# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

#### **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): September 12, 2016

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAC-RD-NE; JD Form 1 of 1; SAC 2015-00527 University Square Subdivision

Suc	MI 1310II
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Horry City: Conway  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.811908° N, Long79.0061° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator:  Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed tributary of Waccamaw River  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Waccamaw River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03040206-09  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: August 10, 2016 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
SE(	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  Wetlands: (Jurisdictional Wetland) 2.27 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual, Pick List, Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):N/A.
	<ul> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: According to the NWIs, the entire site is mapped wetlands (PFO4B, PFO1C), and based on a review of the soil</li> </ul>

survey, a large portion of the site is mapped Lynn Haven and Leon, which are hydric soils. According to information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

submitted by the agent, including data sheets taken throughout the site, the majority of the site is uplands. One wetland is located on site.

A linear feature was observed along the southern property boundary. This feature was determined to be a man-made non-jurisdictional ditch.

#### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW: Waccamaw River.

Summarize rationale supporting determination: The Waccamaw River was determined to be a TNW based on a review of the USACE Navigability Study Report No. 07. According to the Navigability Study, the tidal limit of the Waccamaw River is located at RM 60. The project waters enter the Waccamaw River at approximately RM 48..

#### Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 136,317 acres; HUC 03040206-09 Drainage area: **760** acres Average annual rainfall: 48 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

#### (ii)

Phy	sical Characteristics:
(a)	Relationship with TNW:
	Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	☐ Tributary flows through 1 tributaries before entering TNW
	Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.  Identify flow route to TNW <sup>5</sup> : The off-site tributary flows into the Waccamaw River through an unnamed tributary
	of the Waccamaw River.  Tributary stream order, if known: The off -site tributary is a 1st order stream.
	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: The tributary has been straightened somewhat to flow merical and residential developments.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 4 feet  Average depth: 10 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
erosion or slou	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributary is relatively stable with no ghing banks observed.  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: No run/riffle/pool complexes were observed.  Tributary geometry: Relatively straight.  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1-2 %
	Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: This tributary receives flow from the abutting wetlands via overland sheetflow and ete and confined flow from the upstream non-jurisdictional ditch and non-abutting wetlands. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup> Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by:  Oil or scum line along shore objects  fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  survey to available datum;  physical markings;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

				physical markings/characteristics   vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.   tidal gauges   other (list):
		(iii)	Cha	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: The off-site tributary has clear flowing water present that is free of particulates. This tributary is located within the Waccamaw River watershed, which consists of approximately 43% forested wetland, 22% urban land, 20% forested land, and 7% agricultural land. The remaining land uses in this watershed include nonforested wetland, water and barren land.  tify specific pollutants, if known: The Waccamaw River is a blackwater system characterized by naturally low
	the \	Wac	l oxy cama	gen conditions. According to the SCDHEC Watersheds website, a review of the downstream monitoring station for w River (PD 369) shows that this area fully supports aquatic uses; however, recreational uses are partially e to fecal coliform excursions.
				ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Approximately 60% of the corridor is vegetated with tree
	cano	py.		Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
				☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: This perennial RPW provides an important habitat and corridor for
vild	llife a	s we	ell as	a connection to the downstream TNW for aquatic species.
	2.	Cha	racto	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		(i)		sical Characteristics:
			(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:  Properties:  Wetland size: (Jurisdictional Wetland) 2.27 acres  Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine.
				Wetland quality. Explain: <b>Slightly impaired; Wetland recently clear-cut</b> . Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: <b>N/A</b> .
			(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Surface water leaves the wetland during rain events or stormwater/runoff
	indu	iced	even	
				Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: The on-site wetland flows into the off-site 1st order tributary via a non-jurisdictional ditch.
				Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
			(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The on-site wetland flows directly into the 1 <sup>st</sup> order
	tribu	utary	y via	a non-jurisdictional ditch.  □ Ecological connection. Explain: □ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .
			(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1-2 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.
		(ii)	Che	mical Characteristics:
			Cha	racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed

haracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: The wetland has been determined to be seasonally saturated. No water was present on the surface of the wetland during the site visit. This wetland is located within the Waccamaw River watershed, which consists of approximately 43% forested wetland, 22% urban land, 20% forested land, and 7% agricultural land. The remaining land uses in this watershed include nonforested wetland, water and barren land.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: The Waccamaw River is a blackwater system characterized by naturally low dissolved oxygen conditions. According to the SCDHEC Watersheds website, a review of the downstream monitoring station for the Waccamaw River (PD 369) shows that this area fully supports aquatic uses; however, recreational uses are partially supported due to fecal coliform excursions.

Riparian buffer. Characte Vegetation type/percent of Habitat for: Federally Listed speci Fish/spawn areas. Exp Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife diver	cover. Explain: Acer les. Explain findings: clain findings: y-sensitive species. E	rubrum, Quercus nigra, Ny xplain findings:	vssa biflora.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands ad All wetland(s) being considere Approximately ( 14.8 ) acres in For each wetland, specify the form	ed in the cumulative a n total are being consi	nalysis: 4	ysis.
Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
N N	6.5 2.3	Y Y	4.0 2.0

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The 1st order perennial RPW and the approximately 14.8 acres of wetlands located adjacent to this perennial RPW contribute vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. These wetlands and the adjacent pRPW make up an important ecological system with vital aquatic habitat that supports an abundance of wildlife in a watershed that consists of 22% urban land. Due to the prevalence of developed land in this watershed, these wetlands and the adjacent pRPW are acting as a catch basin for the adjacent uplands by filtering sediments, herbicides, and other pollutants and by reducing the amount of flood waters reaching the downstream TNW.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The 1st order perennial RPW and the nonabutting wetlands contribute vital biological, chemical, and physical functions to the downstream TNW. The wetlands provide important aquatic habitat used for feeding, nesting, and other functions that support wildlife within uplands that are predominately commercial and residential development. These wetlands also act as a catch basin for the adjacent uplands by filtering sediments, herbicides, and other pollutants and by reducing the amounts of flood waters that can reach the downstream TNW. These wetlands have a direct hydrologic connection to the downstream perennial RPW via a non-jurisdictional ditch. Because of this connection, these wetlands have the capacity to transfer nutrients to the downstream pRPW that provide support to the aquatic wildlife in the perennial RPW and the downstream TNW. These wetlands are a vital part of the perennial RPW's 760 acre drainage area and were determined to have a significant nexus to the downstream TNW.

Documentation for the Record only: Significant nexus findings for seasonal RPWs and/or wetlands abutting seasonal RPWs:

	•
	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Based on a review of the aerials, topographic map, soil survey, and NWIs, the off-site, 1st order tributary was determined to have perennial flow. The aerials depict this tributary as a shaded linear feature, and the topographic map depicts this tributary as a blue line. This 1st order tributary flows into an unnamed tributary of the Waccamaw River, which flows into the Waccamaw River, a TNW.
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

D.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: (Jurisdictional Wetland) 2.27 acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).  Explain:
E.	SUC	PLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above): A linear feature was assessed within the review area and determined to be a non-ional ditch.
	facti judg	wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such adding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

acres.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

<ul> <li>Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).</li> <li>Lakes/ponds: acres.</li> <li>Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .</li> <li>Wetlands: acres.</li> </ul>
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: <b>Report by The Brigman Co., Inc.; plat by The</b>
Brigman Co., Inc., titled "BOUNDARY / WETLAND SURVEY / OF TAX MAP NUMBER / 138-00-06-073 / HORRY
COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA." and dated July 28, 2016.
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
Corps navigable waters' study:
☐ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
USGS NHD data.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Conway Quad; The topographic map depicts this site as forested
with a blue line intersecting the site. This blue line is located where the current wetlands are located.
☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Page 70; The soil survey maps this site as Lynn Haven
and Leon, which are hydric soils, and as Echaw, a partially hydric soil.
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: The NWIs map this entire site as palustrine forested wetlands (PFO4B &
PFO1C).
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps: .
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): SCDNR 2006, The aerials depict the site as forested.
or $\square$ Other (Name & Date):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: A potentially jurisdictional linear feature was assessed within the review area and determined to be non-jurisdictional. This feature was determined to be man-made and excavated out of uplands. Therefore, it was determined to be a non-jurisdictional ditch. This non-jurisdictional ditch is located along the southern property boundary. The Jurisdictional Wetland (2.27 acres) on site was determined to be adjacent, non-abutting, to the downstream TNW in Section IIIC above..

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):