

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 5 OCT 2020

ORM Number: SAC-2020-01203

Associated JDs: SAC-2016-00053-3H & 2010-00371-3H

Review Area Location¹: 17.71-acre site is situated adjacent to U.S. Highway 17 Bypass and Marina

Parkway,

State: SC City: Myrtle Beach County: Horry County

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 33.7496 Longitude -78.8351

II. FINDINGS

Α.	Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete
	the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
	The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features,
	including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: Review Area does not
	contain any aquatic resources or potential aquatic resources to include excluded waters.
	There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction
	within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
	☐ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review
	area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
	There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

area (complete table in section II.D).

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)³

(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

-	(()	(-)		
	(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

 	,		(1)(1)
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I	(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - **_X**_ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: AJD request submitted by S&ME, Inc., on behalf of Will Waterhouse, dated August 31, 2020.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: The wetland data forms, and additional information submitted by the agent are a reasonable representation of site conditions at the time of collection and are sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A.

- _X_ Photographs: (Aerial and other) Site photos and aerial photos submitted by the Agent in the submittal dated August 31, 2020, as well as 2019 Google Earth & 2006 SCDNR aerial photos. Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: N/A.
- **_X**_ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): SAC-2016-00053-3H letter dated January 21, 2016, SAC-2010-00371-3H letter dated January 12, 2011.
- **X** Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
- _X_ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: NRCS / Soil Survey Geographic Database (SSURGO) Map Service dated April 4, 2019, updated March 4, 2020, ESRI Map Service depicts the soil types of Lakeland, Brookman, Leon and Centenary.
- _X_ USFWS NWI maps: USFWS NWI Wetlands Raster REST Map Service dated May 29, 2012, updated July 17, 2020, USFWS NWI Map Service Maps depicts majority of site as uplands with potions of being wetlands and drained/ditched wetlands.
- _X_ USGS topographic maps: USGS Topographic Map / 7.5 Minute Index / Hand and Ocean Forest SC / 1:24000. Topographic map depicts the review area as forest uplands.

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	USGS National Map 3D Elevation Program (3DEP)- Hillshade (LiDAR), DEM not available at time of
	review.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	SAC Regulatory Viewer accessed on 1 OCT 2020.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Other Sources N/A.

- B. Typical year assessment(s): Antecedent Rainfall Calculator data for typical year determination was calculated based on the field collection date provided on the agent's data forms (29 JUL 2020). The Antecedent Rainfall Calculator data showed "wetter than normal" conditions at the time of data collection by the agent with a condition value of 18, which indicates that typical year hydrologic conditions may not be present. For this assessment, nine weather stations located within a 25 mile radius was used.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD: The review area has had two previous Jurisdictional Determinations (JDs). Both JDs dated 2011 and 2016, determined the 17.71-acre review area to be uplands. No site visit was performed for this determination.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.