

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): September 21, 2020 ORM Number: SAC-2020-00162 Associated JDs: N/A Review Area Location¹: A 17.2-acre site located behind 10179 Hwy 78. State/Territory: SC City: Ladson County/Parish/Borough: Charleston County Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 33.005909 Longitude -80.132359

II. FINDINGS

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
 - The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A
 - There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
 - There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
 - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

| Ī | § 10 Name | § 10 Size | § 10 Criteria | Rationale for § 10 Determination |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| E | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)³

| (a)(1) Name | (a)(1) Size | (a)(1) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(1) Determination |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

| (a)(2) Name | (a)(2) Size | (a)(2) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(2) Determination |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

| (a)(3) Name | (a)(3) Size | (a)(3 |) Criteria | Rati | onale for (a)(3) Determination |
|-------------|-------------|-------|------------|------|--------------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | |

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

| | (a)(4) Name | (a)(4) Size | (a)(4) Criteria | Rationale for (a)(4) Determination |
|---|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| N | I/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

 5 Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

| Exclusion Name | Exclusion Size | Exclusion ⁵ | Rationale for Exclusion Determination |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---|
| (b)(1) Excluded | 1.8 acres | (b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland | The wetland has a closed polygon boundary within the |
| Waters | | | review area that is not contiguous or directly abutting |
| | | | an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. In addition, the wetland does not |
| | | | meet any of the other (a)(4) criteria for adjacency and |
| | | | thus is an excluded water pursuant to (b)(1). |

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- **A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
 - X Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Request For Corps Jurisdictional Determination package submitted by Sabine & Waters, Inc. received in our office on January 31, 2020, and supplement information received in our office on September 9, 2020 and September 11, 2020.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: *Title(s) and/or date(s)*.

- X Photographs: See aerial and ground photos submitted September 9, 2020 by Sabine & Water, Inc.
- ____ Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: Date(s).
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): ORM Number(s) and date(s).
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
- X USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Titled "Mapped Soils" in submittal received in our office on January 31, 2020.
 - _ USFWS NWI maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).
- X USGS topographic maps: Summerville, SC Quad

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

| Data Source (select) | Name and/or date and other relevant information |
|----------------------------|---|
| USGS Sources | Summerville, SC Quad |
| USDA Sources | N/A. |
| NOAA Sources | N/A. |
| USACE Sources | N/A. |
| State/Local/Tribal Sources | N/A. |
| Other Sources | LIDAR (Charleston District Regulatory Viewer Screen Shot) |

B. Typical year assessment(s): The Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) data for a typical year determination was ran for September 8, 2020. Sabine & Waters, Inc., submitted additional ground photos documenting a site visit on this day. The output indicated "Normal Conditions" on this day.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. ⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

C. Additional comments to support AJD: The wetland shows no signs of being inundated by flooding from Stroberfield Branch in a typical year and the review area is located in the FEMA floodplain Zone X (unshaded), which are areas of minimal flood hazard and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.
⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.