/U and V/W abut SRPW C1. These wetlands are not separated from the seasonal tributaries by any kind of berm or barrier and function as part of these headwater systems, providing base flow recharge, filtering of pollutants, and functioning as part of the habitat diversity serving the downstream food web. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributaries and their wetlands to the TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - **TNWs:** linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - **Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:** acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: .
   - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: SRPWs A1, B1, C1, and F1 are described by the consultant on the provided basis form as having "the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with seasonal RPW's and intermittent streams. The channels have clear bed and banks, scour, crayfish, and substrate sorting." Photographs provided by the consultant show a clear, continuous ordinary high water mark and flow. These features are not depicted clearly on the topo or soils maps, which is not unexpected given their small size. The drainage areas of these small headwater tributaries are each less than 15 acres, which would be expected to provide for seasonal, but not perennial flow. Available data led this office to conclude that the tributaries have seasonal flow regimes.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   - **Tributary waters:** RPW F1: 42 linear feet, SRPW A1: 447 linear feet, SRPW B1: 106 linear feet, SRPW C1: 329 linear feet 1-5 width (ft).

   Other non-wetland waters: acres.

   Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. **Non-RPWs** that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   - Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   - **Tributary waters:** linear feet width (ft).
   - **Other non-wetland waters:** acres.

   Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
   - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

   Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetland Z, X/Y, T/U, and V/W are all contiguous with headwater tributaries. Wetland Z flows directly into SRPW A1 without any kind of separation or barrier. Wetland X/Y flows directly into SRPW B1 without any kind of separation or barrier. Wetlands T/U and V/W flow directly into SRPW C1. All of these wetlands are part of the riparian buffer of their respective tributaries and form headwater complexes with those tributaries.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland Z: 0.005 acre, Wetland X/Y: 0.180 acre, Wetland T/U: 0.059 acre, Wetland V/W: 0.043 acres.

5. **Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

---

*See Footnote # 3.*
Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

Explain:

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
- Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
10 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- [x] Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - [ ] Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - [ ] Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- [ ] USGS NHD data.
- [x] USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- [x] U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
- [x] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winsboro).
- [x] National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
- [x] State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- [ ] FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- [ ] 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
  - [x] or Other (Name & Date): Photos submitted with delineation report, Landscape and Data Point Photos, 1-13 of 13, dated 05-30-13, 06-19-13, 08-22-13, 10-23-13.
- [ ] Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting case law: .
- [ ] Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
- [ ] Other information (please specify): .

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents four jurisdictional wetlands (Wetlands Z, X/Y, T/U, and V/W) and four jurisdictional tributaries (SRPWs A1, B1, C1, and F1). SRPWs A1, B1, C1, and F1 are described by the consultant on the provided basis form as having "the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with seasonal RPW's and intermittent streams. The channels have clear bed and banks, scour, crayfish, and substrate sorting." Photographs provided by the consultant show a clear, continuous ordinary high water mark and flow. These features are not depicted clearly on the topo or soils maps, which is not unexpected given their small size. The drainage areas of these small headwater tributaries are each less than 15 acres, which would be expected to provide for seasonal, but not perennial flow. Based upon these factors, these four tributaries have been determined to be seasonally flowing tributaries. Wetlands Z, X/Y, T/U, and V/W are all contiguous with headwater tributaries.

Wetland Z flows directly into SRPW A1 without any kind of separation or barrier. Wetland X/Y flows directly into SRPW B1 without any kind of separation or barrier. Wetlands T/U and V/W flow directly into SRPW C1. All of these wetlands are part of the riparian buffer of their respective tributaries and form headwater complexes with those tributaries. Per guidance from RGL 07-01, seasonal tributaries and their abutting wetlands are jurisdictional, however the significant nexus findings for the record are included as required by Rapanos Guidance. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 5 of 6; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
   - State: South Carolina
   - County/parish/borough: York
   - City: Rock Hill
   - Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784° N, Long. -81.056120° W.
   - Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
   - Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek
   - Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek
   - Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050103-04
   - Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
   - Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
   - Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015
   - Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
   - Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
   - Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
   - Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  
      - TNWs, including territorial seas
      - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      - Relatively permanent waters\(^2\) (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      - Non-wetland waters: PRPW CC: 62 linear feet; width (ft) and/or 0.005 acres.
      - Wetlands: Wetland AA/BB: 0.125 acre, Wetland DD/EE: 0.276 acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM. 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List
      - Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):  
   - Potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands
   - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
   - Explain:

---

\(^1\) Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

\(^2\) For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).

\(^3\) Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the wetland has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: Pick List ;
      Drainage area: Pick List
      Average annual rainfall: inches
      Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         [ ] Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         [ ] Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
      Identify flow route to TNW5: .
      Tributary stream order, if known: .

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is:  
- Natural  
- Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
- Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:  

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

- Average width:  feet  
- Average depth:  feet  
- Average side slopes: Pick List.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

- Silts  
- Sands  
- Concrete  
- Cobbles  
- Gravel  
- Muck  
- Bedrock  
- Vegetation. Type/% cover:  
- Other. Explain:  

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slopping banks]. Explain:  
- Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain:  
- Tributary geometry: Pick List.  
- Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):  %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Pick List
- Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List  
  - Describe flow regime:  
  - Other information on duration and volume:  

Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:  

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:  
- Dye (or other) test performed:  

Tributary has (check all that apply):

- Bed and banks  
- OHWM\(^6\) (check all indicators that apply):
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
  - changes in the character of soil  
  - shelving  
  - vegetation matted down, bent, or absent  
  - leaf litter disturbed or washed away  
  - sediment deposition  
  - water staining  
  - other (list):  
- Discontinuous OHWM.\(^7\) Explain:  

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- High Tide Line indicated by:  
  - oil or scum line along shore objects  
  - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  
  - physical markings/characteristics  
  - other (list):  
- Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  
  - survey to available datum;  
  - physical markings;  
  - vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:  
- Identify specific pollutants, if known:  

\(^6\)A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

\(^7\)Ibid.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): 
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: 
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: 
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: 
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: 
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: 

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
- Wetland size: acres
- Wetland type. Explain: 
- Wetland quality. Explain: 
Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: 
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Pick List. Explain: 
Surface flow is: Pick List
Characteristics: 
Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: 
- Dye (or other) test performed: 
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
- Directly abutting
- Not directly abutting
  - Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: 
  - Ecological connection. Explain: 
  - Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: 
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:
Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Flow is from: Pick List.
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: 
Identify specific pollutants, if known: 

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): 
- Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: 
- Habitat for:
  - Federally Listed species. Explain findings: 
  - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: 
  - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: 
  - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: 

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List
Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:  

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself; then go to Section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands; then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands; then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: PRPW CC (along with other on-site perennials) is noted by the consultant as having "the
geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with perennial streams. The channels had observed flows, clear bed and banks, substrate sorting, algae, and fish. PRPW CC is shown on the soils map as a dashed line and is indicated on the topographic map by a topographical line signature indicative of a land formation that would be conducive to the formation of a tributary. Available data led this office to conclude that the tributary has a seasonal flow regime.

Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: PRPW CC: 62 linear feet 3-4 width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands AA/BB and DD/EE are described by the consultant as emptying directly into PRPW CC without separation by any kind of berm or barrier. These wetlands are part of the riparian buffer and headwaters of PRPW CC and are physically contiguous with that perennial tributary and are therefore considered abutting.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
- Wetland AA/BB: 0.125 acre,
- Wetland DD/EE: 0.276 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.” or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

Explain:

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

---

8See Footnote # 3.
9To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .
Other factors. Explain: .

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters: .
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):
- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
- Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winnsboro).
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
- FEMA/FIRM maps: .
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents one jurisdictional tributary (PRPW CC) and two jurisdictional wetlands (Wetlands AA/BB and DD/EE). PRPW CC (along with other on-site perennials) is noted by the consultant as having "the geomorphology, hydrology, and biology consistent with perennial streams. The channels had observed flows, clear bed and banks, substrate sorting, algae, and Fish.". PRPW CC is shown on the soils map as a dashed line and is indicated on the topo map by a topographical line signature indicative of a land formation that would be conducive to the formation of a tributary. Based upon these factors, PRPW CC has been determined to be a perennially flowing tributary. Wetlands AA/BB and DD/EE are described by the consultant as emptying directly into PRPW CC without separation by any kind of berm or barrier. These wetlands are part of the riparian buffer and headwaters of PRPW CC and are physically contiguous with that perennial tributary and are therefore considered abutting. Per guidance from RGL 07-01, perennial tributaries and their directly abutting wetlands are jurisdictional. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.
This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 2, 2015

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 6 of 6 ; SAC -2014-00019-5Z, Tanglewood

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: South Carolina  County/parish/borough: York  City: Rock Hill
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.915784° N, Long. -81.056120° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
Name of nearest waterbody: Wildcat Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Fishing Creek
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050103-04

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 2, 2015
Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There Are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There Are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
      - TNWs, including territorial seas
      - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      - Relatively permanent waters 2 (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: SRPW FF1: 319 linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.015 acres.
      Wetlands: acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Pick List. Pick List
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): 3 [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]
   - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
   - Explain: .

1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW
   Identify TNW: .
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody4 is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
      Watershed size: 185,010 acres ; 03050103-04
      Drainage area: approximately 50 acres
      Average annual rainfall: 46.7 inches
      Average annual snowfall: 2.6 inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics:
      (a) Relationship with TNW:
         ☑ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
         ☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

         Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
         Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
         Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
         Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: They do not.

         Identify flow route to TNW5: This headwater tributary flows to Wildcat Creek, which flows to Fishing Creek, a TNW.

---

4 Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

5 Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary** is:  
- [X] Natural  

**Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):
- Average width: 1-4 feet
- Average depth: 1-3 feet
- Average side slopes: 3:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):
- [X] Silts  
- [ ] Sands  
- [X] Cobbles  
- [X] Gravel  
- [ ] Concrete  
- [ ] Muck  
- [ ] Bedrock  
- [ ] Vegetation. Type/cover: .  
- [ ] Other. Explain: .

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Relatively stable banks noted by consultant.


Tributary geometry: **Meandering.**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1-2%

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Seasonal flow**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **20 (or greater)**

Describe flow regime: The on site portion of this tributary is the headwater portion. The flow regime observed and described by the consultant is that of a seasonal tributary. The drainage area of 50 acres indicates that downstream portions of the tributary may flow perennially, however the entire reach was not accessible. Based upon information provided by the consultant, the tributary as observed flows during wetter portions of the year and had dry periods when water is less available.

Other information on duration and volume: **Low volume seasonal flow.**

Surface flow is: **Confined.** Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown.** Explain findings: .

Dye (or other) test performed: .

Tributary has (check all that apply):
- [X] Bed and banks  
- [X] OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply):
  - clear, natural line impressed on the bank  
  - changes in the character of soil  
  - shelving  
  - vegetation matted down, bent, or absent  
  - leaf litter disturbed or washed away  
  - sediment deposition  
  - water staining  
  - other (list):  
  - the presence of litter and debris  
  - destruction of terrestrial vegetation  
  - sediment sorting  
  - scour  
  - multiple observed or predicted flow events  
  - abrupt change in plant community  

Discontinuous OHWM.⁷ Explain: .

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- [ ] High Tide Line indicated by:  
  - oil or scum line along shore objects  
  - fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  
  - physical markings/characteristics  
  - tidal gauges  
  - other (list):  
  - survey to available datum;  
  - physical markings;  
  - vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

---

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody’s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: **Water noted by consultant as generally clear.**

Identify specific pollutants, if known: **No specific pollutants are known for this tributary, however Wildcat Creek is noted by SCDHEC as having oxygen excursions and elevated fecal coliform.**

(iv) **Biological Characteristics.** Channel supports (check all that apply):
- ☑ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): > 100 feet, forested.
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - ☑ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: **This headwater tributary provides specialized habitat and is particularly valuable for lower trophic level species and thereby provides for the downstream food web.**
- ☑ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: **This headwater tributary provides specialized habitat and is particularly valuable for lower trophic level species and thereby provides for the downstream food web.**

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) **General Wetland Characteristics:**

Properties:

- Wetland size: acres
- Wetland type. Explain: .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) **General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:**

Flow is: **Pick List** Explain: .

Surface flow is: **Pick List** Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List** Explain findings: .

☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) **Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:**

- ☐ Directly abutting
- ☐ Not directly abutting
- ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: .
- ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) **Proximity (Relationship) to TNW**

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Pick List**.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: .

Identify specific pollutants, if known: .

(iii) **Biological Characteristics.** Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): .
- ☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
- ☐ Habitat for:
  - ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - ☑ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .

3. **Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

   All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List**

   Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
For each wetland, specify the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
<th>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</th>
<th>Size (in acres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: .

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW.

Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: SRPW FF1 is a headwater tributary in which the generally slower flow allows for greater interaction between the stream flow and the hyporheic zone, allowing for increased filtering of pollutants, recharge of downstream tributaries, and habitat for a number of species, particularly the lower trophic level species which provide for downstream food webs. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary to the TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

   - TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
   - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☑ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: 
   ☑ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The on site portion of this tributary is the headwater portion. The overall tributary is depicted on the topo map as a dashed blue line and on the soils map as a dashed line that begins offsite. The flow regime observed and described by the consultant is that of a seasonal tributary. The drainage area of 50 acres indicates that downstream portions of the tributary may flow perennially, however the entire reach was not accessible. Based upon information provided by the consultant, the tributary as observed flows during wetter portions of the year and had dry periods when water is less available. Available data led this office to conclude that the tributary has a seasonal flow regime.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
   ☑ Tributary waters: SRPW FF1: 319 linear feet 1-4 width (ft).
   ☑ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters: 

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☑ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):
   ☑ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
   ☑ Other non-wetland waters: acres.
   Identify type(s) of waters: 

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☑ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
   ☑ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: 
   ☑ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: 

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☑ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
   ☑ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

   Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.
   As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
   ☑ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or
   ☑ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
   ☑ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

   Explain:

---

8See Footnote # 3.
9To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

- [ ] which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- [ ] from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- [ ] which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- [ ] Interstate isolated waters. Explain:.
- [ ] Other factors. Explain:.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- [ ] Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- [ ] Other non-wetland waters: acres.
- [ ] Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- [ ] If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- [ ] Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - [ ] Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).
- [ ] Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:.
- [ ] Other: (explain, if not covered above):.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- [ ] Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- [ ] Lakes/ponds: acres.
- [ ] Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:.
- [ ] Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- [ ] Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- [ ] Lakes/ponds: acres.
- [ ] Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:.
- [ ] Wetlands: acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- [ ] Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: WEPG.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - [ ] Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - [ ] Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- [ ] Data sheets prepared by the Corps:.
- [ ] Corps navigable waters’ study: 1977 Navigability Survey.
- [ ] USGS NHD data.
- [ ] USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- [ ] U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Rock Hill West.
- [ ] USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Map 61 (Brewback, Wynott-Brewback, Wynott-Winnsboro).
- [ ] National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U43.
- [ ] State/Local wetland inventory map(s):.
- [ ] FEMA/FIRM maps:.
- [ ] 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This form documents one jurisdictional tributary (SRPW FF1). The on site portion of this tributary is the headwater portion. The overall tributary is depicted on the topo map as a dashed blue line and on the soils map as a dashed line that begins offsite. The flow regime observed and described by the consultant is that of a seasonal tributary. The drainage area of 50 acres indicates that downstream portions of the tributary may flow perennially, however the entire reach was not accessible. Based upon information provided by the consultant, the tributary as observed flows during wetter portions of the year and had dry periods when water is less available. Per guidance from RGL 07-01, seasonally flowing tributaries are jurisdictional, however, the Significant Nexus findings for the record are included as required by Rapanos Guidance. Therefore all waters documented on this form are waters of the United States and are within the jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act.