APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	L DETERMINATION (J	D): June 8.	, 2017
-----------	----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------

DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 1 of 1: SAC-2017-00325 Victor Hill Road Site

C	
	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project is located north of Victor Hill Road between gers Bridge Road and South Danzler Road
Rou	State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Spartanburg City: Duncan
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.9003° N, Long. 82.1287 ° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
	Name of nearest waterbody: South Tyger River
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: South Tyger River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): HUC 12: 030501070303
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
υ.	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 5/31/2017 Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. I	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
	Explain: .
В. (CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
Ther	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	 Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
	 a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ TNWs, including territorial seas
	 a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	 a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs ☐ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs ☐ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	 a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹ □ TNWs, including territorial seas □ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs □ Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs □ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters □ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 93.27 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 93.27 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or acres.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 93.27 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM., Pick List, Pick List

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

and enters and exits the project boundary at several locations. This feature eventually runs into what is defined as a seasonal RPW (see below). While the non-jurisdictional linear feature shows up on USGS topographic data (dashed blue line) and USFWS NWI data (R4SBC), data provided by the consultant indicates that this feature did not show evidence of relativly perminant flow or an ordinary high water mark. The feature was heavily filled with dried leaf litter along the entire length until a small headcut which then began the seasonal RPW. Based on submitted, available, and collected information including aerial imagery, LiDAR data, and site photographs, this feature is non-jurisdictional and is not a water of the United States.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TNW

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

_,	Identify TNW: .	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 18,488 acres; Drainage area: 83.2 acres Average annual rainfall: 46.23 inches Average annual snowfall: 0.0 inches
(ii)	Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. ☐ Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : The unnamed tributary flows about 0.75 river miles into the South Tyger River (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: First .
(b)	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 3 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 3:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
gradual sloped	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributary appears very stable with d banks and an intact riparian corridor. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Meandering. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-2 %
three months Upslope of w	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Seasonal. Other information on duration and volume: Seasonal flow is defined as tributaries that have continuous flow typically each year under normal climatic conditions. The tributary was defined with an ordinary high water mark. here the tributary was identified there was a swale observed, but the swale did not show evidence of an ordinary mark or any other tributary characteristics.
under norma	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: Water is contained within eroded bed and bank of tributary all climatic conditions.
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

		☐ fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) ☐ physical markings; ☐ physical markings/characteristics ☐ tidal gauges ☐ other (list): ☐ physical markings; ☐ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. ☐ other (list):
	(iii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: The water appeared clear. The tributary drains an area that is predominantly forested though contain some commercial and residential development. It is also very close to I-85 and likely drains run-off from this highway. Land use/land cover in the watershed includes: 46.1% forested land, 27.9% agricultural land, 22.0% urban land, 1.8% forested wetland, 1.6% water, 0.5% barren land, and 0.1% nonforested wetland. Identify specific pollutants, if known: There is likely runoff from the nearby interstate (I-85).
and terr		Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): □ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Forested riparian corridor is about 50-100 feet wide. □ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: □ Habitat for: □ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: This unnamed tributary likely provides an opportunity for aquational wildlife to have an area of refuge, foraging, and rearing of young.
2.	Cha	aracteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)	Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

3.	Characteristics of all wetlands at All wetland(s) being consider Approximately () acre For each wetland, specify the	red in the cumulative are s in total are being con	• • • •	nalysis.
	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: The seasonal RPW in this significant nexus determination is performing biological, chemical, and physical functions that relate to the integrity of the downstream TNW (South Tyger River). The tributrary starts on-site and flows north off-site for about 0.75 river miles at which point flows directly into the TNW (South Tyger River). The seasonal RPW helps maintain flow by storing water during times of heavy rain. The seasonal RPW also provides breeding habitat and refuge for aquatic life. Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the TNW, it has been determined there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary to the downstream TNW .

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: The seasonal tributary exhibited bed and banks, an ordinary high water mark which consisted of clear, natural line impression on the bank, shelving, absent vegetation, leaf litter washed away, sediment deposition, sediment sorting, and scour marks. The tributary is shown as a blue line on the USGS topographic map and as R4SBC on the USFWS NWI map. Stream characteristics from the data reviewed led this office to conclude the tributary has a seasonal flow regime.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 93.27 linear feet 3 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	identify type(s) of waters.
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:

 $^{^8} See$ Footnote # 3. 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
defi blue rela unt	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): The project includes a non-jurisdictional linear feature. This feature runs along the stern portion of the site and enters and exits the project boundary at several locations. This feature eventually runs into what is ined as a seasonal RPW (see below). While the non-jurisdictional linear feature shows up on USGS topographic data (dashed e line) and USFWS NWI data (R4SBC), data provided by the consultant indicates that this feature did not show evidence of ativly perminant flow or an ordinary high water mark. The feature was heavily filled with dried leaf litter along the entire length il a small headcut which then began the seasonal RPW. Based on submitted, available, and collected information including aerial agery, LiDAR data, and site photographs, this feature is non-jurisdictional and is not a water of the United States.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Chris Lake, Palmetto Environmental Consulting, and William Lavender, Upstate Surveying Associates. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report and findings. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: 1977 Navigability Survey. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990 (03050108-01). USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. HUC 12: 030501070303

 $^{^{10}}$ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA $\it Memorandum~Regarding~CWA~Act~Jurisdiction~Following~Rapanos.$

\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Greer.			
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO; Cecil, Cecil-Bethlehem,.			
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS; R4SBC.			
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):			
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .			
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial - June 7, 2016.			
	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date): Consultant photos # 1-4 of 4 dated January 19, 2017.			
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:			
	Applicable/supporting case law: .			
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .			
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): Spartanburg LiDAR Data.			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Aquatic resources documented on this form include a seasonal RPW and a non-jurisdictional linear feature. After review of data provided by the consultant and collected by the Corps, the linear feature upslope from the seasonal RPW was determined to be non-jurisdictional. This feature is not subject to the Corps' authorities pursuant to the Clean Water Act. RPWs are jurisdictional according to guidance provided, however, the significant nexus findings for the record are included as required by Rapanos Guidance. The seasonal RPW documented on this form is a jurisdictional water of the U.S. and therefore subject to the Corps' authorities pursuant to the Clean Water Act.