

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): July 9, 2021

ORM Number: SAC-2021-00392

Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹:

State: SC City: Orangeburg County: Orangeburg County

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 33.498928 Longitude -80.857455

of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10) ²	Rationale for § 10 Determination N/A
N/A 404	N/A
404	
(a)(1) Criteria N/A	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A
(a)(Q) Cuitania	Deticated for (a)(2) Determine tion
	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A	N/A
oundments of jurisdictional	l waters ((a)(3) waters):
(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A	N/A
	(a)(2) Criteria N/A coundments of jurisdictiona

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district

to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

5 Receives of the bread patters of the (b)(1) evelusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

N/A			7	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Γ	Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Ī	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - **_X**_ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Submittal by The Lower Savannah Council of Governments February 23, 2021.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A.

_X Photographs: Google Earth (Feb 6. 2019), and Google Street View (November 2014).

Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: N/A

Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): N/A

___ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: N/A

X__ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Neeses loamy sand

X USFWS NWI maps: Uplands USGS topographic maps: N/A

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information		
USGS Sources	N/A.		
USDA Sources	N/A.		
NOAA Sources	N/A.		
USACE Sources	N/A.		
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.		
Other Sources	N/A.		

- B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A
- **C.** Additional comments to support AJD: The 0.34-acre project review area consists entirely of dryland; therefore, the project review area is not subject to regulation under Section 10 of the RHA and Section 404 of the CWA.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.