DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD):
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAC-RD-NE, SAC-2017-00115 Phase XV Water Line Ext- Redbird Ct.

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Dillon City: Hammer Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.4897 °, Long. -79.3674 ° Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Little Pee Dee River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Little Pee Dee River HUC 0304020405.

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 28, 2017.
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

- A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
 - Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Project area depicted on a sketch submitted by the agent titled "USACE MAP/ REDBIRD COURT/ SAC-2017-00115" dated 11/14/16 revised 2/17/17.
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
 - U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:.
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 - U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Dillon East; USGS topographic survey information depicts a cleared area void of solid blue line features or wetland symbols
 - USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Dillion County Soil Survey Sheet 8; The project area is comprised of the hydric soil Chipley sand. Chipley sand contains 2% hydric inclusions
 - [7] National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: U11; NWI maps depicted the project are as upland residential
 - State/Local wetland inventory map(s):.
 - FEMA/FIRM maps:
 - 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 - Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Dillon County Aerial Index 99;1121:5 and SCDNR 2006
 - or Other (Name & Date):.
 - Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:. SAC# 2009-00627-4JH, Letter dated June 24, 2009
 - Applicable/supporting case law:.
 - Applicable/supporting scientific literature:.
 - Other information (please specify): Dillion County LiDAR

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: The project area consists of 0.09 acres along the existing shoulder and right-of way of

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.



Redbird Court in Dillion County SC. S topographic survey information depicts a clear area void of solid blue line features or wetland symbols. NWI maps depicted project are as upland residential. The project are s comprised of the hydric soil Chipley sand. Chipley sand contains 2% hydric inclusions. Based on a combination of the above listed resources the project area was determined to be void of aquatic resources.