APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 23, 2019
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 1 of 3; SAC-2019-00312 ATW Site
с.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Laurens County City: Laurens Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.53392°N, Long. 82.00324°W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83 Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary to Little River
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Saluda River. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River. Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 20, 2019 Field Determination. Date(s): March 29, 2019
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	 b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: JT-2* = 20 linear feet, JT-3* = 264 linear feet, JT-7* = 135 linear feet. Wetlands: JW-A = 0.002 acre. * Note: These three tributaries are all part of the same unnamed tributary of Little Creek. The stream goes in and out of the property boundary and will be discussed as the same unnamed tributary below.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Established by OHWM., Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon

assessment are NOT waters or wetlands]

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: There is a non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyance (NJF-2) on site, which does not have an OHWM or a distinct channel. This feature does not have any signs of relatively permanent flow. The non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyance flows only after heavy rain events. This feature is not jurisdictional and not a water of the U.S.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	INW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List: Drainage area: **Pick List** Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

		Identify flow route to TNW ³ : Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
		Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
		Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
		Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics: .
		Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
		If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

	(iv)		logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: .
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: attify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List broximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.	Check all that app	ly and provide size estimates in review area:	
	TNWs: linear feet Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:	width (ft), Or, acres.	acres.	
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indi			

Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: JT-2, JT-3, and JT-7 are all part of the same unnamed tributary of Little Creek. The stream goes in and out of the property boundary. The tributaries are located onsite with an OHWM and a distinct channel. The perennial streams had signs of relatively permanent flow that includes a distinct OHWM, a clear bed and bank, vegetation absent in the channel, undercut banks. JT-2, JT-3, and JT-7 are shown as blue lines on the topo map and on LiDAR and as intermittent on the soils map. The tributaries were observed flowing during flagging and during the Corps site visit. Stream characteristics observed and available data led this office to conclude the tributaries have a perennial flow regime.

	☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): ☐ Tributary waters: JT-2 = 20 linear feet, JT-3 = 264 linear feet, JT-7 = 135 linear feet. ☐ Other non-wetland waters: ☐ Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.002 acre.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	Explain: DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other footors. Explain:
	Other factors. Explain:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
	Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): There is a non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyance (NJF-2) on site, which does not have an OHWM or a distinct channel. This feature does not have any signs of relatively permanent flow. The non-jurisdictional
	hydrologic conveyance flows only after heavy rain events. This feature is not jurisdictional and not a water of the U.S.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional
	judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such
	a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres.
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE(CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A .	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
л.	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: S&ME , Inc. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
	☐ Data sneets prepared submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/constitution. ☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the data sheets.
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
	 □ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: □ Corps navigable waters' study: 1977 Navigability Study.
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990.
	☐ USGS NHD data.☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River.
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Ora.
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO 2018, Appling, Cartecay-Toccoa complex,
	Cecil, Enon, Madison and Pacolet. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI, PFO1B, R4SBC.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial dated June 3, 2018.
	or Mother (Name & Date): Photos 1-86 of 86 taken by USACE dated March 29, 2019, photos 1-14 of 14 taken by S&ME dated January 29, 2019 and February 11, 2019.
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SAC-2008-01356, November 25, 2008.
	Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify): Corps Site Visit.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD form documents the jurisdictional status of three perennial RPWs and one wetland directly abutting the perennial RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Based on the guidance provided, the perennial RPWs and their abutting wetland are jurisdictional. Therefore, the waters documented on this form are waters of the U.S. and jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 23, 2019
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 2 of 3; SAC-2019-00312 ATW Site
с.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Laurens County City: Laurens Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.53392°N, Long. 82.00324°W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83 Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary to Little River
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Saluda River. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River. Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 20, 2019 Field Determination. Date(s): March 29, 2019
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	 b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: Wetlands: JW-D = 0.056 acre.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	 Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 147,150 acres; HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River.

Drainage area: 1,137 acres
Average annual rainfall: 45 inches
Average annual snowfall: 1 inch

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Unnamed tributary to the Little River flows to the Little River, which flows to the Saluda River (Traditionally Navigable Water).

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: According to the Soil Survey of Laurens County, the predominant soils in the offsite unnamed tributary to the Little River consisted of Cartecay and Toccoa series. Cartecay and Toccoa soils are somewhat poorly drained.
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None observed. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: The unnamed tributary to the Little River flows perennially during normal conditions. Other information on duration and volume: This tributary is located offsite and is labeled as a blue line on the topo
	map. This tributary had a clear OHWM and a distinct channel.
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined . Characteristics: The perennial RPW flows in a channel during normal conditions .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ shelving ☐ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent ☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ sediment deposition ☐ water staining ☐ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: The unnamed tributary to the Little River is located near forested land, residential, and industrial

(iii)

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

development. Land use/land cover in the watershed includes: 59.7% forested land, 27.6% agricultural land, 8.2% urban land, 3.0% forested wetland (swamp), 1.1% barren land, and 0.4% water.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: The tributary is located near forested land, residential, and industrial development. There are possible pollutants from roads, automobiles, and nearby developments.

2.

(iv	Bio	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: The tributary provides spawning areas for aquatic wildlife. Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The tributary provides habitat for wildlife in the area.
Ch	aract	teristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i)		ysical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 0.056 acre Wetland type. Explain: Forested. Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Ephemeral flow. Explain: JW-D flows to the offsite perennial RPW during the wetter months and during heavy rain.
		Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: Water flows from JW-D to the offsite perennial tributary through a non-jurisdictional drainage.
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: JW-D is connected to the off-site perennial tributary by a discrete hydrological connection. Water from the wetland flows through a non-jurisdictional drainage and into the off-site perennial tributary. This was observed during the Corps site visit. ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The wetland is located near forested land, residential, and industrial development. Land use/land cover in the watershed includes: 59.7% forested land, 27.6% agricultural land, 8.2% urban land, 3.0% forested wetland (swamp), 1.1% barren land, and 0.4% water. ntify specific pollutants, if known: The wetland is located near forested land, residential, and industrial development. ere are possible pollutants from roads, automobiles, and nearby developments.
(ii	i) Bio	Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: The wetland provides spawning areas for aquatic wildlife. Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The wetland provides habitat for wildlife in the area.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1
Approximately (0.056) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

N 0.056

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetland evaluated in this SND is performing a variety of functions that related to the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of the downstream TNW. JW-D is adjacent but not directly abutting an unnamed tributary to the Little River, an offsite perennial RPW due to a discrete wetland hydrologic connection as seen during the Corps site visit. A variety of biological functions are being performed by the wetland, which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic wildlife and foraging areas for water dependent species and other wildlife. The chemical functions include waste filtration for the surrounding forested, residential, and industrial areas. The wetland provides diversity through vegetation changes, and where the aquatic system adjoins the adjacent uplands. The physical functions of the wetland include flow maintenance by retaining runoff and storm water during times of heavy rain and during the wetter months.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The wetland evaluated in this SND is performing a variety of functions that related to the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of the downstream TNW. JW-D is adjacent but not directly abutting an unnamed tributary to the Little River, an offsite perennial RPW due to a discrete wetland hydrologic connection as seen during the Corps site visit. A variety of biological functions are being performed by the wetland, which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic wildlife and foraging areas for water dependent species and other wildlife. The chemical functions include waste filtration for the surrounding forested, residential, and industrial areas. The wetland provides diversity through vegetation changes, and where the aquatic system adjoins the adjacent uplands. The physical functions of the wetland include flow maintenance by retaining runoff and storm water during times of heavy rain and during the wetter months.

Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable water of the Saluda River, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributary and its adjacent wetland to the downstream TNW.

 $Documentation\ for\ the\ Record\ only:\ Significant\ nexus\ findings\ for\ seasonal\ RPWs\ and/or\ wetlands\ abutting\ seasonal\ RPWs:$

D.	. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):		
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.	
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The unnamed tributary of the Little River is not within the project boundary and it is shown as a blue line on the topo map and as intermittent on the soils map. Water is visible on aerial photography. Available data led this office to conclude the tributary has a perennial flow regime.	
		Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:	
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .	
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .	
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:	
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.002 acre.	
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: $JW-D = 0.056$ acre.	
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.	
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.	

	7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:
Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: S&ME, Inc. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Goffice concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the data sheets. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: 1977 Navigability Study. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Ora.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

\bowtie	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO 2018, Appling, Cartecay-Toccoa complex,			
Cec	il, Enon, Madison and Pacolet.			
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI, PFO1B, R4SBC.			
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .			
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .			
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)			
\boxtimes	Photographs: 🖂 Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial dated June 3, 2018.			
or Other (Name & Date): Photos 1-86 of 86 taken by USACE dated March 29, 2019, photos 1-14 of 14 taken				
SM&E dated January 29, 2019 and February 11, 2019.				
\boxtimes	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SAC-2008-01356, November 25, 2008.			
	Applicable/supporting case law: .			
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .			
\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): Corps Site Visit.			

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD form documents the jurisdictional status of one wetland adjacent but not directly abutting the offsite perennial RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. A Significant Nexus Evaluation was performed for the wetland adjacent to the perennial RPW for the record. Based on the guidance provided, the adjacent wetland is jurisdictional. Therefore, the waters documented on this form are waters of the U.S. and jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEO A.	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): May 23, 2019
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NUMBER, FILE NAME: JD Form 3 of 3; SAC-2019-00312 ATW Site
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Laurens County City: Laurens Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.53392°N, Long. 82.00324°W. Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83 Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary to Little River Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Saluda River. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River. Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: May 20, 2019 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): March 29, 2019
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	we area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: JT-4 = 1,010 linear feet, JT-5 = 1,044 linear feet, JT-6 = 512 linear feet. Wetlands: JW-B = 0.008 acre, JW-C = 0.240 acre.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Established by OHWM., Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ [Including potentially jurisdictional features that upon assessment are NOT waters or wetlands] ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: There are two non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyances (NJF-1 and NJF-3) on site, which do not have an

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

OHWM or a distinct channel. These features do not have any signs of relatively permanent flow. The non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyances only flow after heavy rain events. These features are not jurisdictional and not waters of the U.S.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 147,150 acres; HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River.

Drainage area: JT-4 = 67.54 acres, JT-5 = 231.94 acres, JT-6 = 114.64 acres.

Average annual rainfall: **45** inches Average annual snowfall: **1** inch

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: JT-4 flows to the unnamed tributary to the Little River, which flows to the Saluda River (Traditionally Navigable Water). JT-5 flows to the unnamed tributary to the Little River, which flows to the Little River, which flows to the Saluda River

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

River, which flows to the Saluda River (Traditionally Navigable Water). Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary stream order, if known: (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: **Tributary** properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List. Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): ⊠ Silts M Sands ☐ Concrete Cobbles ☐ Gravel Muck Bedrock ☐ Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: According to the Soil Survey of Laurens County, the predominant soils in JT-4 and JT-5 consisted of Cartecay and Toccoa series, and the predominant soils in JT-6 consisted of Madison and Pacolet series. Cartecay and Toccoa soils are somewhat poorly drained and Madison and Pacolet soils are well drained. Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Relatively straight. Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): % (c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: JT-4, JT-5, and JT-6 flow seasonally during normal conditions. Other information on duration and volume: JT-4, JT-5, and JT-6 are located onsite and are labeled as drainage features on the topo map and as intermittent on the soil survey. The seasonal streams had signs of relatively permanent flow that includes a clear OHWM, a distinct channel, bed and bank. Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics: The seasonal RPWs flow in a channel during normal conditions. Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM⁶ (check all indicators that apply): □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil \boxtimes destruction of terrestrial vegetation ⊠ shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. 7 Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings;

(Traditionally Navigable Water). JT-6 flows to the unnamed tributary to the Little River, which flows to the Little

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tibid.

				physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
dev	` ,	Cha	Explain: JT use/land co forested we ntify specific	utary (e.g., water color is clear, discold -4, JT-5, and JT-6 are located near f ver in the watershed includes: 59.7% tland (swamp), 1.1% barren land, a	e located near forested land, residential, and industrial
			Riparian co Wetland fri: Habitat for: Federall Fish/spa Other er Aquatic	y Listed species. Explain findings: wn areas. Explain findings: Tributario wironmentally-sensitive species. Expl wildlife diversity. Explain findings: T	es provide spawning areas for aquatic wildlife. ain findings: ributaries provide habitat for wildlife in the area
2.	Cha	ıract	eristics of w	etlands adjacent to non-TNW that fl	ow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Properties: Wetland Wetland Wetland	eteristics: tland Characteristics: I size: JW-B = 0.008 acre, JW-C = 0.001 type. Explain: Forested. I quality. Explain: ands cross or serve as state boundaries	
		(b)	Flow is: E ₁ 5, a seasons heavy rain Surface flow	al RPW. JW-B flows into JT-4 durin and the wetter months. v is: Overland sheetflow	ctly abutting JT-4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-g heavy rain and the wetter months. JW-C flows into JT-5 during -4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-5, a seasonal
			Subsurface	flow: Unknown. Explain findings: (or other) test performed:	
		(c)	□ Directly RPW. □ Not dire □ Disc □ Eco	abutting JW-B is directly abutting J'ctly abutting crete wetland hydrologic connection. I logical connection. Explain: arated by berm/barrier. Explain:	Γ-4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-5, a seasonal
		(d)	Project weth Project water Flow is from	Relationship) to TNW ands are 30 (or more) river miles from ers are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) n : Wetland to navigable waters. proximate location of wetland as withi	niles from TNW.
		Cha Ider dev	characterist developmentify specific relopment. T	land system (e.g., water color is clear, ics; etc.). Explain: JW-B and JW-C and Land use/land cover in the waters, 3.0% forested wetland (swamp), 1. pollutants, if known: The wetlands are here are possible pollutants from road	e located near forested land, residential, and industrial ds, automobiles, and nearby developments.
	(iii)	Biol		acteristics. Wetland supports (check ffer. Characteristics (type, average wid	

	☐ Vegetation type/percent cov	er. Explain:			
	Habitat for:				
	☐ Federally Listed species	. Explain findings:	•		
	🔀 Fish/spawn areas. Expla	in findings: The we	tlands provide spawning are	eas for aquatic wildlife.	
	Other environmentally-s	sensitive species. E	xplain findings: .		
	Aquatic/wildlife diversit	y. Explain findings	s: The wetlands provide habi	itat for wildlife in the area.	
3.	Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2 Approximately (0.248) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.				
	For each wetland, specify the following	ng:			
	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	
	JW-B: Y JW-C: Y	0.008 0.240			

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands evaluated in this SND are performing biological, chemical, and physical functions that relate to the integrity of the downstream TNW. JW-B is directly abutting JT-4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-5, a seasonal RPW. A variety of biological functions are being performed by the wetlands. The wetlands provide possible breeding grounds for aquatic species as well as habitat for wildlife in the area. The chemical functions include nutrient and waste filtration for the surrounding forested, agricultural, and industrial areas. The physical functions of the wetlands include flow maintenance by retaining runoff and storm water during times of heavy rain and during the wetter months.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs: JT-4, JT-5, JT-6, and the adjacent wetlands (JW-B and JW-C) are located onsite and are performing a variety of functions that

related to the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of the downstream TNW. JW-B is directly abutting JT-4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-5, a seasonal RPW. A variety of biological functions are being performed by the seasonal RPWs and the wetlands, which include providing breeding grounds and shelter for aquatic wildlife and foraging areas for water dependent species and other wildlife. The chemical functions include waste filtration for the surrounding forested, residential, and industrial areas. These tributaries and wetland provide diversity through vegetation changes, and where the aquatic system adjoins the adjacent uplands. The physical functions of the tributaries and wetland include flow maintenance by retaining runoff and storm water during times of heavy rain and during the wetter months.

Based on the collective functions described above and their importance to the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the traditional navigable water of the Saluda River, it has been determined that there is a significant nexus between the relevant reach of the tributaries and adjacent wetlands to the downstream TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

TH	AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: JT-4, JT-5, and JT-6 are located onsite and are labeled as drainage features on the topo map and as intermittent on the soil survey. The seasonal streams had signs of relatively permanent flow that includes a clear OHWM, a distinct channel, bed and bank. The tributaries were observed flowing during flagging and during the Corps site visit; however, the flow was not continuous throughout the channel. Stream characteristics observed and available data led this office to conclude the tributaries have a seasonal flow regime.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: JT-4 = 1,010 linear feet, JT-5 = 1,044 linear feet, JT-6 = 512 linear feet. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: JW-B is directly abutting JT-4, a seasonal RPW. JW-C is directly abutting JT-5, a seasonal RPW. This was observed during flagging and during the Corps site visit.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: JW-B = 0.008 acre, JW-C = 0.240 acre.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
ĺ	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below). Explain:
DEG SUC: William with the control of	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, ERADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY H WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. From which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. Which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ident	tify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
T C	ide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
□ i	In JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): There are two non-jurisdictional hydrologic conveyances (NJF-1 and NJF-3) on site, h do not have an OHWM or a distinct channel. These features do not have any signs of relatively permanent flow. The non-dictional hydrologic conveyances only flow after heavy rain events. These features are not jurisdictional and not waters of J.S.
factor judgr	ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR rs (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional nent (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
a find	ide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
SECTION	NIV: DATA SOURCES.

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: S&ME , Inc .
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the data sheets.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Corps navigable waters' study: 1977 Navigability Study.
 Corps navigable waters' study: 1977 Navigability Study. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HA 730-G, 1990. 		
	_	USGS NHD data.
		☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. HUC 03050109-09 Little River-Saluda River.
	\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Ora.
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO 2018, Appling, Cartecay-Toccoa complex,
		il, Enon, Madison and Pacolet.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI, PFO1B, R4SBC.
	H	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	\vdash	
	H	FEMA/FIRM maps: 100 years Floridation Floridation in the Conduction Ventical Determinent (1020)
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\bowtie	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Aerial dated June 3, 2018.
		or Other (Name & Date): Photos 1-86 of 86 taken by USACE dated March 29, 2019, photos 1-14 of 14 taken by
		SM&E dated January 29, 2019 and February 11, 2019.
	\boxtimes	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: SAC-2008-01356, November 25, 2008.
		Applicable/supporting case law: .
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	\boxtimes	Other information (please specify): Corps Site Visit.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This JD form documents the jurisdictional status of three seasonal RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs and two wetlands directly abutting the seasonal RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. A Significant Nexus Evaluation was performed for the three seasonal RPWs and two wetlands directly abutting the seasonal RPWs for the record. The three seasonal RPWs and two directly abutting wetlands documented on this form are waters of the U.S. and jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act.