

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 3-NOV-2021

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SAC-2021-00860 Shetland MHP

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina County: Horry County City: Myrtle Beach
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.6889 °, Long. -78.9399°
Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Socastee Swamp

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):

- ☒ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
- ☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- ☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: **November 2, 2021**
- ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland Determination/ Shetland MHP Site (8.28+/- ac)/ TMS# 180-10-01-016/ Horry County, South Carolina” dated May 5, 2021.
- ☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
- ☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- ☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- ☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
- ☐ USGS NHD data.
- ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- ☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute index: Myrtle Beach Quad; USGS topographic survey information depicts an urban developed area void of wetland symbology.
- ☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: NRCS / Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) created March 31, 2021, updated April 26, 2021; Project area is comprised of the partially hydric soil (2% hydric inclusions) Yemassee loamy fine sand and the hydric soil Bladen fine sandy loam.
- ☒ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Wetlands Raster REST service created March 30, 2021, updated May 19, 2021; NWIs map the project boundary as entirely uplands.
- ☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- ☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:
- ☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- ☒ Photographs: ☒ Aerial (Name & Date): SCDNR 2020, SCDNR 2020 IR Aerial, Google Earth 2021,
- ☐ ☒ Other (Name & Date): Site photos submitted by the agent dated May 5, 2021.
- ☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting case law:
- ☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- ☐ Other information (please specify):

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: This form addresses 8.28 acres of uplands in Myrtle Beach, SC. The majority of the site is

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

an established mobile home park. The project tract is situated in a commercially and residentially development area. Due do the consistency in the depiction of uplands in desktop resources and information submitted by the agent the project area was determined to be void of aquatic resources.