This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 10/29/2019

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: SAC-2019-00308 William Wolfe/Cedar Creek Holdings, LLC.

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: South Carolina  County/parish/borough: Richland County
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 34.1507°, Long. -81.1380°
Universal Transverse Mercator: NAD 83
Name of nearest waterbody: Big Cedar Creek
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 030501060603

☐ Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc…) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 10/29/2019
☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 3/20/2019

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are no “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

☑ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Ballou Associates

☑ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.

☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. This office agrees with the conclusions of the submitted data sheets.

☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:


☐ USGS NHD data.

☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. 030501060603 Big Cedar Creek

☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000 Richtex

☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SURGO soil data: Nanford, Tawcaw.

☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI 1994

☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:

☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

☑ Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth image dated 5/2/2018

□ Other (Name & Date): Consultant provided photos 1-2 of 2 received in office 2/2/2019.

☐ Other information (please specify): Richland County LiDAR

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: Richland County LiDAR data showed a few geomorphic drainage features that were observed to be nothing more than ephemeral washes during the Corps’ site visit on 3/20/2019. No other mapping or data depicts the presence of any jurisdictional features. This office agrees with the conclusions of the submitted information documented on this form. The project area consists entirely of dry lands.